

DMHMR/SAS

OFFICE OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE

REPORT TO THE

CRIMINAL JUSTICE COMMITTEE

ON

L.D. 753

(An Act to Allow Police to Take Intoxicated Persons into Custody)



ANGUS S. KING, JR. GOVERNOR STATE OF MAINE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, MENTAL RETARDATION, AND SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES OFFICE OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333-0159

MELODIE J. PEET COMMISSIONER

LYNN DUBY

January 13, 1998

Senator Robert E. Murray Jr., Senate Chair Representative Edward J. Povich, House Chair Joint Standing Committee on Criminal Justice State Office Building, Room 105 Augusta, Maine 04333

Dear Senator Murray and Representative Povich:

During the 118th Regular Session of the Legislature, the Committee on Criminal Justice heard L.D. 753, An Act to Allow Police to Take Intoxicated Persons into Custody. The Committee chose to hold over the bill pending a report from the Office of Substance Abuse. The Committee asked the Office to review existing services for the publicly intoxicated person and to make recommendations regarding the service delivery system for this population. The attached report reviews the process OSA used to address these questions and our recommendations for the future. We appreciate the opportunity to provide this information and look forward to reviewing it and our recommendations with the Committee.

Sincerely,

I Duby nun Lynn F. Duby

Director

cc. Melodie Peet, Commissioner

Office located at: Marquardt Bldg, AMHI Complex, 3rd Floor 159 State House Station Augusta, ME 04333-0159



(207) 287-2595 (207) 287-6400 DEEP (207) 287-8900 / 1-800-499-0027 Information and Resource Center Fax: (207) 287-4334 / 287-8910 TDD: (207) 287-4475

REPORT TO THE COMMITTEE ON CRIMINAL JUSTICE RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING SERVICES TO PERSONS WHO ARE PUBLICLY INEBRIATED

BACKGROUND: During the first session of the 118th Legislature the Committee on Criminal Justice held a hearing on L.D. 753, An Act to Allow Police to Take Intoxicated Persons into Custody. This legislation would have allowed law enforcement officers to take into custody persons who were publicly inebriated if those persons refused to be transported to a safer place. Primarily, the impetus for this bill was a lawsuit against the city of Westbrook involving a person who was publicly inebriated, refused transport except to a coffee shop and ultimately was fatally injured by an automobile. The family sued the city of Westbrook claiming the police had failed to protect the individual. The insurance company for the city settled out of court. The Committee chose to carry over the bill and asked the Department of Mental Health Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Service's Office of Substance Abuse to establish a work group to look at four issues and return to the next session with a report. Those four questions were:

1. Development of a complete inventory of existing resources, pointing out strengths and weaknesses.

2. An assessment of how great the problem of public intoxication is in Maine.

3. Recommendations for legislation that will fit within existing resources.

4. Recommendations for enhancing resources (quantitatively, geographically, programmatically, etc.) to appropriately accommodate LD 753 as proposed.

PROCESS: The Office of Substance Abuse called together a group of interested stakeholders consisting of representatives from the Maine Sheriff's Association, the Maine Chief's of Police, the Maine Hospital Association and the Maine Association of Substance Abuse Providers. Individuals from these groups also represented persons in recovery and their families. The group met eight times during the summer and fall of 1997. In order to assess the magnitude of the problem the group developed a survey instrument which was sent to every sheriff and chief of police in the state. Fifty-six sheriffs and chiefs responded to the survey for a return rate of 43 percent. The results of the survey and a copy of the survey are attached to this report (Survey Attachment 1 and Results Attachment 2).

HIGHLIGHTS OF THE RESULTS: As a result of the survey and the numerous discussions group members had with their counterparts across the state several areas were highlighted.

1. The problem of public intoxication, while not involving large numbers of individuals, is widespread, involving both rural and urban areas. Approximately 5283 cases of public inebriation by adults was reported on the survey. Of this number 2436 were repeat offenders or approximately 46 percent.

2. The counties of York, Cumberland, Kennebec, Somerset, Androscoggin and

Penobscot account for 80 percent of the reported incidents of adult public intoxication in our survey. These same counties, with the exception of Androscoggin, account for the highest number of repeat offenders.

3. The current service delivery system is not adequate to service these individuals. State funded Detox/shelters are available only in two of the six counties (Cumberland and Penobscot) for a total of 55 beds. In Cumberland, insufficient capacity has resulted in the shelter turning away significant numbers of individuals during the fall months of 1997. Extended shelter is available in Androscoggin , Cumberland and York counties for a total of 35 beds (see Attachment 3 for complete listing).

INVENTORY OR RESOURCES AND SIZE OF THE PROBLEM:

Current treatment resources available to the adult publicly intoxicated population include detoxification services (social and medical), emergency shelter and extended shelter. Total system capacity for the indigent population is 85 beds statewide. Over the course of the last year roughly 238 people were transported to shelters or detoxes upon being picked up by local police. The largest share of persons transported, approximately 742, were transported to their homes. The remaining number were transported to hospitals, the police station or elsewhere. Because some of the largest police departments did not respond to the survey, we believe that even larger numbers were transported to shelters, detoxes and home but it is likely that the proportion among these options will remain relatively the same. While an additional 89 detox beds are available in the system, they are available, generally, to clients who have some source of reimbursement (usually Medicaid, sometimes insurance) not to indigents.

