MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

REPORT TO THE

APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE

106th MAINE LEGISLATURE

WITH RECOMMENDATIONS TO

ADOPT BASIC POLICIES

TO GUIDE THE

APPROPRIATION OF STATE FUNDS

FOR SOCIAL SERVICES

Drafted by: Robert A. Frates March 8, 1973 207-289-2486

Legislative Research Committee Jon R. Doyle, General Counsel 106th Maine Legislature State House Augusta, Maine 04330

		ţ

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATE LAW LIBRARY AUGUSTA, MAINE PAGE

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Social Security Act

i

11

PART ONE

·	
INTRODUCTION	1
BASIC POLICY ISSUE	2
PRIORITIES	4
CURRENT SOCIAL SERVICES	8
EXPENDITURES	8
TYPES	11
STATE EXPENDITURES FOR PRIORITY SERVICES	13
AAJOR RECOMMENDATION FUNDING AND PROGRAM	15
FUNDING IMPLICATIONS thru JUNE 30,1973	18
PART TWO	
TABLES AND SUMMARIES	
Summary of known appropriation requests related to social services	1
Listing of legislation related to funding social services formerly or potentially matchable under the Social Security Act	3
Results of poll of Maine Chapter, National Association of Social Workers - in order of rank	6
Results of poll of Maine Chapter, National Association of Social Workers - in alphabetical Order	8
Summary of Actual expenditures for social services July 1,1971 to December 31,1972	10
Summary of types of service contractually ourchased by Maine Department of Health and Welfare under Titles IV-A and XVI of the	

	Analysis of legislation seeking	·
	state funding of meals for older people	23
	County summary of social services contractually purchased under Social Security Act	28
	Social Security Act funds potentially available	36
	Appropriation requests summary	36
•		

SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS TO ADOPT BASIC POLICIES TO GUIDE APPROPRIATIONS

OF STATE FUNDS FOR PRICRITY SOCIAL SERVICES

INTRODUCTION: Basic policy questions were raised during legislative action on L.D. 79 (N.D. 394). This L.D. proposed direct state financing of 48 social agencies to cover 100% of the cost of offering social services to people not receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled.

It is recommended that the Legislature:

- 1. Set priorities among types of social services for purposes of state appropriations. To implement this, it is recommended that the Legislature declare the priority rating of social services resulting from the 1973 NASW Poll as an official bench-mark to which it will adhere when deciding matters of policy and appropriations related to social services; and
- 2. Make a public investment decision to appropriate funds to provide priority social services, which may not qualify for federal reimbursement under Title IV and XVI of the Social Security Act or other federal legislation; and
- 3. Designate these types of services as priority social services to be funded with state dollars providing services which may not qualify for federal funding under Titles IV-A & XVI:

Homemaker-Health Aide Services

Mental Health Services

Developmental Daycare

Coordinated Elderly Programs

Services for the Mentally Retarded

Transportation Services

Meals for Older People

- 4. Cease appropriating money allocated to specific agencies to finance 100% of certain services, in effect guaranteeing part of that agency's budget; and
- 5. Appropriate an amount to pay no more than a specific percent of the expenditures for a particular priority service, on the condition that public and private community and federal resources share the cost of each service; and

		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
		1 1 1 1
		1 1 1 1
		1 1 1
•		

6. Set the maximum percentage of expenditures for which state funds will pay for each priority service as:

75% Homemaker - Health Aides 50% Mental Health Services

50% Davelopmental Daycare 50% Coordinated Elderly Programs

75% Mentally Retarded 50% Transportation Services

50% Meals for Older People

- 7. Enact enabling legislation to establish a state funded program to contractually purchase services from private, non-profit or public agencies. Proposals would be accepted on a first come, first served best proposal basis accepted in terms of the most effective service provided at the most reasonable cost; and
- 8. Establish unified administration of state funded and federally funded (purchase of) social service programs to ensure program coordination, adequate accountability and evaluation; as well as more equitable distribution of such programs throughout the state; and

9. Implement these recommendations:

- A. By appropriating \$391,000 to fund priority social services through June 30, 1973; and
- B. By appropriating \$1,197,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1974 and \$1,443,000 for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975 to provide state funding for a portion of the expenditures for each service under the condition non-state resources fund the remaining percent of expenditures for each program; and
- C. Enacting detailed "enabling" legislation to authorize proper administration of the program.

Introduction:

Basic policy questions were raised during the legislative action on L.D. 79 (N.D. 394). This L.D. proposed direct financing of 48 social agencies to cover 100% of the cost of offering social services to people not receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) and Aid to the Aged Blind and disabled (AABD). L.D. 79 requested continuation of certain services previously existing in selected parts of the state, solely because the services had existed before.

The Legislature through Appropriations Committee requested recommendations in response to the following questions:

- 1. What is the quality and quantity of each service and the administrative capacity of each agency?
- 2. What is the territorial scope of each service?
- 3. What is the most reasonable way to deliver the social services in question?
- 4. What is an appropriate level of state funding in combination with public and private community resources?

BASIC POLICY ISSUE

Under Titles IVA and XVI of the Social Security Act a biennial total of \$24,600,000 in federal funds is potentially available to reimburse the State of Maine for 75% of \$32,800,000 in total expenditures for social services which qualify to be reimbursed by federal funds, if such services are offered primarily to welfare recipients. Actual receipt of the federal funds is dependent on expenditure of \$8,200,000 in public or private funds during the biennium for social services qualifying for federal reimbursement.

Additionally, appropriation requests now before the 106th Legislature seek \$5,607,455 in state funds to offer types of social service for delivery to populations within our state that do not qualify for federal reimbursement under Titles IVA and XVI of the Social Security Act.

Thus, the policy and financial question is: Shall the people of Maine expend \$38,407,455 for delivery of social services only to recipients of welfare or to people not on welfare; and shall we offer only limited types of services which qualify for federal

reimbursement or offer a wider range of services, some of which may not qualify for federal reimbursement?

