MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022 Germanor Semil

John G. Marchall, Assistant

Attamoy General.

Harvard II. Tinker

In response to your request for a ruling on the status of the abovenamed individual, the following is inventh submitted:

Fagts.

The record shows that Harrard B. Tinker was contended at the September Werm, 1939, in the Superior Court of the County of Hancock in the State of Maine to the State Prison, on an indictment dileging a crime against nature. The sentence provided for imprisonment for 4 to 5 years. The previous for tink is an tende appear in Section 25 of Chapter 147, R. S. 1930.

Although there are ether evotions of other chapters providing for profilement for the doing of immeral acts or practices, Section 3 of Chapter 135, R. S. 1930, is the only section specifically providing for the spice for which the respondent. Tinker, was indicated and sentenced.

Bestion #5 of Chapter 147, R.S. 1930, provides for a maximum and minimum tops in all sames where a person shall be punished by imprisonment in the State Prison. The maximum contenes shall not unesed the longest tops fixed by law for the punishment of the offsame for which the person nautomorals to convicted, and the minimum juntament shall not expect une-half of the maximum torus of imprisonment fixed by statute and shall not to loug than air months in any quee.

The the sentence in the Tinker case in Separates with existing lar on the date of the sentence, September, 1939; the master is yes, the Public Lows of 1939 contained Chapter 295 which provides that Section 26 of Chapter 147 is smanded by the provision that Sections 25 to 48 of Chapter 147 shall not apply to may person convinted of an effecte the only panishment for which preserved by law is imprisonment for life. The effence as which the respondent, Tinker, was sentenced was provided for under Section 3 of Chapter 135 and carries a punishment by imprisonment for not less than 1 year, nor more than 10 years. Furthermore, Chapter 294, P. L. 1939, provided that Sections 25 to 48 of Chapter 147 (providing for maximum and minimum terms) would not apply to any person convicted of an offence under Sections 16, 23 or 31 of Chapter 189, or under Section 6 of Chapter 135, 2, 5, 1930. Persons convicted or sentenced under these sections shall not be catified to the maximum and minimum provisions of Chapter 149 of the Revised Statuton; but none of these castions of the chapter 149 of the Revised Statuton; but none of these castions of the chapter 149 of the

The only other provision of our law which the respondent, Timber, could have been sentenced under an the indistant set forth, might have been Section 35 of Chapter 129, which is not included at all in the amendment of 1939 referred to as Chapter 294.

Commagnetily, it is my opinion that the respondent, Timber, could apply to the Parole Seard in Scoordense with Chapter 153 of the Laws of 1933.

Risepoolfully,

John &. Hayshall Abaletent Attorney Seneral

Dire

no

100