MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)

State Of Maine 122nd Legislature

First Regular Session and First Special Session

Bill Summaries

Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary

August 2005

Members: Sen. Barry J. Hobbins, Chair Sen. Lynn Bromley Sen. David R. Hastings III

Rep. Deborah L. Pelletier-Simpson,
Chair
Rep. Sean Faircloth
Rep. Stan Gerzofsky
Rep. Marilyn E. Canavan
Rep. Mark E. Bryant
Rep. Michael Edward Dunn
Rep. Roger L. Sherman
Rep. Roderick W. Carr
Rep. Joan Bryant-Deschenes
Rep. Joan M. Nass
Rep. Donna M. Loring

Staff:

Margaret J. Reinsch, Senior Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis 13 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 287-1670

Maine State Legislature



OFFICE OF POLICY & LEGAL ANALYSIS

13 State House Station, Room 215 Cross State Office Building Augusta, Maine 04333-0013
Telephone: (207) 287-1670
Fax: (207) 287-1275

122nd Legislature First Regular Session and First Special Session

Summary of Legislation Considered by the Joint Standing Committees August 2005

Enclosed please find a summary of all bills, resolves, joint study orders, joint resolutions and Constitutional resolutions that were considered by the joint standing select committees of the Maine Legislature this past session. The document is a compilation of bill summaries which describe each bill and relevant amendments, as well as the final action taken. Also included are statistical summaries of bill activity this session for the Legislature and each of its joint standing committees.

The document is organized for convenient reference to information on bills considered by the committees. It is organized by committees and within committees by bill (LD) number. The committee report(s), prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor(s), if designated, are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed by paper number. A subject index for each committee is included immediately before the bill summaries for that committee, and a numerical index by LD number is included at the back of the document. A separate publication, <u>History and Final Disposition of Legislative Documents</u>, may also be helpful in providing information on the disposition of bills. These bill summaries also are available at the Law and Legislative Reference Library and on the Internet (www.state.me.us/legis/opla).

Final action on each bill is noted to the right of the bill title. The abbreviations used for various categories of final action are as follows:

CARRIED OVER	Bill Carried Over to Second Regular Session
CON RES XXX	Bill Carried Over to Second Regular Session Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses
CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE	
	House & Senate disagree; bill died
DIED IN CONCURRENCE One be	ody accepts ONTP report; the other indefinitely postpones the bill
DIED ON ADJOURNMENT	
EMERGENCY	Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days
FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote
FAILED ENACTMENT/FINAL PASSAGE	Bill failed to get majority vote
FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT	Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote
NOT PROPERLY BEFORE THE BODY	Ruled out of order by the presiding officers; bill died
INDEF PP	Bill Indefinitely Postponed
ONTP	Ought Not To Pass report accepted
OTP ND	Committee report Ought To Pass In New Draft
OTP ND/NT	
P&S XXX	Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law
PUBLIC XXX	
RESOLVE XXX	Chapter # of finally passed Resolve
UNSIGNED	Bill held by Governor
VETO SUSTAINED	Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto

Please note the effective date for all non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Regular Session (unless otherwise specified in a particular law) is *June 29, 2005*; and for non-emergency legislation enacted in the First Special Session is *September 17, 2005*.

Joint Standing Committee on Judiciary

Public Law 2005, chapter 366 provides that when a court finds that a person has been convicted of certain sexual offenses in which the victim was a minor, there is a rebuttable presumption that that person would create a situation of jeopardy for a child if any contact were to be permitted and that any contact is not in the best interest of the child. The presumption of jeopardy applies when the person seeking adoption, contact, primary residence, custody or visitation has any of a number of specific convictions for sexual abuse of a minor. The crimes are the same offenses for which special provisions apply when courts are ordering custody, contact or primary residence under the adoption laws, parental rights and responsibilities laws, grandparent visitation laws and the child protective laws. The crimes are limited to crimes committed when the person was at least five years older than the victim at the time of the abuse, except that a conviction for gross sexual assault of a child under 14 years of age or under 12 years of age results in the presumption if the child submitted because of compulsion, regardless of the age difference. The person seeking the contact, custody or primary residence may produce evidence to rebut the presumption. Chapter 366 contains a parallel provision in the child protection laws relating to the hearing and disposition of jeopardy petitions. It provides a rebuttable presumption that there is jeopardy with regard to a parent or other person responsible for the child who allows, encourages or fails to prevent contact between the child and a person who has been convicted of one of the listed offenses. The same presumption arises when the person has been adjudicated in a child protection action under Title 22, chapter 1071 of having sexually abused a minor. The parent or other person responsible for the child may produce evidence to rebut the presumption.

LD 1245

Resolve, To Increase Safety for Domestic Abuse Victims

ONTP

Sponsor(s)	Committee Report	Amendments Adopted
BARSTOW	ONTP	
HOBBINS		

LD 1245 proposed to direct the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Public Safety to study ways to increase safety for domestic abuse victims and to submit a report to the Second Regular Session of the 122nd Legislature by December 7, 2005.

LD 1248 An Act Regarding the Initiation of Cases of Murder and Class A, B and C Crimes in Superior Court by Complaint

Sponsor(s)	Committee Report	Amendments Adopted
	OTP-AM	H-498

LD 1248 proposed to make statutory changes to the Maine Criminal Code in light of recent amendments to the Maine Rules of Criminal Procedure that eliminate the need for a bind-over hearing by starting a case that involves murder or at least one Class A, Class B or Class C crime, accompanied or unaccompanied by related Class D or Class E crimes, in the Superior Court rather than the District Court.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-498) proposed to remove the District Court's jurisdiction to bind over for the Grand Jury certain crimes. This amendment proposed to add an effective date to make the bill take effect January 1, 2006, which is the effective date of the amendments to the Maine Rules of Criminal Procedure related to this bill that were recently adopted by the Supreme Judicial Court. The rules will not eliminate the bind-over