,		

Recommendation 1. It is recommended that the Legislature set priorities among types of social services for purposes of making state appropriations, after analysis of the needs for certain types of social services, the current availability of social services, and the availability of public and private funding resources at the community, county, state and national level. The Legislature should not evaluate individual services operated by particular agencies.

PRIORITIES

A key to establishing priorities is obtaining a relatively objective, unbiased estimation of the importance of one type of service versus other types of service. Through the cooperation of a qualified, experienced group of professionals, the Maine Chapter, National Association of Social Workers, the full two hundred (200) members of that group were invited by mail to complete a form ranking the importance of various social services.

Ninety-eight (98) replies were received and eighty-nine(89) were tabulated. Nine(9) replies were not tabulated because they were improperly completed or were postmarked after the deadline. The forty-nine (49) percent rate of return is quite high for a mail questionnaire. The thoroughness of replies indicates thoughtful and considered opinions were obtained. All but one reply was signed as requested.

Some people will raise the question of why consumers, citizens-at-large and other professionals were not querried. This is a legitimate question. Unfortunately in the three weeks available to prepare this report, it was not possible to pursue every potential course of gathering data pertinent to the issue. Given the Legislative Research Committee's general knowledge of social issues and problems, we feel confident that the priority rating of social services will stand the test of public scrutiny and debate.

The result of the NASW Poll are given on the following pages. The first listing starts with the highest rated service as number one with a rating of 1.415 and ends with the lowest rated service as thirty-five and a rating of 3.932. The second enumeration is an alphabetical listing that shows the total score and rating of each service. Twenty-two(22) replies contained listings of "other services" added by the respondent. Among "other services", counselling of unwed mothers was mentioned the largest number of times - four (4). People completing a form were asked to rank each type of service by a 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5; one if a service is high priority and five if a service is low priority, et cetera.

It should be noted that the priority listing contains thirty-five types of service, whereas the appropriation request under consideration involves only 21 types of services. For example, two of the first four priority services, protective services and foster care for children, are not under consideration for funding herein, and are services generally administered directly by the Department of Health and Welfare, rather than through contractually purchased services.

Below is a priority listing of twenty-one types of services under consideration for funding. The list is an abstract of the full priority listing of thirty-five types of services.

As a way to implement the first recommendation, <u>it is</u>

recommended that the Legislature declare the priority rating of

social services resulting from the 1973 NASW Poll as an official

benchmark to which it will adhere when deciding matters of policy
and appropriations related to social services.

		,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		1 1 1 1
		1 1 1 1
		1 1 1
		1

Average Priority Rating

Type of Social Service

1.415		Health related services for families
1.550	. ·	Health related services for adults
1.617		Homemaker services for families
1.651		Homemaker services for adults
1.696		Developmental Daycare for children
2.056		Special Services for mentally retarde
2.112		Meals, home delivered and congregate for adults
2.134		Mental health services
2.202		Transportation
2.325		Aftercare for adults
2.449		School related guidance and social services
2.539		Housing improvement services
2.629		Information and referral services
2.651		Youth development programs
2.707		Senior citizen centers
2.719		Social planning services
2.724		Educational services
2.954		Custodial Daycare for children
3.202		Community and neighborhood developmen
3.348		Recreation
3.932		Camperships

	,		
		-	
	*		

CURRENT SOCIAL SERVICES

Review of a priority listing of social services is not the sole criteria to consider when deciding how to utilize available resources. Expenditures for, the types of, and geographical location of social services are also significant factors to weigh.

CURRENT SOCIAL SERVICES - EXPENDITURES

Analysis of the summary of Actual Expenditures (see part 2, page 10) shows that in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1972 a total of \$8,518,779 was expended for social services qualifying for federal reimbursement under Titles IVA and XVI of the Social Security Act. In that fiscal year Maine received flederal reimbursement of \$6,389,084 under those Titles.

Expenditures to date for this fiscal year ending June 30, 1973 are currently running well ahead of the same period of the previous fiscal year. Through December 30, 1972 total expenditures were \$5,668,877 earning federal reimbursement of \$4,251,157 to date.

It is projected that actual expenditures for this fiscal year will total \$12,800,000 thereby earning federal matching funds in the amount of \$9,600,000 under Titles IVA and XVI. The Department

	•	

of Health and Welfare may not accept this projection. I stand ready to be corrected, if the facts warrant.

The crucial point is that during this fiscal year \$12,800,000 will be expended for social services primarily benefiting Maine's needy people who receive Aid to Families with Dependent Children and Aid to Aged, Blind and Disabled.

In contrast to these actual and projected expenditures the Maine Legislature must consider whether to fund a request for appropriations of more than \$5 million dollars to provide social services to low income people not on welfare. It must consider whether to fully pay for these services which do not qualify to earn federal funds at a matching ratio of 75% under Titles IVA and XVI.

Recommendation 2: It is recommended that the Legislature:

- A. Declare strongly its intent that all federal funds allocated to or earmarked for Maine should be utilized to the extent permitted by existing state appropriations and community resources;
- B Appropriate funds to provide to low income people priority social services, which may not qualify for federal reimbursement under Titles IVA and XVI of the Social Security Act.

		·	

C. Memorialize Congress expressing the State of Maine's recommendation that Congress rescind earlier action restricting use of Social Security Act funds primarily to recipients of welfare, and in place thereof to authorize use of these funds for a reasonable number of low income citizens who do not receive welfare.

,	1	

Current Social Services - Types

The types of social services which may be funded under

Title IV-A and Title XVI of the Social Security Act or by

the Legislature encompass at least 35 different services.

L.D. 79 proposed funding 21 types of service. In view of the

limited financial resources of the State of Maine, it is not

possible to fund all services, or to fund any one service

wholly with state funds.