The situation for adolescents is more complicated. Approximately 1833 juvenile offenses came to the attention of police last year due to public inebriation. Of those, 655 were repeat offenders or about 36 percent. The largest proportion of individuals were in Androscoggin, Cumberland and York counties.

Approximately 64 percent of these cases were resolved by returning the juveniles to their parents or guardians. There are currently no shelters for intoxicated adolescents available. Additionally, the question of whether juveniles who come to the attention of police for repeated public intoxication should be considered public inebriates is one which needs to be discussed. Certainly, these adolescents need a different response than do adults.

POSSIBLE RESPONSES WITHIN THE SYSTEM:

There are two potential services which could be used to partly address the issue of the person who is publicly inebriated. Both have drawbacks. The first possibility is the state mental health crisis system. There are approximately 43 such beds around the state. Three of these beds are currently available to persons who are publicly inebriated in Cumberland county. The rest largely exclude this population. In order to allow for the needs of this population a number of changes would have to be made at the facilities, including staff training, some separation from the rest of the population, and some

staffing changes. Because utilization of the current beds is so high, additional beds would also be required.

A second option which needs to be pursued is the possibility of utilizing vacant hospital beds to monitor clients in an intoxicated state until an appropriate disposition can be made. Intoxicated individuals are sometimes taken to local hospital emergency rooms, especially in small towns. In the early 1980s a program utilizing volunteers to stay with intoxicated individuals in local emergency rooms was operational in the Kennebec county area. Trained and supervised monitors were on call to stay with intoxicated persons in local hospitals until an accurate evaluation could be done. Empty beds in the hospital were used for this purpose. The Maine Hospital Association has indicated an interest in discussing this option. The current liability climate may require additional protections for the hospitals which were not necessary in the early 80s. Discussions are currently scheduled with the Association for January regarding a model or demonstration program of this kind.

INVOLUNTARY COMMITMENT:

The group also discussed the issue of involuntary commitment. Prior to 1993 there existed in statute an option to involuntarily commit individuals whose substance abuse/addiction had reached a level where they were a danger to themselves. This statute was utilized infrequently, in part because the receiving facility was never defined. Essentially this meant there was no place to commit someone to. There have been several requests to reconsider this option. In 1991 model legislation was developed to create an involuntary commitment law for substance abuses who were a severe and imminent danger to themselves. Although the legislation had broad support in 1991 and again when it was reintroduced in 1994, it did not become law. The current involuntary commitment law, although it mentions substance abuse, outlines a process that does not meet the needs of the substance abusing population. The group believes it would be prudent to set up a study committee, with legislative backing, to look at the issue of involuntary commitment, including definition of the receiving facilities. We propose this committee report back to the 119th Legislature's Criminal Justice Committee.

LIABILITY FOR LAW ENFORCEMENT

The issue of liability for law enforcement officers is one which carries significant importance to municipalities and police departments. Law enforcement officers feel caught between both duty and desire to protect and inability to do so when the intoxicated person refuses assistance. While there is some immunity in current law, there was strong sentiment from the law enforcement representatives of the group, in particular, that more protection is needed. Accordingly, we have recommended language in our attached draft legislation to address this concern.

Additionally, there is a need for more accurate information regarding the size of the problem. While the survey conducted by the group was very helpful, a more consistent

way of gathering information on this population is needed. We are therefore recommending that law enforcement be required to add several data elements to the current Uniform Crime Reporting requirements. These data items would be specifically geared to the publicly intoxicated population. This process is similar to one used several years ago to document incidences of domestic violence.

Attachment 4 is a draft of legislation which would implement the recommendations made in the above report. To summarize, the recommendations are:

1. Require law enforcement agencies to keep records of public intoxication and report that information to the Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services Office of Substance Abuse.

2. Provide immunity to law enforcement officers in a civil action for failing to provide assistance to a person intoxicated in a public place if the person refuses the law enforcement officer's assistance.

3. Create a study group to look at the issue of involuntary commitment for substance abuse and report back to the 119th session of the Legislature.

ATTACHMENT 1

¥

OFFICE OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE

Maine Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse

1997 Survey of Maine Chiefs of Police and Sheriffs

Mailing Label

Please return your completed questionnaire on or before August 29, 1997. A envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

If you have any questions, please call Lynn Duby, Director of the Maine Office of Substance Abuse at (207) 287-2595. Thank your for your assistance.

RESPONDENT INFORMATION

Town/County Code					
	see	enclosed	OSADS	code	listing)
Geographic Area (Sq	uare	Miles)			·

Population (Estimate for Jurisdiction)

Number Sworn Officers in Department

ADULT PUBLIC INEBRIATION

1. Does your department have specific written policies to address <u>adult</u> public inebriation?

□ Yes (IF YES, please send a copy of policies along with your completed survey questionnaire.)

