MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

STATE OF MAINE 116TH LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION

BILL SUMMARIES JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON LEGAL AFFAIRS

JULY 1993

MEMBERS:

*Sen. Richard J. Carey Sen. James R. Handy Sen. Stephen E. Hall

*Rep. Beverly C. Daggett
Rep. William Lemke
Rep. John M. Michael
Rep. James S. Bowers
Rep. Albert P. Gamache
Rep. Albert G. Stevens
Rep. Richard A. Bennett
Rep. Lawrence F. Nash
Rep. Julie-Marie Robichaud
Rep. Harry G. True

*Denotes Chair

Staff: Marion Hylan Barr, Legislative Analyst

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Room 101, State House Station 13 Augusta, ME 04333 (207)287-1670 MARTHA E. FREEMAN, DIRECTOR
WILLIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR., PRINCIPAL ANALYST
JULIE S. JONES, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
DAVID C. ELLIOTT, PRINCIPAL ANALYST
MARION HYLAN BARR
JON CLARK
LISA COPENHAVER
DEBORAH C. FRIEDMAN
MICHAEL D. HIGGINS

JILL IPPOLITI



JOHN B. KNOX
ROY W. LENARDSON
PATRICK NORTON
JANE ORBETON
MARGARET J. REINSCH
PAUL J. SAUCIER
JOHN G. KELLEY, RESEARCHER
DARLENE A. SHORES LYNCH, RESEARCHER
CARRIE C. McFADDEN, RESEARCHER

STATE OF MAINE
OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

ROOM 101/107/135 STATE HOUSE STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 TEL: (207) 287-1670

TEL: (207) 287-1670 FAX (207) 287-1275

ONE HUNDRED AND SIXTEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST REGULAR SESSION

JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE BILL SUMMARIES

JULY 1993

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The volume is organized alphabetically by committee; within each committee, the summaries are arranged by LD number. A subject index is provided at the beginning of each committee's summaries.

The committee report or reports, the prime sponsor for each bill and the lead co-sponsor in each house if one has been designated are listed below each bill title. All adopted amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H-584 or S-222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action on each bill is listed to the right of the title. Various types of final action are abbreviated as follows:

PUBLIC XXX Chapter # of enacted Public Law P&S XXX Chapter # of enacted Private & Special Law RESOLVE XXX Chapter # of enacted Resolve Chapter # of Constitutional Resolution passed by both Houses CON RES XXX Enacted law takes effect sooner than 90 days **EMERGENCY** CARRIED OVER Bill carried over to Second Session Ought Not to Pass report accepted ONTP ENACTMENT FAILED Bill failed to get majority vote INDEF PP Bill Indefinitely Postponed FAILED EMERGENCY ENACTMENT Emergency bill failed to get 2/3 vote FAILED MANDATE ENACTMENT Bill imposing local mandate failed to get 2/3 vote **DIED BETWEEN BODIES** House & Senate disagree; bill died CONF CMTE UNABLE TO AGREE Committee of Conference formed but unable to agree **VETO SUSTAINED** Legislature failed to override Governor's Veto UNSIGNED Not signed by Governor within 10 days DIED ON ADJOURNMENT Action incomplete when session ended; bill died

These summaries were prepared by the analyst or analysts assigned to the committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including secretaries: Charlene Raymond and Valarie Parlin.

If you have any suggestions or comments on these summaries, please let us know.

4693NRG

This bill differs from other operating-under-the-influence laws primarily in 2 ways. First, the excessive blood-alcohol level for operators of aircrafts is set at 0.04% or more by weight of alcohol in the blood to be consistent with regulations of the Federal Aviation Administration. Secondly, under the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 6, section 205, subsection 10, paragraph C as enacted in this bill, results of any chemical test given to an operator of an aircraft or the refusal by an operator of an aircraft to submit to a chemical test must be reported to the Federal Aviation Administration.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-400) adds a fiscal note to the bill.