The basic policy question at hand is: "Which types of social service are of sufficient priority and reasonable cost that they deserve state funding to achieve a balanced range of services that will effectively help citizens?" By reviewing the Summary of Types of Services Contractually Purchased (see Part 2 - Page 11), it is possible to correlate the priority types of services with the estimated annual cost of providing the service. A similar summary is not available to show comparable information for services offered directly by the Department of Health and Welfare.

Of the top priority services, the first, health related services, is not recommended for funding. It has a high cost and presently only serves one portion of one city - Portland. Additionally, the propriety of providing such care as a social service is questionable, while the cost may be more appropriately considered for inclusion in other legislation before the 106 th Legislature.

11,

	1			

Six other services including homemakers, daycare, services to the mentally retarded, meals for older people, mental health services and coordinated programs for the elderly have priority ratings of 2.300 or higher.

The last type of service - coordinated programs for the elderly- was not specifically rated as a separate priority in the NASW Poll. The service consists of five individual services and the overall rating was obtained by averaging the ratings for the five individual services making up coordinated programs. This rating of 2.233 places it seventh(7) in the NASW Poll, after mental health service

Considering the limited availability of state funds, the lower priority ratings of the remaining types of service, and the total cost in state funds to support provision of services to low income people, the following approach is suggested:

Recommendation 3: It is recommended that these types of services be designated as priority services and funded with state dollars to provide services which may not qualify for federal funding under

Titles IV-A and XVI:					
Priority Rating	Type of Service				
1.617	Homemaker				
1.696	Developmental Daycare				
2.056	Mentally Retarded Services				
2.112	Meals for Older People				
2.134	Mental Health Services				
2.202	Transportation				
2.223	Elderly Programs				

The known requests for appropriations to fund social services, total more than \$5 million dollars for the biennium, including emergency proposals to finance programs in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1973. It is estimated that based on annualized figures the cost for one fiscal year would total more than \$1.8 million dollars.

In many instances the Legislature is being asked to have the State assume 100% of the cost of a service delivered by a particular agency, If 100% funding is earmarked for an agency, it in effect guarantees part of the agency's budget.

Recognizing the wisdom of sharing the costs for social services, even for priority services, it is recommended that the Legislature cease appropriating money allocated to specific agencies to finance 100% of the cost of certain social services.

RECOMMENDATION 4

It is recommended that the Legislature appropriate an amount of state funds for priority social services to pay no more than a specified percent of the expenditures for a particular priority service, on the condition that public and private community and federal resources share the cost of each service, in an amount equal to 100% of the actual expenditures, minus no more than the maximum state percentage specified below.

It is especially important to note that if recommendations 3 and 4 are accepted, that funding shall not be guaranteed directly to any agency seeking state funding for continuation of services after June 30, 1973.

Those agencies funded by L.D. 394 as well as any similar agency throughout

the state would be eligible to seek a purchase of service contract, but would not have a legislated perrogative guaranteeing the agency an allocation of funds. As of July 1, 1973, all areas of the state would have equal opportunity to seek a portion of these funds. Contracts would be awarded on a first come, first served, best proposal basis. There is a discussion on page 16 of setting controls to insure equal distribution of services throughout the state.

RECOMMENDATION 5

It is recommended that the maximum percentage of expenditures for which state funds will pay for each priority service shall be:

Homemakers	75%
Daycare	50%
Mentally Retarded	75%
Meals	50%
Mental Health	50%
Transportation	50%
Elderly Programs	50%

Analysis of accounting records indicates expenditures for social services to recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children are from 5 to 10 times higher than expenditures for services to recipients of Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled. The number of persons receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children is approximately 3.5 times higher than the number of people receiving Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled.

It is generally acknowledged that recipients of Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled do not require social service help as often or as intensively as younger people, but the proportion of expenditures to help older people does seem small.

The disparity in expenditures between social services to people receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children and Aid to the Aged,

Blind and Disabled is greater in contract purchases than state operated services. During one quarter of the year expenditures for social services purchased on behalf of younger families exceeded expenditures for services purchased for older people by 119 to 1.

Analysis of the <u>County Summary of Social Services</u> found in Part 2

Page 28, shows a wide variation among counties in the current distribution of contractually purchased social services. A similar summary is not available showing the distribution of social services operated directly by the Department of Health and Welfare.

It does seem clear that contractually purchased services, and probably services provided by the Department, serve primarily recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children, rather than people receiving Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled. Additionally, services are not uniformly available in all sections of the State in proportion to proported needs for service

RECOMMENDATION 6 Major Conclusion:

It is recommended that state funds and detailed enabling legislation be enacted establishing a state grant program to finance a percentage of each priority social service. Funds should be earmarked primarily for contractual purchase of service from private, non-profit or public agencies to provide services to citizens who may not qualify for service paid for under Titles IVA and XVI of the Social Security Act. The purpose of this program would be to provide a more equitable distribution of service to more

				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
				1
				1 1 1 1
			r	1 1
				1
,				

parts of the state, among the priority types of service and to older people. It is recommended that the enabling legislation establish unified administration of state funded and federally funded purchase of social service programs to ensure program coordination, adequate accountability and evaluation, and development of programs that are more statewide in nature.

Based on funding types of service a percentage of the estimated expenditures for each service, the following amounts are recommended for state funding of priority services:

Estimated Annual *

Cost of Requests before

the 106th for certain

\$

AMOUNT RECOMMENDED FOR APPROPRIATION

SOCIAL SERVICES	TYPE OF SERVICE	1973-4	1974 - 5
446,686	Homemakers	400,000	480,000
489,664	Daycare	285,000	345,000
27,208	Mentally Retarded	25,000	30,000
286,000	Meals	165,000	200,000
432,130	Mental Health	250,000	300,000
10,000	Transportation	7,000	8,500
119,000	Elderly Programs	65,000 .	80,000
1,811,234	\$	1,197,000	\$ 1,443,500

^{*} The amounts listed in the left hand column may not balance with the estimated amounts listed on the Summary of Services Purchased since some totals here include requests submitted via L.D. 's other than L.D. 79

		•

The following types of services are <u>not recommended</u> for funding and the annual amounts not recommended by this report are:

Rating	Types of Service	Rank	Estimated annual cost
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1,415	Health Related	1	404,000
2.449	School Related Guidance	19	49,280
2.651	Youth Development	24	107,758
2.719	Planning	26	9,375
2.724	Educational	27	36,252
3,202	Neighborhood Devlpm't	33	114,000
3.932	Camperships	35	56,738
N/A	St. Andre's	N/A	166,480
		TOTAL.	\$ 943,883

		,

The first step recommended to implement the basic policy would be to authorize drafting of legislation to fund only priority social services from March 15 to June 30, 1973 at the actual cost of operation during that period and in each instance at no more than the amount equal to the total cost for each service compiled from the semiannual cost estimate for each service submitted to the Legislature by the Executive Department.

