

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

R E P O R T

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1949 - 1950

February 25, 1949

To John C. Burnham, Administrative Assistant, State Highway Commission

Referring to your memo of January 4th, about which I talked with you on the telephone, relating to whether or not the State Highway Commission has authority to grant permits for moving vehicles over the highway when the vehicles are made up of a tractor and semi-trailer and a vehicle towed behind the semi-trailer, when these vehicles exceed the legal weight, height, width or length:

I wish to state that under the provisions of Section 89 of Chapter 19, as re-enacted by Chapter 348, Section 5, of the Public Laws of 1947, the State Highway Commission is authorized to issue permits in emergency matters whenever these vehicles exceed the legal weight, height, width or length. However, the permit issued by the Highway Department should designate the equipment which the permit covers, so that in case they are stopped by the State Police they will have in their possession permits to cover these vehicles. Therefore the applicant for an emergency permit from the State Highway Commission should be careful to include just what equipment is being moved under the provisions of the permit.

RALPH W. FARRIS
Attorney General

February 25, 1949

To William P. Hinckley, Acting Technical Secretary, Sanitary Water Board

On January 14th you requested this office to interpret the provisions of Chapter 72 of the Revised Statutes of 1944 as amended by Chapter 345 of the Public Laws of 1945. You state that:

"1. A starch factory became established in 1947 at a location where the public sewer is used as a means of discharging its waste material to the St. John River."

Answer. It is my opinion that the starch factory should obtain a license, even though the public sewer is an old source of pollution; the industry is a new source of pollution and adds to the old source. The two combined may create an objectionable odor.

"2. A textile industry was, previous to 1946, located where waste was discharged by public sewer to the tidal waters of the Penobscot River. The industry has relocated in old mill property formerly used and operated for the manufacture of pulp and paper but unoccupied for some years. This new industry is located about eight miles upstream from its old location and about six miles above the head of tide at Bangor and above the source of the public water supply of that city. Textile wastes are to be discharged from the plant to the Penobscot River."

Answer. My interpretation of the statute as it relates to the statement of facts in Question 2 is that they should first obtain a license under the provisions of the statute, as their output constitutes a new source of pollution to the waters of the Penobscot.