Senate Amendment "A" (S-222) corrects a technical error.

in State Elec

An Act to Restrict Private Political Campaign Contributions in State Elections

DIED BETWEEN BODIES

SPONSOR(S)

COMMITTEE REPORT

AMENDMENTS ADOPTED

RICHARDSON

ONTP OTP-AM MAJ MIN

HANDY

CARLETON

SUMMARY

LD 1451

This bill would have amended existing campaign and election practices as follows.

- The Commission of Governmental Ethics and Election Practices would have been replaced by the Maine Elections and Ethics Commission, an independent 7-member commission appointed by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Judicial Court with the concurrence of a 2/3 majority vote of each House of the Legislature.
- 2. A new fund would have been established, the Maine Democracy Fund, to finance election campaigns for the offices of Governor, State Senator and State Representative.
- 3. The Maine Democracy Fund would have received money from the following sources: a \$4 Maine taxpayer filing fee; a voluntary nonfiler fee of \$4; increased lobbyist registration fees; and candidate filing fees including the personal check of the candidate and a specified number of support checks of \$5 for candidates for State Senator or State Representative and \$10 for candidates for Governor.
- 4. Candidates who chose to participate in the fund would have been required to obtain an increased number of signatures for their nominations. Those candidates would have received State of Maine debit cards to be used for all campaign expenditures; the cards would have drawn down amounts credited to each candidate's account in the Maine Democracy Fund as specified by the law for each office.
- 5. Candidates who chose not to participate in the fund would have generally followed existing filing and reporting requirements except that the bill specified a new schedule requiring their more frequent filing of campaign finance reports.
- 6. If candidates who chose not to participate in the Maine Democracy Fund exceeded the spending limit set for participating candidates, a participating opponent would have received funds on a dollar-for-dollar match with the nonparticipating candidate's expenditures, but the participating opponent's expenditures would have been capped at 3 times the original amount credited to a participating candidate under the bill.

- 7. The bill would have placed strict limits on in-kind contributions and soft money expenditures for participating candidates.
- 8. The bill would have required the Maine Elections and Ethics Commission to provide recommendations for additional provisions concerning audits, accountability and sanctions to be presented to the Legislature for adoption or disapproval.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-587), part of the minority committee report, would have made the following changes.

- 1. The amendment would have changed the selection process of the Commission on Governmental Ethics and Elections Practices and renamed the commission the Maine Elections and Ethics Commission.
- 2. The amendment would have required that a candidate for Governor obtain at least 7,500 and not more than 10,000 voter signatures and pay a \$2,000 filing fee to participate in the fund. The amendment would have required that a candidate for State Senator obtain at least 400 and not more than 600 voter signatures and pay a \$250 filing fee to participate in the fund. The amendment would have required that a candidate for State Representative obtain at least 200 and not more than 300 voter signatures and pay a filing fee of \$125 to participate in the fund. Unenrolled candidates would have had to obtain double the amount of signatures and pay the same filing fee as above.
- 3. The amendment set forth requirements special election candidates would have had to follow in to participate in the fund.
- 4. The amendment would have clarified reporting requirements for those candidates not participating in the fund.
- 5. The amendment would have required the State Tax Assessor to transfer the \$4 fee paid by each taxpayer to the fund.
- The amendment would have set limits on the amount of fund money distributed to unopposed candidates in postprimary elections.
- 7. The amendment also would have made technical changes and added a fiscal note to the bill.

LD 1452 An Act Concerning the Subject Matter of Referenda

ONTP

SPONSOR(S)

COMMITTEE REPORT

AMENDMENTS ADOPTED

BEGLEY

ONTP

SUMMARY

This bill would have amended the laws governing initiative petitions to require that the voters be presented with a separate question for each policy objective that a proposed initiative addresses. The Secretary of State would have been given the duty of reviewing all initiative petitions to determine if there were multiple policy objectives, and, if so, ensure that a separate question was presented for each policy objective.