The cost * of funding priority social services March 15 to June 30, 1973 would be as follows:

Homemaker	\$84,876
Daycare	66,097
Mentally Retarded	3,006
Meals for Older People	51,500
Mental Health	123,276
Transportation	2,500
Elderly Programs	34,668
Total .	\$ 365,923

^{*} Estimate includes emergency funding of some priority social services previously supported with federal funds other than the Social Security Act.

		,

The following services previously funded by L.D. 394 would not receive a total of \$256,193 for the period March 15 to June 30,1973 if this step is implemented.

Uon1th	Polatod	Services
neaicn	кетасео	Services

School Related Guidance

Youth Development

Social Planning

Educational Services

Neighborhood Development

Camperships

- Shared Core

- Rosa True

- Lewiston Elementary
Guidance Services

- Lewiston Youth Opportunities

- North Central District Y

- Bangor YM

- Bangor-Brewer YW

- Samson Recreation Center

Aroostook 4-C

- Lewiston Remedial Reading

- U of M Adult Learning

- Danforth Street Center

- Westside Center

- Rural Council
Community Action

- Camping Unlimited

•				
		,		

The second step recommended to implement the basic policy is for the Legislature to authorize drafting of enabling and appropriations legislation to institute and fund a state grant ... program for priority social services to be effective July 1, 1973.



PART 2

TABLES

AND

SUMMARIES

[Except Part I]

			•			
L.D. NO.	ACCOUNT		<u> 1972 - 73</u>	1973 - 4	1974 - 5	TOTAL
79	Supplemental Social Services not qualified for federal matching under IV A & XVI	i	1,000,000			1,000,000
394				•	•	
N.D. 79	Supplemental Social					
	Services not qualified for federal matching	1	•		**	
	under IV A & XVI		350,000			
			•			
						•
343	Supplemental Social Services not qualified for federal matching	i				
	under IV A & AVI 2Nd Adds 5 Homemakers'	Personnel All Other Capital	(5)	27,490 1,072,000 510	(5) 28,900 1,571,100	
•				1,100,000	1,600,000	2,700,000
571	Supplemental Social Services (over & above LD 394 & 343)			650,000	650,000	1,300,000
858	Information and Refer					
0,0	THIORESTION and Meren	Personne1		13) 113,500	(13) 115,000	
		All Other		33,425	24,500	•
 $x_{\text{KK}} = x_{\text{KK}} + x_{\text$	ээсэ (ммэ каминуулсын жүүлсын жайын жайы	nn nidd y y y flwiddlyddiwn o hon f wedd benlef yn dd hall diwllyddiwn y mann y mae'r men frwyd y mei frwyd y Gaell y y y flwidd y gael		146,925	139,500	286,425

UNK	Homemakers	Personne All Othe Capital		9,440	(26) 37,150 9,440	
654,487 UNK	* Meals for Elderly		71,000	48,440 89,000	46,590 66,000	95,030 226,000
	UESTS FOR L SOCIAL SERV	/ICES	1,071,000	2,034,365	2,502,090	5,607,455

* \$ 54,480 for meals is already included under L.D. 79

LISTING OF LEGISLATION RELATED TO FUNDING OF SOCIAL SERVICES FORMERLY OR POTENTIALLY MATCHABLE UNDER THE SOCIAL SECURITY ACT

		•			
L.D.' & Sponsor	N.	SHORT TITLE	COMMITTEE	Purpose	ACTION
79. S. Brennan	·	Additional appropriations year ending 6-30-73	Appropriations	Provide ^{\$1} million in lieu of federal funds to provide social services to the working poor thru 6-30-73	New Draft LD 394 provide \$350,000 thru March 15 passed
342					
S. Sewall		Current Services			
		Budget	Appropriations	Part I services includes several items now pro- viding state funds matched under T.IVA and XVI	Hearing in Progress
			•	(see:p.195,197 and 200 of budget document)	
		·		or budger document)	
343					
S. Sevall		Supplemental Services			
••		Budget	Appropriations	Part II services also includes related items.See pg. 361,495 of budget document	None to date

projects

858 Rep. White	Establish information and Referral service in Health and Welfare	Health & Institutions	Authorize such service and appropriate \$147,000 for 1973 and \$140,000 for 1974-5	None
				•
D ? Rep White	Expand Homemaker Service	unk	Authorize and fund 26 additional homemakers	None

Results of Poll of Maine Chapter, National Association of

Social Workers February 1973

(Results listed in order of priority, highest priority first)

Average Priority Rating	Type of Social Service	Rank
1.415	Health Related Services for Families	1.
1.505	Protective Services for Children	2.
1.550	Health Related Services for Adults	3.
1.595	Foster Care for Children	4.
1.617	Homemaker Services for Families	5.
1.651	Homemaker Services for Adults	6.
1.696	Developmental Daycare for Children	7.
2.056	Special Services for Mentally Retarded	8.
2.101	Family Planning	9.
2.101	Protective Services for Adults	10.
2.112	Meals Home Delivered & Congregate for Adults	11.
2.134	Mental Health Services	12.
2.202	Transportation	13.
2.318	Foster Care for Adults	14.
2.325	Aftercare for Adults	15.
2.337	Special Services for Drug Addiction	16.
2.348	Special Services for Alcoholism	17.
2.359	Vocational Rehabilitation Services	18.
2.449	School Related Guidance and Social Services	19.
2.516	Employment & Cultural Services for Families	20.
2.528	Special Services for the Blind	21.

