MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

This document is from the files of the Office of the Maine Attorney General as transferred to the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library on January 19, 2022 February 11, 1942

The Attorney General

The State Controller

In re P. L. 1941, Chapter 325, Oection 2, Eslary Adjustments.

I have discussed the above named matter with Senator Stilphen Chairson of the Galaries Committee of the Legislature. In his mind the intention of the Legislature was to increase the base rate of certain State employees by 10%.

There is an embiguity in the use of the words for as soon thereafter as may be desired wise by the governor. Moreover, the Commissioner of Health and Welfare, the Director of Personnel, the Highway Department and you yourself have pointed out that seizing on the one date of February 2, 1942 as the date at which such an increase should be frozen will result in serious distortion in pay rolls and, to a large effect, defeat the prupose of the bill. This purpose is (1) to try to hold present employees of the State by an increase in wages, and (2) an endeavor to make it possible by an increase in the base rate to fill vacancies caused by resignation, death, the Army dreft, etc...

It was apparently the belief of the Governor when he signed the bill making it law, that it had to do with the scale of wares and was not a single increase to be applied to per one who happened to be in the employ of the State on a certain date.

The Legislature in appropriating funds set up enough, so the Commissioner of Finance States, to provide for the increase of the base rate of wages instead of the considerably smaller amount which would be required if the raise were to be applied to certain definite coployees.

It is, therefore, my opinion the Act should be so construed as to increase the rate of wages within the limits set by the Act itself rather than that it be confined to those persons who were in the employ of the State on February 2, 1942.

Frank I. Cowan Attorney General

FIC h
ce to Governor Femall
Auditor
Cormissioner of Finance

Commir Bealth and Welfere Highway Dept. Director of Personnel