MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

STATE OF MAINE

123rd Legislature First Regular Session



Summaries of bills and adopted amendments and laws enacted or finally passed during the First Regular Session of the 123rd Maine Legislature coming from the

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON EDUCATION AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

July 2007

MEMBERS:

SEN. PETER B. BOWMAN, CHAIR SEN. ELIZABETH H. MITCHELL SEN. PETER MILLS

REP. JACQUELINE R. NORTON, CHAIR
REP. EDWARD D. FINCH
REP. ELAINE MAKAS
REP. DAVID W. FARRINGTON
REP. CHARLES WILLIAM HARLOW
REP. PATRICIA B. SUTHERLAND
REP. PETER EDGECOMB
REP. HOWARD E. MCFADDEN
REP. ROBERTA M. MUSE
REP. MEREDITH N. STRANG BURGESS

STAFF:

PHILLIP D. McCarthy, Legislative Analyst JILL Ippoliti, Legislative Analyst Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 287-1670

STATE OF MAINE

123rd Legislature First Regular Session

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST OF BILL SUMMARIES AND ENACTED LAWS



This Legislative Digest of Bill Summaries and Enacted Laws summarizes all bills and adopted amendments and all laws enacted or finally passed during the First Regular Session of the 123rd Maine Legislature, which was in session from December 6, 2006 to June 21, 2007.

The *Digest* is arranged alphabetically by committee, and within each committee by LD number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor and lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are summarized and listed by paper number. A subject index is included with each committee. The appendices include a summary of relevant session statistics, an index of all bills by LD number and an index of enacted laws by law type and chapter number.

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CON RES XXX	. Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses	
CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE		
DIED BETWEEN BODIES	House & Senate disagree; bill died	
DIED IN CONCURRENCEOne body accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill		
	Action incomplete when session ended; bill died	
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days	
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINA	AL PASSAGE Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote	
FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE		
	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote	
NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY		
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed	
ONTP (or Accepted ONTP report)	Ought Not To Pass report acceptedCommittee report Ought To Pass In New Draft	
OTP-ND		
P&S XXX		
PASSED	Joint Order passed in both bodies	
PUBLIC XXX	Chapter # of enacted Public Law	
RESOLVE XXX		
UNSIGNED	Bill held by Governor	
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto	

Please note that the effective date for non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session is **September 20, 2007**. The effective date for legislation enacted as an emergency measure is specified in the enacted law summary for those bills.

Joint Standing Committee on Education and Cultural Affairs

with the State Board of Education and other stakeholders, to review issues related to the personnel preparation and professional development opportunities for teachers in regional special education or regional alternative education programs or schools as part of a review of programs available to promote educational opportunities for students with special needs. The amendment requires the Department of Education to submit a report, including findings and recommended legislation, to the Joint Standing Committee on Education and Cultural Affairs by October 1, 2007.

Enacted Law Summary

Resolve 2007, chapter 20 directs the Department of Education, in collaboration with the State Board of Education and other stakeholders, to review issues related to the personnel preparation and professional development opportunities for teachers in regional special education or regional alternative education programs or schools as part of a review of programs available to promote educational opportunities for students with special needs. The law requires the Department of Education to submit a report, including findings and recommended legislation, to the Joint Standing Committee on Education and Cultural Affairs by October 1, 2007.

LD 976

An Act To Encourage Cost Efficiency in Administration of and Contribution to Tax Burden Reduction by School Districts

ONTP

Sponsor(s)	<u>Committee Report</u>	Amendments Adopted
WOODBURY	ONTP	

This bill is a concept draft pursuant to Joint Rule 208. This bill proposes to establish a multitiered school funding formula that is designed to provide additional school funding assistance to school districts that are sufficiently consolidated to gain cost efficiency in administration and to school districts that are contributing to statewide tax burden reduction. Specifically, the proposal would change the Essential Programs and Services funding formula, or EPS, as defined in the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 20-A, chapter 606-B, to create a gradation of mill rate expectations that depend explicitly on district consolidation and budget decisions made by individual school districts in the previous year. The idea is to retain local control of school operations but create much stronger incentives for administrative cost efficiency.

The baseline mill rate expectation for all school districts would be set annually at the rate that could be supported with state general purpose aid funding, or GPA, that is equivalent to 50% of EPS costs. The additional 5% of the State's GPA funding, as required under current law, would be allocated into a supplementary school funding bonus system that would further reduce the mill rate expectation in eligible communities. The local mill rate expectation would be reduced by a fixed amount for each "efficiency point" earned up to a maximum of 5 efficiency points in each school district. Thus there would be in effect 5 tiers of mill rate expectation, depending on the administrative cost efficiency and tax burden reduction targets achieved.

- 1. District size. Consolidated school districts would be awarded efficiency points based on the size of the district, as follows: (a) At least 3,000 students, 3 efficiency points; (b) At least 2,000 but fewer than 3,000 students, 2 efficiency points; and (c) At least 1,000 but fewer than 2,000 students, 1 efficiency point.
- 2. EPS spending. Districts could earn another 2 efficiency points by: (a) Spending at least the EPS baseline on education but exceeding EPS by no more than 5%; or (b) Exceeding EPS costs by proportionately less the previous year than the year prior to that year, indicating a proportional movement toward tax burden reduction.

The value of the efficiency points in terms of their reduction in mill rate expectation would be based on the cost of the mill rate reduction to State Government, calibrated to equate exactly to the incremental resources available from the last 5% of the State's 55% commitment.