PART 2-PAGE 6

2.539	Housing Improvement Services	22.
2.629	Information and Referral Services	23.
2.651	Youth Development Programs	24.
2.707	Senior Citizen Centers	25.
2.719	Social Planning Services	2 6.
2. 724	Educational Services	27.
2. 752	Custodial Care for Adults	28.
2.820	Home Management & Other Social Services	29.
2.941	Chore Service for Adults	30.
2. 954	Custodial Daycare for Children	31.
3.168	Volunteer Services	32.
3.202	Community or Neighborhood Development	33.
3.348	Recreation Programs	34.
3.932	Camperships	35.

Results of Poll of Maine Chapter, National Association of Social Workers

February 1973

TYPE OF SOCIAL SERVICE	TOTAL SCORE	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	AVERAGE PRIORITY RATING
Aftercare for Adults	207	89	2.325
Camperships	350	89	3.932
Chore Service for Adults	250	85	2.941
Community or Neighborhood Development	285	89	3.202
Custodial Daycare for Children	260	88	2.954
Custodial Daycare for Adults	2 45	89	2.752
Developmental Daycare for Children	151	39	1.696
Educational Services	237	87	2.724
Employment & Cultural Services for Families	224	89	2.516
Family Planning	187	89	2.101
Foster Care for Adults	204	88	2.318
Foster Care for Children	142	89	1.595
Health Related Services for Adults	138	89	1.550
Health Related Services for Families	126	89	1.415
Homemaker Services for Adults	147	89	1.615
Homemaker Services for Families	144	89	1.617
Home Management & Other Functional Services for Adults & Families	251	89	2.820
Housing Improvement Services	226	89	2.539
Information and Referral Services	234	89	2.629
Meals Home Delivered & Congregate for Adults	188	89	2.112

PART 1 - PAGE B

109	89	2.134
187	89	2.101
134	89	1.505
298	89	3.348
241	89	2.707
218	89	2.449
242	89	2.719
209	89	2.348
225	89	2.528
on208	89	2.337
183	89	2.056
196	89	2.202
210	89	2.359
282	89	3.168
236	89	2.651
	187 134 298 241 218 242 209 225 208 183 196 210 282	187 89 134 89 298 89 241 89 218 89 242 89 209 89 225 89 2010 89 210 89 282 89

Summary of Actual Expeditures for Social Services July 1,1971 to Dec. 31, 1972 (including supporting administrative and training costs)

QUARTER ENDING	for			Federal Share at 75% Participation			State Share at 25% Participation	
	State Operated	Contract Purchases	Total	State Operated	Contract Purchases	Total	State Operated	Contral Purchases
9-30-71	817,464	479,213	1,296,677	613,097	359,410	972,507	204,367	119,803
12-30-71	881,972	1,435,206	2,317,178	661,480	1,076,404	1,737,884	220,492	358,802
3-31-72	1,268,974	1,111,027	2,380,001	951,730	833,270	1,785,000	317,244	277,757
6 -30-7 2	1,428,021	1,096,902	2,524,923	1,071,016	822,677	1,893,693	357,005	274,225
9-30-72	1,510,500	1,680,744	3,191,244	1,132,875	1,260,558	2,393,433	377,625	420,186
12-30-72	1,405,881	1,071,752	2,477,633	1,054,410	803,814	1,858,224	351,470	267,938
TOTAL FOUR QUARTERS ENDING: 6-30-72	4,396,431	4,122,348	8,518,779	3,297,323	3,091,761	6,389,084	1,099,108	1,030,587
TOTAL TWO QUARTERS ENDING 12-30-72	2,916,381	2,752,496	5,668,877	2,187,285	2,064,372	4,251,657	729,095	688,124

	ITLES IVA AND XVI of the	kelen lami katusahin menemban meterti membantan terbangan ayan yang dan dalam yang den tengan mengantan sayan te	
Services listed in priority order	Former Contract Annualized *	Current Contract Annualized **	Estimated Annual Cost of Providing Service to Lower Income People not on AFDC or AABD ***
Health Related Services	634,960	33,640	404,000
Homemakers	716,040	678,504	292,756
Daycare	1,973,172	1,753,861	489,664
Mentally Retarded	364,860	397,656	27,208
Meals for Older People	100,480	46,000	54,480
Mental Health	1,224,700	940,468	432,130
Coordinated Programs for Elderly	178,472	63,380	119,548
Transportation	7,500	0	7,500
School Related Guidance	131,920	81,680	49,280
Housing Improvement	70,680	84,280	0
Information & Referral	40,000	39,936	7224024-
Youth Development	301,134	282,219	107,758
Planning	98,440	110,691	9,375
Educational	230,944	157,616	36,252
Neighborhood Development	327,280	227,672	114,000
Camperships	127,920	127,920	56 , 738
St. Andre's	166,480	0	166,480
Totals	6,694,982	5,025,523	2,367,169

^{* --}** -- See next page *** --

- * Until 12/31/72 <u>former contracts</u> provided social services to past, present and potential recipients of Aid to Families with Dependent Children and Aid to the Aged, Blind and Disabled.
- ** As of 1/1/73 <u>current contracts</u> serve primarily recipients of aid, except that past and potential recipients may be helped via 5 priority areas: daycare for working mothers only, foster care, family planning, treatment of drug and alcohol addicts and special services to mentally retarded.
- *** Services formerly provided to non-welfare recipients, are now denied as of 12/31/72 due to new federal legislation.

