

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1947 - 1948

April 12, 1948

To E. E. Roderick, Deputy Commissioner of Education

Re: Interpretation of the legality, involving the approval of the Act, Chapter 102, P. & S. L. 1947, relating to the School District of the Town of New Gloucester

I have your memo of April 12th, stating that the superintending school committee of New Gloucester request an opinion as to whether or not, the town once having approved Chapter 102, P. & S. L. 1947, at its annual meeting, it is possible to rescind the action taken, either to nullify the provisions of this act or to require that the act be carried out. You state that the article in the warrant reads:

“To see whether or not the inhabitants of the town (New Gloucester) will vote to reconsider the vote taken at the annual meeting of the town last held accepting the act to incorporate the Town of New Gloucester School District.”

It is my opinion that this act took effect when approved by the majority vote of the legal voters of the district present and voting at the annual town meeting of the Town of New Gloucester, and that the article in the warrant for a special town meeting, which you cite in your memo, would have no effect upon the effective date of the act, for the reason that the mandate of the legislature has been complied with, and once the act was approved, it took effect on the date of the annual town meeting.

You state that some of the inhabitants of the town maintain that it is permissible to take action to nullify what has already been enacted by the legislature and favorably acted upon by the town.

In order to settle this question, those who contend that the act is not effective must resort to the courts.

RALPH W. FARRIS
Attorney General

April 13, 1948

To Hon. Horace Hildreth, Governor of Maine

Re: Trustees—University of Maine

With reference to letter of April 6th of Edward E. Chase, President of the Board of Trustees of the University of Maine, to you, concerning one of the trustees whose term expires on May 9th, the question is whether he holds over after the expiration of his term until the appointment and qualification of another or until he is reappointed and qualified.

It is the opinion of this department that, in all cases where the term of the trustee expires, he holds over until the appointment and qualification of a trustee in his place and stead, or until the same person is reappointed and qualified.

In this respect the rule with regard to holding over differs from the case of a trustee who has attained the age of seventy years, although the term for which he was appointed has not expired.