□ No, but the department is presently developing written policies.

□ No, but the department plans to develop written policies.

 \Box No, and the department does not plan to develop written policies because public inebriation is covered under the state mandated policies for deviant behavior.

2.	In 1996, how many times d reports of <u>adult</u> public inel	lid your department respond t oriation?	:o/act on	(Estimate)
3.	What percentage of the 199	96 public inebriation cases inv	olved repeat offenders?	(Estimate)
4.	What percentage of cases v	vere reported by each of the f	ollowing.	
	% Family	<u>%</u> Businesses	% Other (Please specify.)	
	% Neighbors	% General Public		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

ĺ

Please e	stimate the percentage of 1996 cases that resulted in each of the following outcomes.	
a	<u>%</u> Peace restored, no other services provided and no arrests made	
b	<u><i>[®]</i> Transported</u> the inebriated individual	
	<u>%</u> to his/her home <u>%</u> to a police station (to sleep it off)	
	<u>%</u> to a shelter <u>%</u> other:	
	% to a hospital	
c	<u>%</u> Other service(s) provided (Please specify.)	
d	<u>%</u> Percentage of <u>adult</u> public inebriation responses resulting in charge/arrest for:	
	<u>%</u> Obstruction of justice <u>%</u> Disorderly conduct	
	<u>%</u> Liquor law violations <u>%</u> Public indecency	
	<u>%</u> Vandalism <u>%</u> Trespassing	
	<u>%</u> Assault <u>%</u> Other (Please specify.)	
Does you	ur department consider <u>adult</u> public inebriation an ongoing problem that needs to be addressed?	
🗆 Yes	Comment:	
🗆 No	Comment:	
🗆 Imm	unity from civil liability	
🗆 Bette	er working relationships/access to hospitals, other facilities	
Deter	ntion power for a specified amount of time (number of hours:)	
🗆 Trair	ning for law enforcement personnel	
🗌 Trans	sportation assistance	
□ Othe	r (Please specify.)	
	 a	a

JUVENILE OFFENDERS

8.	Does your department	have written policies to address	juvenile public ine	ebriation?
	Yes (IF YES, pleas)	e send a copy of the policies along	g with your complet	ed survey questionnaire.)
	No, but the department	ent is presently developing writte	n policies.	
	\Box No, but the departm	ent plans to develop written polic	ies.	
	□ No, do not have suc	h policies and do not plan to deve	-	
9.		our department respond to repo alcohol and/or scheduled drugs	orts of juveniles	(Estimate)
10.	What percentage of th	ose juveniles were repeat offend	ers?	(Estimate)
-•	What percentage of th	e intoxicated juveniles were repo	orted by each of th	e following?
	% Family	<u>%</u> Businesses	% Schools	5
	<u>%</u> Neighbors	% General Public	<u>%</u> Other (Please specify.)
12.	Please estimate the per a% No action		oublic inebriation)	that resulted in each of the following:
	b% Action tak	en or services provided		
		6 Called the family		<u>%</u> Contacted the school
	9	6 Contacted legal guardian (other	than family)	% Other (Please specify.)
		6 Contacted probation officer		
	c. <u>%</u> Percentage	of <u>juvenile</u> public inebriation re	esponses resulting i	in charge/arrest for:
		6 Obstruction of justice	%	Disorderly conduct
		⁶ Liquor law violations	%	Public indecency
		<u>%</u> Vandalism	%	Trespassing
		<u>%</u> Assault	%	Other (Please specify.)

MAINE OFFICE OF SUBSTANCE ABUSE

14.	Do	es your depa	rtment consider <u>juvenil</u>	e public inebriation an ongoing problem that needs to be addressed?
		Yes	Comment:	
		No	Comment:	
15.			n, what public policies of inebriation problem?	r additional resources are needed to help your department deal with the
		Immunity fr	om civil liability	
		Detention po	ower for a specified amou	int of time (number of hours:)
		Transportati	on assistance	
	Ó	Better worki	ing relationships with and	/or access to hospitals, other facilities
		Training for	law enforcement personr	nel
		Other (Pleas	e specify.)	
17.		ould you like No	additional information	concerning the committee's progress related to this issue?
				NT
		res, please	forward information to:	Name:
				Agency:
				Address:
				Telephone Number:
			THANK YOU for taki	ing the time to complete this survey questionnaire.
				Office of Substance Abuse
			AM	IHI Complex, Marquard Building State House Station # 159

Augusta, Maine 04333

OSADS COUNTY CODE LISTING

AN	Androscoggin County
AK	Aroostook County
CD	Cumberland County
FN	Franklin County
HK	Hancock County
КС	Kennebec County
KX	Knox County
LN	Lincoln County
OD	Oxford County
PT	Penobscot County
PS	Piscataquis County
SC	Sagadahoc County
ST	Somerset County
WO	Waldo County
WN	Washington County
YK	York County
OS	Out-of-State
OC	Out-of-Country

· .

ATTACHMENT 2

.



ATTACHN. [2

County Breakouts of Adult Public Inebriation Responses by Reporting Parties

· · · (

						_			Reports o	of Public Inebr	iation			
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	% of Repeat Offenders	# of Repeat Offenders	-	# by Family	% by Neighbor	# by Neighbor	% by Businesses	# by Businesses	% by Public	# by Public	% by Other	# by Other
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	2	20	0		0		0)	0	100	- Contraction of the second		0
Fort Kent PD	AK	50	1	1		0		0		0				0
Madawaska P. D.	AK	30	A	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT	L	0				A CONTRACTOR AND A CONTRACT OF A CONTRACT		in the second		0
Presque Isle P.D.	AK	150	20	and the second		CONSIGNATION CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATICON CONSIGNATI CONSIGNATICON CO	4	1800,150,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	2	. 75	10	15		
TOTALS		232		46.5		37.5		28.5		81		84.5		0
MEDIAN		40		8.25		0		3		3		16,5		0
AVERAGE		58		11.625		9.375		7.125		20.25		21.125		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0		0		#N/A		0
and the second														
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	200	ii	the second s		A VI. TO CONTRACTOR OF A VIEW OF	š	0	1	and the second	8			0
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	400	10	40	5	20	10	40	10	40	75	300		0
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	5	5			0		0		0	100	5		0
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	45	50	23	5	2	20	9		0	75	34		0
TOTALS	-	650		162.75		42.25		49		80		478.75		0
MEDIAN		122.5		31.25		11.125		4.5		20		86.875		0
AVERAGE		162.5		40.6875		10.563		12.25		20		119.6875		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		20		0		40		#N/A		0
Brunswick Pol Dept	CD	250	50	125		0	5	13	5	13	90	225		0
CC Sher Dept	CD	107	5	5	4	4		0	29	31	30	32	38	41
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	2		0		0		0		0	99	2		0
Gorham Police Dept	CD	35	40	14	35	12	5	2	10	4	50	18	······································	0
Scarborough P. D.	CD	25	3	1		0		0		0	99	25		0
So Portland Police	CD	200	99	198	25	50	25	50	20	40	30	60		0
TOTALS		619		343.1		66.53		64.25		87.03		361.33		40.66
MEDIAN		71		9.675		2,14		0.875		8		28.425		0
AVERAGE		103.1667		57.183333		11.088		10,7083		14.505		60.22167		6.776667
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0		0		#N/A		0

10/27/97

í

Ĺ,

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						Reports o	of Public Inebr	lation			
Department Name		# of Times Public Inebriation	% of Repeat Offenders	# of Repeat Offenders	S	# by Family	% by Neighbor	# by Neighbor	% by Businesses	# by Businesses		# by Public	% by Other	# by Other
Carrabassett Valley	FN	20				0		0	<u></u>	1	95			0
Farmington Police	FN	5		1		0		0		0	99	Plan and a second s		0
TOTALS	1	25	31	2		0		0		1		23.95		0
MEDIAN		12.5		1		0		0		0.5	2	11.975		0
AVERAGE		12.5		1		0		0		0.5		11.975		0
MODE		#N/A		1		0		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	#N/A		#N/A		0
Gouldsboro PD	НК	3		0		0		0		0	99	3		0
TOTALS		3	,									3		
MEDIAN		-												
AVERAGE														
MODE														
								-						
Gardiner PD	KC	140	20	28		0	5	7	20	28	75	105		0
Hallowell PD	KC	35	50	18	50	18	5	2	30	11	5	2	10) 4
Waterville Pol Dept	KC	425	10	43	30	128	30	128	25	106	15	64		0
TOTALS		600		88		145		136.25		144.75		170.5		3.5
MEDIAN		140		28		17.5		7		28	9	63.75		0
AVERAGE		200		29.333333		48,333		45,4167		48.25		56.83333		1.166667
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	+	0
Camden Police Dept.	КХ	20	10	2	10	2	20	4	50	10	20	4		0
Knox County Sherf De	КХ	40	5	2	20	8	5	2	3 <u>.</u>		70	28		0
Rockland Police Dept	КХ	55	50	28	5	3	15	8	ă		50	28	Ę	3
Rockport Police Dept	КХ	84	8	7	50	42	25	21	25			0		0
Thomaston Police Dep	КХ	16		1	5	1	5	1	10	2	á.			0
Winslow Pol Dept	КХ	50	50	25	25	13	50	2444 4 7 4 7 4 7 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 1 7 7 7 7	ä	0		C.10.0000000000000000000000000000000000		0
TOTALS		265		63.86		68.05		61,05		45.6		84.8		2.75
MEDIAN		45		4.36		5.375		6.125		6		12.65		0
AVERAGE		44.16667		10.643333		11.342		10.175		7.6		14.13333		0.458333

10/27/97

1

(

; (

									Reports o	of Public Ineb	riation			
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	3 -	# of Repeat Offenders	1 '	# by Family	% by Neighbor	# by Neighbor	% by Businesses	# by Businesses	% by Public	# by Public	% by Other	# by Other
MODE		#N/A		2		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0
Damariscotta Police	LN	10	50	5		0		C		<u> </u>	99	10		0
Lincoln County P.D.	LN	- 10		9 0	L	0	§	0	<u></u>	C	š	0		- 0
TOTALS		10	<u> </u>	5		0		0		C	29 M	9.9		0
MEDIAN		- 10		2.5		0	ì	0		- 0		4.95		0
AVERAGE		10		3.75		0		0		- 0		7.425		- Ö
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0	å	C		#N/A		- 0
		-								-				
Bethel Police Dept	OD	20	5	1	5	1	10	2	45	9	40	8		0
Mexico Police Dept.	OD	50	90	45	40	20	40	20		0	20	10		0
Norway Police Dept.	OD	25	5	Contraction of the second state of the second	1	5		0	3	5	60	15		0
TOTALS		95	8	70.75		26		22		14		33		0
MEDIAN		25		24,75		5		2		5		10		0
AVERAGE		31.66667		23.583333		8.6667		7.33333		4.6666667		11		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0
Greenville PD	PS	100	55	55	28	28	25	25	8	8	L			0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	25	ŧ	and the second se	5	1	5	1	5	1	85	21		0
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	60	70	42	35	21	20	12		0	1.4	9		0
TOTALS		185		109.5		50,25	š <u> </u>	38,25		9.25	1	69,25		0
MEDIAN		60		42		21	3	12		1.25	<u>. </u>	21.25		0
AVERAGE		61.66667		36.5		16.75		12.75		3.0833333		23.08333		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0
								÷						

.

(

		_	· ·						Reports of	of Public Ineb	rlation			
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	Repeat	# of Repeat Offenders		# by Family	% by Neighbor	# by Neighbor	% by Businesses	# by Businesses	% by Public	# by Public	% by Other	# by Other
Old Town Police Dept	PT	300	50	150		0	25	75		C		and the second	25	75
Penobscot Cty Sherif	PT	15	13	2	13	2	7	1	33	5 5	50	8		0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	285	40	114		0	19	54		C) 1	3	80	228
Veazie Police Dept	PT	12	85	10	25	3	25	3	40) 5	10	1		0
TOTALS		612		276.15		4.95		133.2		9.75		161.55		303
MEDIAN		150		62.1		0.975		28.575		2.4		5.175		37.5
AVERAGE		153		69.0375		1.2375		33,3		2.4375		40.3875		75.75
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		#N/A		C		#N/A		0
Bath Police Dept	SC	83	50	42	10	8	10	8	45	37	30	25	5-	4
Phippsburg Police Dept	SC	0				0	<u>t</u>	0		0	A	0		0
Richmond Police Dept	SC	35	80	28	5	2	70	25			2	_ 21111 (1.1.) (1.		0
Topsham Police Dept	SC	10	<u> </u>	5		0	1	0	4	 A second sec second second sec		2.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1		0
TOTALS		128	A	74.5		10.05	<u> </u>	32,8	<u> </u>	41.6	§	39.4		4.15
MEDIAN		22.5		16.5		0.875		4.15		2.125	<u>6</u>	7.25		0
AVERAGE		32		18.625		2.5125		8.2		10.4	•	9,85		1.0375
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0		#N/A		#N/A		0
Fairfield Police Dep	ST	450	75	338	5	23	25	113	20	90	50	225		0
Pittsfield Police	ST	40	50	20	50	20		0		0	50	20		0
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	35	20	7	9	3	40	14	46	16	5	2		0
TOTALS		525		364.5		45.65		126.5		106.1		246.75		0
MEDIAN		40		20		20		14		16.1	1	20		0
AVERAGE		175		121.5		15.217		42.1667		35.366667		82.25		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0

10/27/97

ł.

.

Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	% of Repeat Offenders	# of Repeat Offenders	% by Family	# by Family	% by Neighbor	# by Neighbor	% by Businesses	# by Businesses	% by Public	# by Public	% by Other	# by Other
Baileyville Pol Dept	ŴN	15	71	11	50	8	40	6	i 10	2	2	0		- C
Calais Police Dept	WN	75	10	8		0		C		C	维			C
Machias Police Dept.	WN	30	50	15	40	12		C) 25	8	35	11		C
TOTALS		120		33.15		19.5		6		9		81.75		C
MEDIAN		30		10.65		7.5		C		1.5	0	10.5		C
AVERAGE		40		11.05		6.5		2		3		27.25		C
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0)	#N/A		#N/A		0
Buxton Police Dept	YK	12	5	- 1	10	1		C)	C	90) 11		0
Eliot Police Dept	YK	21	25	0		Contraction of the second second		C		0		.0	30	6
Kennebunkport PD	YK	15	25	4	10	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		C	70	11	20	3		0
Kittery PD	YK	12	25	3	9	1	9	1	33	4	50	6		0
Saco Police Dept	YK	150	10	15	10	15	15	23	30	45	40	60	5	8
Sanford Police Dept	YK	884	85	751	60	530	20	177	3	27	17	150		0
York County Sheriff	YK	50	2	1		0		0		0	80	40	20	10
York Police Dept	YK	70	30	21	25	18	15	11	10	7	40	28	10	7
TOTALS		1214		795.75		581.38		210.88		92.98		298.08		30.8
MEDIAN		35.5		3.375		8.1		0.54		5.48		19.4		3.15
AVERAGE		151.75		99.46875		72.673		26.36		11.6225		37.26		3.85
MODE		12		#N/A		#N/A		0		0		#N/A		0

										Locatio	ns Adults	Transpo	rted To:					
		# of Times															% Other	# Other
		Public	% Peace	# Peace	% Trans	# Transp			% Shelte		% Hospi	# Hospi	% Police	# Police			Services	
Department Name	County	Inebriation				orted	% Home	1. Sugar 1.		# Shelter		tal	Station	2012/05/06/06/06/05/06/13	% Other	# Other		
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	2.0	100,0	2.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	·	0.0
Fort Kent PD	AK	50.0		0.0	99.0	49.5	50.0	24.8		0,0	50.0	24.8		0.0		0.0		0.0
Madawaska P. D.	AK	30,0	10.0	3.0	90.0	27,0	80.0	21.6		0,0	10.0	2.7		0.0	10.0	2.7		0.0
Presques Isle P.D.	AK	150.0	50.0	75.0	20.0	30,0		0.0	29.0	8.7		0,0	1.0	0.3	70,0	21.0		0.0
TOTALS	1	232,0		80.0		106.5		46.4		8.7		27.5		0.3		23.7		0.0
MEDIAN		40.0		2.5		28.5		10.8		0.0		1.4		0.0		1.4		0.0
AVERAGE		58.0		20.0		26,6		11.6		2.2		6,9		0.1		Б.9		0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0,0		0,0		0.0		0,0		0.0
								-										
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	200.0	70.0	140.0		0.0	75.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0		0,0	15.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	400.0	60.0	240.0	20.0	80.0	5.0	4.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	8.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	5.0		0.0	· · · · · · · · · ·	0.0	100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	45.0	40.0	18.0	40.0	18.0	40.0	7.2		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
TOTALS		650.0		398.0		98.0		11.2		4.0		8.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
MEDIAN		122.5		79.0		9.0		2.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE		162.5		99.5		24.5		2.8		1.0		2.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
MODE	1	#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
														-				
Brunswick Pol Dept	ICD	250.0	70.0	175.0	10.0	25.0	6.0	1,5		0.0	2.0	0,5		0.0	2,0	0.5		0,0
CC Sher Dept	CD	107.0	71.0	76.0	21.0	22.5	23.0	5.2		0.0	5.0	1.1		0.0	73.0	16.4		0.0
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	2.0	99.0	2.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	99.0	0.0		0.0
Gorham Police Dept	CD	35.0	25.0	8.8	65.0	22.8	75.0	17.1	5.0	1.1		0.0		0.0	20.0	4.6		0.0
Scarborough P. D.	CD	25.0	50.0	12,5	75.0	18.8	24.0	4,5	50.0	9.4		0,0	1.0	0.2		0.0		0.0
So Portland Police	CD	200.0	20.0	40.0	50.0	100.0	20.0	20.0	40.0	40.0	20.0	20.0		0.0		0.0	15.0	15.0
TOTALS		619.0		314.2		189.0		48.2		50.5		21.6		0.2		21.5	Wards	15.0
MEDIAN		71.0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26.3		22.6		4.8		0,6		0.3		0.0		0.3		0.0
AVERAGE		103.2		52,4		31.5		8.0		8.4		3.6		0.0		3.6		2.5
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Carrabassett Valley	FN	20.0	50.0	10.0		0.0	50.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0,0		0.0		0.0
Farmington Police	FN	5.0		0.0	9 9 .0	5.0	50.0	2.5		0.0	50.0	2.5		0,0		0.0		0.0
TOTALS		25.0		10.0		5.0		2.5		0.0		2.5		0.0		0.0		0.0
MEDIAN		12.5		5.0		2.5		1.2		0.0		1,2		0,0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE	:	12.5		5.0		2.5		1.2		0.0		1.2		0.0		0.0	·····	0.0
MODE	1	#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0.0		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0
. <u></u>	:																··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Gouldsboro PD	НК	3,0	66.0	2.0	33.0	1.0	33.0	0.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0

						1			Loc	ations Adu	ults Transpo rted To:				
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	% Peace Restored		% Trans ported	# Transp orted	% Home	# Home	% Shelte r # Shelte		spi # Hospi % Police tal Station	e # Police Station %	6 Other	# Other	Services
TOTALS		3.0		2.0		1.0		0.3	(0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
MEDIAN															
AVERAGE															· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
MODE		-										-			
Gardiner PD	KC	140.0	60.0	84.0	20.0	28.0	10.0	2.8	C	0,0 2	2.0 0.6	0.0	8.0	2.2	 0.0
Hallowell PD	КС	35.0	60.0	21.0	20.0	7.0	15.0	1.1		.0 1	.0 0.1	0.0	4.0	0.3	 .0.0
Waterville Pol Dept	КС	425.0	75.0	318.8	25.0	106.3		0.0	5.0 8	.3 5	5.3	0.0	15.0	15.9	 0.0
TOTALS		600.0		423.8		141.3		3.9	E	.3	5.9	0.0		18,5	 0.0
MEDIAN		140.0		84.0		28,0		1.1	0	.0	0.6	0.0		2.2	 0,0
AVERAGE		200.0		141.3		47.1		1.3	- 1	.8	2.0	0.0		6.2	 0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	(0.0	#N/A	0.0		#N/A	 0.0
	KX	20.0	75.0	15.0	25.0	5.0	5.0	0.3	5.0 0	.3 15		0.0		0.0	 0.0
Knox County Sherf De	КХ	40.0	70.0	28.0	20.0	8.0	15.0	1.2	2.0 0	,2 3	0.2	0.0		0.0	 0,0
Rockland Police Dept	КХ	55.0	95.0	52.3	5.0	2.8	5.0	0.1	C	.0 2	0 0.1	0.0	2.0	0.1	 0.0
Rockport Police Dept	KX	84.0	10.0	- 8.4	90,0	75.6		7.6	C	.0 20	15.1	0.0	60.0	45.4	 0,0
Thomaston Police Dep	КΧ	16.0	90.0	14.4	10.0	1.6	10.0	0.2	Ċ	0,0	0.0	0.0		0.0	 0.0
Winslow Pol Dept	КХ	50.0	99.0	49.5		0.0		0.0	C	.0	0,0	0.0		0.0	 0.0
TOTALS		265.0		167.6		93.0		9.3	C	.4	16.2	0.0		45.4	0.0
MEDIAN		45.0		21.5		3,9		0.2	C	.0	0.1	0.0		0.0	 0.0
AVERAGE		44.2		27.9		15.5		1.6	C	.1	2.7	0.0		7.6	0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	C	.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	 0.0
Damariscotta Políce	LN	10.0	30.0	3.0	20.0	2.0	10.0	0.2	C	. 0 10		0.0		0.0	0.0
	LN			0.0		0,0		0.0	с	.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0
TOTALS		10.0		3.0		2,0		0.2	C	.0	0.2	0.0		0.0	 0.0
MEDIAN		10.0		1.5		1.0		0.1	C	.0	0.1	0.0		0,0	0.0
AVERAGE		10.0		1.5		1.0		0.1	C	.0	0.1	0.0		0.0	 0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	C	.0	#N/A	0.0		0.0	 0.0
Bethel Police Dept	OD	20.0	80.0	16.0	20.0	4.0	70.0	2.8	20.0 0	.8	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.4	 0.0
Mexico Police Dept.	OD	50.0	40.0	20.0		0.0		0.0	C	.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	 0.0
	OD	25.0		0.0	99.0	24.8	40.0	9.9	C	.0 60	.0 14.9	0.0		0,0	 0.0
TOTALS	1	95.0		36.0		28.8		12.7	C	.8	14.9	0.0		0.4	 0.0
MEDIAN		25.0		16.0		4.0		2.8	C	.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	 0.0
AVERAGE		31.7		12.0		9.6		4.2	C	.3	5.0	0.0		0.1	0.0