Health Related Services	. Former	Current	Estimate
Cumberland			
Shared Core Medical			
and Dental	634,960	·- ·- () ·-	404,000
Maine Medical Center		•	
Consultation		33,640	0
·	634,960	33,640	404,000
		• •	
		· ·	·

HOMEMAKERS	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE.
Androscoggin	50,640	55,104	25,420
Aroostook	108,000	90,000	8,656
Cumberland (Holy Innocents)	280,000	280,000	88,320
Kennebeck- Somerset	81,400	76,000	42,000
Penobscot- Piscataquis	32,000	32,000	24,320
Washington	80,000	72,000	27,360
York	84,000	73,400	76,680
	Paraday and Province American	A second	
Subtotal Homemakers	716,040	678,504	292,756

DAYCARE	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE
Androscoggin			
Lewiston Model Cities	705,520	349,824	272,648
LA. Children's Home	0	60,000	0
Avanatani	•		•
<u>Aroostook</u> Northeast District YMCA	6,000	6;000	1,224
Nottheast District IMCA	0,000	0,000	1,224
Cumberland			
Greater Portland Center	136,080	149,120	24,948
St. Elizabeth's	115,600	129,200	38,540
New School	36,000	47,960	12,000
Prop	226,640	295,600	29,648
Catherine Morrill	140,000	143,640	23,940
Community Counseling	157,520	137,080	2,856
H & W Family Day Care	160,000	155,000	21,456
Riverview	22,000	27,867 [?]	11,856
Universalist Church	23,440	23,440	7,904
Peaks Island	41,212	32,970	10,400
Children's Science Center	17,040	17,040	6,760
Kennebec			
Waterville Center	20,000	20,000	0
	·		
Somerset Daycare	41,000	48,400	0
Washington			
Narraguages	32,000	17,600	16,644
York	00 100	00 100	0.040
St. Louis	93,120	93,120	8,840
D	1 072 170	1 7 0 0 1	100.661
Daycare Subtotal	1,973,172	1,753,861	489,664

MENTALLY RETARDED	FORMER	CURRENT	<u>ESTIMATE</u>
Androscoggin			
Lewiston-Auburn Area	44,600	33,116	16,608
Knox, Linceln & Waldo			
Midcoast Activity Center	12,000	34,960	0
Penobscot			
Work Skill Center	20,000	61,020	0
Bangor Day Activity Center	34,660	25,720	0
Penquis Home Training	20,000	0	0
York			
Project Waban	73,600	73,600	10,600
Statewide			
Department of Mental	160,000	169,240	0
Health and Corrections	<u></u>		
Subtotal Mentally Retarded	364,860	397,656	27,208

MEALS HOME DELIVERED AND CONGREGATE FOR ADULTS	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE
Cumberland	landiga ayyan estar nga mahamalin analin landin barbar naga an tarih megar aba	entrophismal VMS a ser ententation for incomparities what was used understanding account and authorized account	a and a facility of the first of the first of the facility of
Salvation Army-Portland	88,480	46,000	42,480
Kennebec			
Social Concern Committee- Waterville	12,000	0	12,000
Subtotal Meals Home delivered and Congregate for Adults	100,480	46,000	54,480
COORDINATED PROGRAMS FOR ELDERLY	FORMER	CURRENT	REQUEST
Cumberland Cumberland			
Greater Portland Senior Citizen's System	101,520	55,880	46,444
Penobscot, Piscataquis, Hancock Waldo, & Washington			
Greater Bangor Council	62,552	6,000	59,424
Piscataquis			
Older Americans Dover-Foxcroft	14,400	1,500	13,680
Coordinated Programs For Elderly	178,472	63,380	119,548

MENIAL HEALTH	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE
Androscoggin			
Franklin Oxford			
Tri-County Mental Health	374,080	318,922	343,242
Aroostook	148,800	64,200	28,888
Knox, Lincoln & Waldo			
Midcoast Clinic	145,820	109,3647	35,000
Kennebec			
State Hospital Aftercare	556,000	105,246 252,736 90,000	25,000
Subtotal Mental Health	1,224,700	940,468	432,130

Part 2

Page 18

TRANSPORTATION	FORMER .	CURRENT	ESTIMATE	
York County Medical Bus	7,500		7,500	
SCHOOL RELATED GUIDANCE				
Androscoggin Lewiston Model Cities- Elementary	24,000	11,520	11,520	
Cumberland City of Portland, Rosa True	107,920	70,160	37,760	
Subtotal School Related Guidance	131,920	81,680	49,280	
HOUSING IMPROVEMENT				
Cumberland MICAH- Technical	:	:		
Assistance	28,280	28,280	0	
Statewide HRS Housing T.A.	42,400	56,000	0	
Subtotal Housing Improvement	70,680	84,280	0	
INFORMATION AND REFERRAL				_
Androscoggin Model Cities	40,000	39,936	0	

^{*} f. quently I&R is an integral part of other contracts listed herein

YOUTH DEVELOPMENT	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE
Androscoggin Lewiston MC	51,920	51,800	34,560
Kennebec Waterville YM	35,120	36,000	0
Penobscot North Central District YM - Orono Bangor YM Bangor-Brewer YM	4,000 40,000 48,240	0 37,925 48,240	3,270 30,888 16,040
Franklin Reddington Pond residential care	69,854	69,854	0
Somerset Sampson Center- Skowhegan	52,000	38,400	23,000
Subtotal Youth Development	301,134	282,219	107,758

SOCIAL PLANNING PROBLEMS	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE
Androscoggin			
-Community Coordinated Child Care	2,000	2,571	0
-Tri County (incl. Oxford & Franklin)	27,600	27,600	0
Aroostook Southern Aroostook 4C	4,600	19,000	9,375
Cumberland UCS Greater Portland	33,520	33,520	0
Tri-County 4C (York served also)	30,720	28,000	0
Social Planning Programs Subtotal	98,440	110,691	9,375

