

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

for the calendar years

1943--1944

The substitute teacher comes secondary to the returning service man.

The municipality should be very careful about making contracts with substitute teachers because if they make a binding term contract and the original man comes back, the returning service man is entitled to his job and his pay by reason of the law, while the substitute may be entitled to the pay by reason of his contract; thus if the contract does not take into consideration the possibility of its being avoided through return of a service man, the municipality may very well find itself paying two salaries for one piece of work.

A town has fulfilled its obligations to a school employee when he is reemployed for a period of time which represents the unexpired part of his original contract.

If a superintendent of schools has served two years on a three-year contract, the town is within its rights if it permits him to serve out his original contract after his return and then discharge him. A town, in the interest of teaching efficiency, cannot delay the replacement of a discharged service man until the end of the next school year or the next school term. Under the law, as we interpret it, he is entitled to reinstatement immediately.

FRANK I. COWAN

Attorney-General

September 14, 1944

State Police

Capt. Laurence C. Upton, Acting Chief

Beano

I have your memo of Sept. 13, asking three questions in regard to Beano. I will answer them in the order in which you ask them.

1. Our general Sunday laws are still in effect. There is no suggestion of repeal in the Beano act. The intention of the legislature in limiting licenses to six-day periods was in order to avoid any suggestion of Sunday beano.
2. P. L. 1943, c. 355, §1, in its first sentence, uses the language—
“. . . shall hold, conduct or operate the amusement commonly known as 'Beano' for the entertainment of the public within the state unless a license therefor is obtained from the chief of the state police." There is no question but what the operation by an agricultural fair without making a monetary charge to participants is, nevertheless, an operation "for the entertainment of the public." Whenever and wherever the amusement commonly known as Beano is conducted or operated "for the entertainment of the public" a license must be obtained.
3. The answer to Question 3, is "No." The reason is included in the answers to Questions 1 and 2.

FRANK I. COWAN

Attorney-General

September 22, 1944

I. W. Russell, Superintendent of Public Buildings

Superintendent of Public Buildings' Law

In your memo of August 30th you ask to be advised with regard to your duties under circumstances which you set forth as follows: