# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY


at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

SCOTT W. COWGER, DISTRICT 21, CHAIR JOHN L. MARTIN, DISTRICT 35 LOIS A. SNOWE-MELLO, DISTRICT 15





STATE OF MAINE

THEODORE KOFFMAN, BAR HARBOR, CHAIR JOANNE T. TWOMEY, BIDDEFORD THOMAS B. SAVIELLO, WILTON JUDD D. THOMPSON, CHINA ROBERT S. DUCHESNE, HUDSON JANE F. EBERLE, SOUTH PORTLAND ROBERT A. DAIGLE, ARUNDEL HENRY L. JOY, CRYSTAL JAMES D. ANNIS, DOVER-FOXCROFT KIMBERLEY C. ROSEN, BUCKSPORT

# ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE

#### COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

March 16, 2006

The Honorable Beth Edmonds
President of the Senate
The Honorable John Richardson
Speaker of the House of Representatives
122<sup>nd</sup> Legislature
State House
Augusta, ME 04333

Dear Madam President and Mr. Speaker

Pursuant to Title 3 Maine Revised Statutes, chapter 35, we are pleased to submit the findings of the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources from the review and evaluation of the Saco River Corridor Commission under the State Government Evaluation Act. In its review, the Committee found that the Commission is operating within its statutory authority.

Sincerely,

Senator Scott W. Cowger

Senate Chair

Representative Ted & Koffman

House Chair

Patrick Norton, Director, Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Dennis Finn, Executive Director, Saco River Corridor Commission

G:\COMMITTEES\NAT\GEA\Saco River Corridor Commission\GEA letter to PO's.doc

SCOTT W. COWGER, DISTRICT 21, CHAIR JOHN L. MARTIN, DISTRICT 35 LOIS A. SNOWE-MELLO, DISTRICT 15

SUSAN Z. JOHANNESMAN, LEGISLATIVE ANALYST RHONDA MILLER, COMMITTEE CLERK



#### STATE OF MAINE

# THEODORE KOFFMAN, BAR HARBOR, CHAIR JOANNET. TWOMEY, BIDDEFORD THOMAS B. SAVIELLO, WILTON JUDD D. THOMPSON, CHINA ROBERT S. DUCHESNE, HUDSON JANE F. EBERLE, SOUTH PORTLAND ROBERT A. DAIGLE, ARUNDEL HENRY L. JOY, CRYSTAL JAMES D. ANNIS, DOVER-FOXCROFT KIMBERLEY C. ROSEN, BUCKSPORT

### ONE HUNDRED AND TWENTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE

COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

March 16, 2006

To:

The Honorable John Richardson, Chair

The Honorable Beth Edmonds, Vice-Chair

Legislative Council, 122<sup>nd</sup> Maine Legislature

From:

Senator Scott W. Cowger, Senate Chair,

Representative Ted S. Koffman, House Chair Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources

Subject:

Government Evaluation Act Review of Saco River Corridor Commission

This memorandum is to inform you that the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources has submitted its findings and recommendations from the review and evaluation of the Saco River Corridor Commission under the State Government Evaluation Act to the Legislature pursuant to Title 3 Maine Revised Statutes, chapter 35.

cc: Members, Legislative Council

David Boulter, Executive Director, Legislative Council

G:\COMMITTEES\NAT\GEA\Saco River Corridor Commission\GEA letter to Council.doc

### JOINT STANDING COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES

# Review of the Saco River Corridor Commission Pursuant to the Government Evaluation Act

#### The Government Evaluation Act

The Government Evaluation Act ("Act") provides for a system of periodic review of the efficacy and performance of state government agencies. The law, enacted in the 117<sup>th</sup> Legislature to replace the Government Audit and Program Review Program, substitutes a legislative audit of each agency with an agency self-assessment.

The keystone to the Act is the agency program evaluation report, which consists of a number of components required by the statute. Essentially, the report is an agency self-assessment that the committee of jurisdiction uses as a starting point for its evaluation of the agency's effectiveness, efficiency and performance. The components that must be included in the report are: the agency's enabling statutes; program descriptions; organizational structure, position count and job classifications; compliance with federal and state health and safety laws; ten-year financial summaries; regulatory agenda; coordinated efforts with other state agencies; constituencies served by the agency; alternative delivery systems; emerging issues for the agency; comparison of state laws to federal laws; policies regarding use of personal information; and public filing requirements.

#### **Review Process**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Act, the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources notified the Saco River Corridor Commission of its intent to review the Commission. The Commission submitted its Program Evaluation Report on December 15, 2005. The Committee held a worksession on the report on January 30, 2006. At the worksession, the Executive Director of the Commission, Dennis Finn, reviewed the Commission's report with the Committee.

# Findings of Review

The Saco River Corridor Commission is charged with implementing Title 38 MRSA chapter 6 within the Saco River Corridor. The purpose of chapter 6 is to preserve existing water quality; prevent the diminution of water supplies; control erosion; protect fish and wildlife populations; prevent undue extremes of flood and drought; limit the loss of life and damage to property from periodic floods; preserve the scenic, rural and unspoiled character of the lands adjacent to these rivers; prevent obstructions to navigation; prevent overcrowding; avoid the mixture of incompatible uses; protect those areas of exceptional scenic, historic, archaeological, scientific and educational importance; and protect the public health, safety and general welfare by creating the Saco River Corridor and by regulating the use of land and water within the corridor area.

The Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources finds that the Saco River Corridor Commission is operating within its statutory authority.