EDUCATIONAL SERVICES	FORMER	CURRENT	ESTIMATE	
A 1				
Androscoggin Lewiston MC				
Remedial Reading	61,680	17,472	7,132	
Remedial Reading	01,000	17,472	7,132	
Cumberland				
U of M, Management	40,000	40,000	0	
Training		·		
U of M, Paraprofessional			_	
Training	85,984	85,984	0	
U of M, Adult Learning	43,280	14,160	29,120	
Subtotal Educational Services	230,944	157,616	36,252	
Subtotal Educational Services	250,944	157,010	30,232	
		nan mananan dalam mengapakan mengapakan pendan mengapakan mendan berapakan pendan pendan pendan pendan pendan Pendan pendan penda Pendan pendan	and the second s	
NEIGHBORHOOD DEVELOPMENT				
0 11 . 1				
Cumberland Westside Center	120,000	88,800	26 490	
Danforth Street	125,000	87,520	36,480 37,520	
Rural Council for	123,040	07,520	37,320	
Community Action	82,240	51,352	40,000	
		<u></u>	<u> </u>	
Subtotal for Neighborhood				
Development	327,280	227,672	114,000	
	والمراجع والم			
CAMPERSHIPS				
Cumberland				
Camping Unlimited	127,920	127,920	56,738	
York				
St. Andre's	116,480	0	166,480	
	•		•	

^{*} Note: This program does not readily fit into one of the services defined above, so it has been considered separately.

ANALYSIS OF LEGISLATION SEEKING STATE FUNDING OF MEALS FOR OLDER PROPLE

	COUNTY	PROJECT	SOURCE OF FEDERAL FUNDS	DATE PUNDS AFFECTED	ANNUAL AMOUNT REQUESTED IN LIEU OF FEDERAL FUNDS	L.D. NO. AND SPONSOR	
	Androscoggin	Lewiston Senior Citizens	Title III Older Americans	Terminated 12-31-72	63,000	L.D. 654 Kelleher	-
3	Arcostcok	Van Buren Senior Citizens	Office of Economic Opportunity	Terminated 10-72	23,000	L.D. 487 Cyr	
٠ د	Cumber1and	Golden Age Age	Title XVI Social Security Act	Decreased 48% 12-31-72	42,480	L.D. 79 N.D. 394 Brennan	
ار ا ا							
	Knox	Operation Sea-Me	Title III Older Americans Act	Grant Expires 3-31-73	30,000	L.D. 654 Kelleher	

COUNTY	PROJECT	SOURCE OF FEDERAL FUNDS	DATE FUNDS 1	ANNUAL AMOUNT REQUESTED IN LIEU OF FEDERAL FUNDS	L.D. NUMBER AND SPONSOR
Kennebec	Social Concern Committee	Title XVI Social Security Act	Terminated 12-31-72	12,000	L.D. 79 N.D. 394 Brennan
Penobscot	Meals for Me.	Title III Older Americans Act	Grant Expires 3-31-73	50,000	L.D. 654 Kelleher
<u>:</u>	:Matching and Supplemental funds in addition to fed allotment so as to vice statewide ser	eral for Elderly & pro- Title XVI Soc-	National Enabl- ing Legislation Passed 3-72 but funds not appropria- ted. Funds are in- cluded in President Budget		No number yet. K.Goodwin

TOTAL KNOWN REQUESTS FOR STATE FUNDS FOR MEALS FOR ELDERLY ANNUALIZED..... \$ 286,000

COMMENT:

The six individual projects operate with the assistance of federal funds, generally at a 75% matching ratio.

The two projects assisted by the Social Security Act continue to expend \$88.480 and \$1,200 respectively to offer meals to recipients of welfare, but seek funds to maintain service to 740 low income people not on welfare. The state funds requested for these two projects are sought only to serve non-welfare recipients.

The three projects assisted by the Older Americans Act serve both welfare and non-welfare people and will have to cease operation when current grants expire, unless the National Older Americans Act, which has passed the U.S. Senate, is passed by the U.S. House, and signed by the President. House action is not expected immediately. There is a strong possibility of veto due to retention in the Senate version of Title IX and X which were major causes of the initial veto in October, 1972. Approximately 10% of the people served in each project are recipients of A A B D.

The one project assisted by the Office of Economic Opportunity has already ceased operation due to the curtailment of OEO funds.

Under the National Nutrition Act for the elderly, Maine's allotment will be \$475,000 annually, which is adequate only to operate the service in 8 - 10 acreas of the State. Funds have not yet been appropriated for the Nutrition Act. Under Title XVI of

the Social Security Act, meals service is now restricted to welfare recipients whereas previously meals could be provided to low income people not on welfare.

Recommendation:

Appropriate reasonable amount of State funds to match and supplement federal funds so as an appropriate number of meals projects may be offered throughout the State. Utilize single appropriation to Older Americans account, not specifically earmarked for any of the above projects; with the understanding that a portion of the state funds appropriated for 1972 - 73 may be used to pay up to 75% of the cost of meal projects for a minimum period of time not to exceed six months and preferably not to exceed three months, and in no event for a period of time beyond allocation of National Nutrition Act funds.

It should also be understood that the remaining balance of state funds and federal allocation shall give priority to initiation and continuation of new projects in areas of the state where meals projects are not available now.

As an incentive for the department and community agencies to fully utilize federal funds, in lieu of this appropriation, as soon as funds are available under the Nutrition Act, Title III of the Older Americans Act, and Title XVI of the Social Security Act or other legislation; any portion of this appropriation, not used to fund 75% of the cost for short term operation of meals programs; shall not lapse and be available for matching by

federal and community resources. Assuming the availability of Social Security Act, Older Americans Act or Nutrition Act funds, in projects where state funds match federal funds, state funds shall at no time exceed 10% of actual expenditures in any meals project and shall be expended only when the grantee receiving such funds arranges for the contribution of at least 15% of the actual expenditures in any project when matching federal funds are available. In projects where state funds are not matched by federal funds, state funds shall at no time exceed 50% of actual expenditures.

