

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years
1951 - 1954

5. You next mention a deal by Alban Tractor Co. with Nello Teer Contracting Co. If I understand the statement of facts correctly, Nello Teer took over the equipment on a lease on September 23, 1953. If this lease were an installment purchase lease, something the facts do not clearly show, there would be no tax when the equipment was brought into Maine on June 22, 1954.

Caution. Other facts can be brought out which would change the above result as tentatively reached. For instance, it is very important, and the original memorandum says nothing about it, whether the lessor-vendor sends an operator or serviceman along with the machine. In the State of Rhode Island, if an operator is sent, the transaction is deemed a purchasing of a service and not a sale.

It also seems to me material whether the price charged for rental is a reasonable one as rental. If a lessee pays considerably more than fair rental value, we may well succeed in establishing that he has a purchase in mind. I would honestly recommend that instead of basing final assessment upon this memorandum we inquire of the construction companies concerned what is their side of the case.

BOYD L. BAILEY
Assistant Attorney General

November 30, 1954

To Earle R. Hayes, Secretary, Maine State Retirement System

Re: Military Leave

We have yours of November 24th relating to whether or not any employee of the State, including teachers, who may be inducted, drafted or enlisted in the Armed Forces of the United States under the provisions of the Universal Military Training and Service Act is entitled to military leave and whether the State is liable to make contributions for these individuals during their period of service.

This question we answer in the affirmative.

Under the provisions of subsection VI of Section 3 of this Act there is a provision that if anyone is enlisted, inducted or drafted into the Armed Forces of the United States, either in time of war or while the provisions of the Selective Service Act of 1948 or any amendment or extension thereof are in effect, that person shall be considered an employee and the State *shall* contribute to the System such amounts as the employee would have been required to contribute if he had been serving the State during his service in the Armed Forces.

ROGER A. PUTNAM
Assistant Attorney General

December 3, 1954

To Dr. Lillian Brush, Secretary, Board of Examiners of Psychologists

Your letter of December 1st, propounding four questions, has been received.

In answer to 1,a): Any public officer carrying on a governmental function is protected from civil suit by the immunity of the State, provided always