

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022

STATE OF MAINE

Inter-Departmental Memorandum Date 2-17-54

To Devid H. Stavens, Ghairman

7

Dept. Heine State Highway Considering

From L. Smith Dommank, Assistant Attoring General Debt Attorney General

Subject Interpretation of 8109 of chapter 20 of the R. S.

I have been requested to give my spinion on the interpretation of section 109 of chapter 20 of the Revised Stabutes.

In letters to John Mahon, dated july 12, and August 3, both of 1951, copies of which are hereby affined. I discussed the problem in part.

You will note that a strict interpretation of the latter of the law would not permit the great extension of the antisipation that has existed for some time.

Homever, you will also note that it was my opinion that a liberal and practical interpretation gould justify the precedure that had been followed.

It is obvious that the Commission is the final arbiter on the matter of anticipation. Even if the topos can decide to anticipate, the judgment of the Commission as to the advisability of the anticipation is the determining factor. The Commission gap wate anticipation within the original flowal year if it is deeped project.

The fact that the Commission has permitted anticipation in the past in similar elecunstances does not bind the Commission in any situation before them. It is strictly a pollay matter, and lies within the bread dispretion of the Commission.

Reconstruity submitted.

TANIA tin stant Asterney Dane

ISDaf ss Attomsy General (2)