FUNDING RECOMMENDATION HEALTH AND WELFARE OLDER AMERICANS ALL OTHER

	1972 - 73	1973 - 4	1974 - 5
HEALTH & WELFARE	, in the second	·	
OLDER AMERICANS - ALL OTHER	55,000	165,000	200,000

Provides in FY 73 funds to pay no more than 75% of actual expenditures for meals programs for period not to exceed months. Provides in FY 74 and 75 state funds which shall pay no more than 50% of a project's actual expenditures and shall be expended only if matched by community or federal funds.

County Summary of Social Services Contractually Purchased by Maine Department of Health & Welfare under Titles IV-A and XVI of the Social Security Act

County	Amount of former contracts prior to 12-31-72 (Annualized)	Amount of current contracts as of 1-31-73 (Annualized)	Estimated Annual Cost of Providing Service to Lower Income People not on AFDC or AABI
Androscoggin	1,382,040	967; 865	711,130
Aroostook	267,400	179,200	48,143
Cumberland	3,005,396	2,230,133	1,009,170
Franklin	69,854	69,854	-0-
Kennebec	704,520	579,982	79,000
Knox-Lincoln- Waldo	157,820	144,324	35,000
Penobscot	261,452	210,905	133,942
Piscataquis	14,400	1,500	13,680
Somerset	93,000	86,800	23,000
Washington	112,000	89,600	44,004
York	424,700	240,120	270,100
Statewide	202,400	225,240	-0-
Grand Totals	6,694,982	5,025,523	2,367,169
	·		

^{*}The "Estimated" column does not and should not equal the "former" column minus the "current" column. Many services now provided by current contracts are new additions which did not operate previously.

County Summary Broken Down by Types of Social Service

County	Service	Former	Current	<u>Estimate</u>
Androscoggin	Homemaker	50,640	55,104	25,420
	Daycare	705,520	409,824	272,648
	Mentally Ret.	44,600	33,116	16,608
	Mentally Ret.	44,000	22,110	10,000
(includes Franklin & Oxford)	Mental Health	374,080	318,922	343 3 242
	School Guid.	24,000	11,520	11,520
•	Youth Dev.	51,920	51,800	34,560
	Social Plng.	29,600	30,171	-0-
	Educational	61,680	17,472	7,132
	Information & Referral	40,000	39,936	0-
Sub total Androscoggin	n	1,382,040	967,865	711,130

County	<u>Services</u>	Former	Current	Estimate
Åroostook	Homemaker	108,000	90,000	8,656
	Daycare	6,000	6,000	1,224
	Social Planning	4,600	19,000	. 9,375
Subtotal Aroostook	Mental Health	148,800 267,400	64,200 179,200	$\frac{28,888}{48,143}$

County	Services	Former	Current	E <u>stimat</u> e
Cumberland	Homemaker*	280,000	280,000	88,320
	Daycare	1,075,532	1,158,917	190,308
	Health Related	634,960	33,640	404,000
	School Guid.	107,920	70,160	37,760
	Social Plng.	64,240	61,520	-0-
	Educational	169,264	140,144	29,120
3 ·	Neighborhood Development	327,280	227,672	114,000
	Camperships*	127,920	127,920	56,738
	Meals for Older People	88,480	46,000	42,480
	Sr. Citizens*	101,520	55,880	46,444
	Housing Imp.	28,280	28,280	-0-
Subtotal Cumberland		3,005,396	2,230,133	1,009,170

^{*} service area at minimum includes greater portion of Cumberland County, or two counties

Former

Current

Estimate

-0-

-0-

35,000 35,000

42,000

-0-

-0-

12,000 79,000

25,000

Service

County

Subtotal

Kennebec

County	Service	Former	Current	E <u>stimat</u>
Penobscot	Homemakers (incl.			
	Piscataquis)	32,000	32,000	24,320
	Mentally Ret.	74,660	86,740	-0-
	Youth Dvlmt.	92,240	86,165	50,198
(service Area includes Han- cock, Washington	Sr. Citizens Subtotal Penobscot	62,552 261,452	6,000 210,905	59,424 133,942
& Waldo)	·	~~~~~~~~~		
Piscataquis	Sr, Citizens	14,400	1,500	13,680
			Y	

	County	<u>Service</u>	Former	Current	Estimate
	Somerset	Daycare	41,000	48,400	-0-
		Youth Dvlmt.	52,000	<u>38,400</u>	23,000
_	Subtotal Somerset		93,000	86,800	23,000
			; :		
ਮ ਹ	Washington	Homemaker	80,000	72,000	27,360
Part 2.	Subtotal Washington	Daycare	32,000 112,000	17,600 89,600	16,644 44,004
. Page				·	
34	York	Homemaker	84,000	73,400	76,680
		Daycare	93,120	93,120	8,840
		Mentally Ret.	73,600	73,600	10,600
		Transportation	7,500	-0-	7,500
	Subtotal York	St. Andre's	166,480 424,700	-0- 240,120	166,480 270,100

County	<u>Service</u>	Former	Current	Estimate
Statewide	Mentally Ret.	160,000	169,240	-0-
	Housing Imp.	42,400	56,00	-0-
Subtotal Statewide		202,400	225,240	0

Social Security Act Funds Potentially Available:

	1973-74	<u>1974-75</u>
Maine's allotment under Title IV-A & XVI	\$12,300,000	\$12,300,000
Public or private money required to earn fed. funds	\$ 4,100,000	\$ 4,100,000
Total funds potentially available for Social Services	\$16,400,000	\$16,400,000

Appropriation Requests Over and Above those Qualifying for Social Security Act Funds.

<u>1972 - 73</u>	1973-74	1974-75	<u>Total</u>
\$1,071,000	\$2,034,365	\$2,502,090	\$5,607,455

More detailed information about related appropriation requests are contained in the <u>Summary of Known Appropriation Requests</u> and the <u>Listing of Legislation</u>.