

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

R E P O R T

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1949 - 1950

Under the provisions of Chapter 266, P. L. 1947, the legislature repealed Section 57 of Chapter 33, R. S., which was a part of the Fish and Game Laws, relating to deposits of slabs, edgings, sawdust, etc., in streams. Then in Section 2 of said Chapter 266, they renumbered Sections 6, 7, and 8 of Chapter 72, R. S., as enacted by Chapter 345, P. L. 1945, so that the sections are now numbered 7, 8 and 8-A, respectively; and then in Section 3 of Chapter 266, P. L. 1947, they amended Chapter 72 of the Revised Statutes, as amended by Chapter 345, P. L. 1945, by adding thereto a new section to be numbered 6, to which section your memorandum applies.

In this new Section 6 of Chapter 72, R. S., it is provided that no person shall deposit in the inland waters of the State or on the banks thereof or in tidal waters (by amendment in 1949) any slabs, edgings, etc., or fibrous materials created in the manufacture of lumber or other wood products, etc.; and if any person believes it to be necessary to the operation of his business to deposit these fibrous materials in streams or inland waters, he should make application to the Sanitary Water Board and have a hearing and the Board shall have authority to issue an order thereon, granting such permit as it deems advisable, or denying the application. Provision is then made for an appeal as outlined in Section 5 of Chapter 72, R. S.

Therefore it is my considered opinion that Section 6 deals with fibrous materials and Sections 3 and 4 of Chapter 345, P. L. 1945, deal with effluents discharged into any stream, river, pond, etc.; and therefore it is my opinion that there should be no charge to an applicant for a permit under Section 6, such as is prescribed for an applicant for a license under Section 4 to discharge an effluent into any stream, lake, or tidal waters.

RALPH W. FARRIS
Attorney General

July 27, 1950

To E. K. Sawyer, Supervising Inspector of Elevators
Department of Labor and Industry
Re: Tiering and piling machines, P. L. 1949, Chapter 374, § 99-B

Your memo of July 19th received, stating that in the last few lines of the paragraph defining elevators, there is a definition of tiering, piling, feeding or other machines operating within only one story. You state that you have a question whether or not an ordinary elevator with a lift of only a few feet would come under this classification and be exempt. You state that it has been your understanding that only tiering and other similar machines were in this classification, and you ask my interpretation as to whether the definition of a freight elevator would be changed or whether there would be any minimum lift which would exclude it from the freight elevator class.

It seems to me that an elevator with a short lift that does not go a complete story would be exempt under the elevator law. However, if you care to come to my office at a convenient time, I would be glad to discuss this matter with you.

RALPH W. FARRIS
Attorney General