

### STATE OF MAINE 113TH LEGISLATURE SECOND REGULAR SESSION



### BILL SUMMARY JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

#### MAY 1988

#### MEMBERS

Sen. Joseph C. Brannigan \* Sen. N. Paul Gauvreau Sen. Henry W. Black Rep. Patrick E. Paradis \* Rep. Daniel E. Warren Rep. Harry L. Vose Rep. Constance D. Cote Rep. Gerard P. Conley, Jr. Rep. Dale F.Thistle Rep. Mary H. MacBride Rep. Jeanne F. Begley Rep. Dana C. Hanley Rep. Francis C. Marsano

\* Denotes Chair

Staff: Gil Brewer, Legal Analyst Martha Freeman, Legal Analyst

Office of Policy & Legal Analysis Room 101, State House Sta. 13 Augusta, ME 04333 (207) 289-1670 EN T. GINDER, DIRECTOR EN WHITESIDE, DEP. DIRECTOR ERT W. BREWER ID C. ELLIOTT ) FLATEBO THA E. FREEMAN, SR. ATTY. B. GAUTSCHI LIAM T. GLIDDEN, JR.



JULIE S. JONES JOHN B. KNOX EDWARD POTTER MARGARET J. REINSCH LARS H. RYDELL JOHN R. SELSER CAROLYN J. CHICK, PARALEGAL ROBERT W. DUNN, RES. ASST HARTLEY PALLESCHI, JR. RES. ASST

STATE OF MAINE OFFICE OF POLICY AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

ROOM 101/107/135 STATE HOUSE STATION 13 AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333 TEL.: (207) 289-1670

## ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE FIRST & SECOND SPECIAL SESSIONS SECOND REGULAR SESSION

### JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE BILL SUMMARIES MAY 1988

This document is a compilation of the bill summaries prepared by this office for the Joint Standing Committees and Joint Select Committees of the Maine Legislature. The summaries are arranged by LD number under each committee.

All Adopted Amendments are listed, by paper number (e.g., H-584 or S-222), together with the sponsor for floor amendments. Final action is listed to the right of the title. If final House and Senate action differ, both are listed. Committee Reports and Floor Action are indicated as follows::

OTP	Ought to Pass
OTP-ND	Ought to Pass in New Draft
OTP-ND-NT	Ought to Pass in New Draft, New Title
OTP-A	Ought to Pass as Amended
ONTP	Ought Not to Pass
LVWD	Leave to Withdraw
INDEF PP	Indefinitely Postponed

Each individual summary was prepared by the analyst assigned, as noted for each committee. But, this document was produced by the efforts of all the office staff, including Secretaries: Charlene Brann, Janet Jean, Earl Knox, Valarie Parlin; Research Assistant Hartley Palleschi. Finally, Secretary Laurette Knox, Legal Assistant Carolyn Chick, and Research Assistant Robert Dunn had special responsibilities in the preparation of the overall document.

Please give us your suggestions and comments on these summaries and tell us of any inaccuracies.

LD An Act Relating to the Penobscot Indian Reservation 2248 PUBLIC 712

SPONSOR (S)	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
PEARSON	OTP-AM	S-373
CASHMAN		

#### SUMMARY

LD 2248 extended the description of the Penobscot Indian Reservation in the Maine Indian Land Claims Settlement Act to include land to be obtained from Bangor Hydro-electric Co. in exchange for present reservation land to be flooded by a new dam.

Committee Amendment "A" (S-373) stripped the emergency from the LD and added the necessary certification clause.

LD	An Act to Study Alternative Dispute Resolution in the	P&S
2249	Superior Court	121

SPONSOR (S)	COMMITTEE REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
BRANNIGAN	OTP-AM	S-508 PEARSON

# FOSTER ALLEN

MURPHY T

#### SUMMARY

The bill requires the Judicial Council to carry out a pilot program extending the process of mediation to Superior Court civil cases. The program will commence on September 1, 1988 and terminate on March 1, 1990. The council will submit a final report to the Legislature accompanied by its recommendations, including any necessary implementing legislation, no later than July 1, 1990.

LD An Act to Revise the Procedures for Enforcing Money LV/WD 2285 Judgments

SPONSOR (S)	COMMITTEE	REPORT	AMENDMENTS ADOPTED
MARTIN J	LV/WD		
PARADIS P			

#### SUMMARY

LD 2285 proposed minor technical changes to the law enacted last session regarding the disclosure process in enforcing court judgments and proposed 2 substantive revisions. It proposed to eliminate the requirement that an execution be filed with the disclosure subpoena if judgment had been entered by the same court. It also proposed to remove the requirement that a creditor file an affidavit with the court stating that the creditor knows of no good reason why a debtor has failed to appear in order to have a civil order of arrest issued or to have the debtor served with a contempt subpoena.

Office of Policy and Legal Analysis .....page 16 Judiciary