

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

R E P O R T

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1949 - 1950

April 3, 1950

To Fred M. Berry, State Auditor
Re: Verification of Audit Petition

Under date of March 20, 1950, you referred a petition for audit of the books of the Town of Castine to this office with the question as to whether or not the signatures should be verified by the town clerk. This petition was accompanied by a letter signed by Mr. James B. Lake, Jr., as a Justice of the Peace, in which he certified that the signatures are those of registered voters in excess of 10% of those appearing on the voting lists of 1950.

The petition was submitted to you under the authority given in Section 116 of Chapter 80, R. S. 1944.

The extent of verification which you will require as a preliminary to undertaking the audit authorized in this section is entirely a matter for you to decide in the administration of your department.

I am returning the petition and the verifying letter herewith.

JOHN S. S. FESSENDEN
Deputy Attorney General

April 5, 1950

To Honorable Frederick G. Payne, Governor of Maine
Re: Elevator Inspection

At your request the Commissioner of Labor and Industry has asked this office to give you an opinion as to whether or not under the terms of Chapter 374 of the Public Laws of 1949, the State may engage the services of an elevator inspector for the purpose of inspecting State-owned elevators or whether the State would be required to use the services of a State-employed elevator inspector.

As I read Chapter 374 of the Public Laws of 1949, it appears to me that this chapter is a piece of legislation designed to protect the public in its use of elevators and that for this purpose every person owning and operating an elevator must comply with safety standards prescribed by this chapter. Since this chapter is compulsory on owners and operators of elevators, there are of course limitations imposed upon the amount of fees that owners and operators of elevators may be required to pay and these limitations are necessary in view of the compulsory nature of the statute.

I cannot conceive, however, that these limitations are designed to foreclose the right of any owner or operator of an elevator to take any steps which in the judgment of that owner or operator attain to higher standards than those imposed in the statute; nor do I believe that the chapter was designed to foreclose the right of any owner or operator to employ any duly qualified and licensed elevator inspector, regardless of the company by which that inspector is regularly employed.

The sentence in Section 19-F to the effect that an authorized inspector shall receive no salary from the State and have no expenses paid by the State is simply a direct legislative pronouncement to the effect that as a result of taking examinations and becoming licensed by State authority such