(

10/27/97

Ĺ

									ž	Locatio	ons Adults	Transpo	orted To:					
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	6	C. C	% Trans		% Home	# Home	% Shelte	# Shelter	% Hospi 4	# Hospi tal	% Police Station	# Police Station	% Other	# Other	% Other Services Provided	Services
MODE	County	#N/A	nestoreu	#N/A	porteu	#N/A	70 1101110	#N/A	<u> </u>	0.0		0,0		0.0		0.0	2	0.0
NIODE		#IN/A		#IN/A		#N/A		#IN/A		0.0	·	0.0	<u></u>	0.0		0.0		0.0
Greenville PD	PS	100.0	60.0	60.0	30.0	30.0	10.0	3.0	4.0	1.2	6.0	1.8		0.0	5,0	1.5		0.0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	25.0	50.0	12.5	50.0	12.5	45.0	5.6	2.0	0.3	3.0	0.4		0.0		0.0		0.0
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	60.0	10.0	6.0	90.0	54.0	70.0	37.8	5.0	2.7	15.0	8.1		0.0		0.0		0.0
TOTALS		185.0	<u></u>	78.5		96.5		46.4		4.2		10.3		0.0		1.5		0.0
MEDIAN		60.0	<u> </u>	12.5		30,0		5.6		1.2		1.8		0.0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE		61.7		26.2		32.2		15.5		1,4		3.4		0.0		0.5		0,0
MODE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	#N/A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	Ì	#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0
																		1.1.1
Old Town Police Dept	PT	300.0	30,0	90.0	50.0	150.0	50.0	75.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	15.0		0.0	30.0	45.0		0.0
Penobscot Cty Sherif	PT	15.0	80.0	12.0	20.0	3.0	13.0	0.4	7.0	0.2		0.0		0.0		0.0	· · · · ·	0.0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	285.0	45.0	128.3	7.0	20.0	5.0	1.0		0.0	2.0	0.4		0.0		0.0		0.0
	PT	12.0				6.0	33.0	2.0	33.0	2.0	33.0	2.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
TOTALS	1	612.0		236.3	<u> </u>	179.0		78.4		17.2		17.4		0.0		45.0		0.0
MEDIAN		150.0		51,0		13.0		1.5		1.1		1.2		0.0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE		153.0		59.1		44.7		19.6		4.3		4.3		0.0		11.3		0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0
																•		
Bath Police Dept	ISC	83.0	5.0	4.2	75.0	62.3	65.0	40.5	5.0	3.1	5.0	3.1		0.0		0.0		0.0
Phippsburg P. D.	SC	0.0		0.0										_				
Richmond Police Dept	SC	35.0	70.0	24.5	20.0	7.0	20.0	1.4		0.0		0.0		0,0		0.0		0.0
	SC	10.0	50.0	5.0	50.0	5.0	50.0	2.5		0.0		0,0		0.0	50.0	2.5		0.0
TOTALS		128.0		33.7		74.3		44.4		3,1		3.1		0.0		2.5		0.0
MEDIAN		22,5		4.6		7.0		2.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE		32.0		8.4		24.8		14.8		1.0		1.0		0.0		0.8		0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A	·	#N/A		#N/A		0,0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
								•										
Fairfield Police Dep	ST	450.0	50.0	225.0	50.0	225.0	80.0	180.0	10.0	22.5	10.0	22.5		0.0		0,0		0.0
	ST	40.0	50.0	20.0		0.0	50.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	35.0				6.0	67.0	4.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	33.0	2.0		0.0
TOTALS		525.0		274.1		231.0		184.0		22.5	i ii	22.5	1	0.0		2.0		0.0
MEDIAN	:	40.0		29,1		6.0		4.0		0.0		0.0	, ,	0.0		0.0		0.0
AVERAGE	T	175.0		91.4	<u> </u>	77.0		61.3		7.5		7.5		0.0		0.7		0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A	·	#N/A		#N/A		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0
		12 (12) (14) (14)				2000 C												
Baileyville Pol Dept	WN	15.0	50.0	7.5	50.0	7.5	99.0	7.4		0.0		0,0		0.0		0.0		0.0

			. <u> </u>	6	1				Locati	ons Adults	Transno	orted To:			
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	% Peace	# Peace Restored	1	# Transp orted	% Home # Ho		% Shelte # Shelter	% Hospi	# Hospi	% Police Station	# Police Station %	Exercise Contraction of the	% Other # Other Services Services Provided Provided
Calais Police Dept	WN	75,0		0.0	95.0	71.3	30.0	21.4	0.0)	0.0		0.0	70.0 49.9	0.0
Machias Police Dept.	WN	30.0	5.0	1.5	95.0	28.5		0.0	0.0	40.0	11.4		0.0	55.0 15.7	0.0
TOTALS	j	120.0		9.0		107.3		28.8	0.0)	11.4		0.0	65.6	0.0
MEDIAN		30.0		1.5		28.5		7.4	0,0)	0.0		0.0	15.7	0.0
AVERAGE		40.0		3.0		35.8		9.6	0.0)	3.8		0.0	21.9	0.0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	#N	/A	0.0)	0.0		0.0	#N/A	0.0
		-						i se t		i					
Buxton Police Dept	YK	12.0	50.0	6.0	50.0	6.0	45.0	2.7	2.0 0.1	2.0	0.1	1.0	0.1	0.0	2.0 0.1
Eliot Police Dept	YK	21.0	70.0	14.7		0.0	30.0	0.0	0,0)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0
Kennebunkport PD	YK	15.0	85.0	12.8	15.0	2.3	90.0	2.0	5.0 0.1	5.0	0,1		0.0	0.0	0.0
Kittery PD	YK	12.0	66.0	7.9	33.0	4.0	25.0	1.0	0.0	8.0	0.3		0.0	0.0	0.0
Saco Police Dept	YK	150.0	40.0	60.0	60.0	90.0	40.0	36.0	50.0 45.0	10.0	9.0		0,0	0.0	0.0
Sanford Police Dept	YK	884.0	40.0	353.6	25.0	221.0	70.0 18	54.7	25.0 55.3	5.0	11.1		0.0	0.0	0.0
York County Sheriff	YK	50.0	60.0	30.0	40.0	20.0	60.0	12.0	0.0	2.0	0,4		0.0	0.0	0.0
York Police Dept	YK	70.0	20.0	14.0	80.0	56.0	30.0	16.8	10.0 5.6	5.0	2.8	30.0	16.8	25.0 14.0	30.0 16.8
TOTALS		1214.0		499.0		399.2	2	25.2	106.1		23.8		16.9	14.0	16.9
MEDIAN		35.5		14,4		13.0		7.4	0.1		0.4		0.0	0.0	0.0
AVERAGE		151.8		62,4		49.9		28.2	13.3	5	3.0		2.1	1.8	2.1
MODE		12		#N/A		#N/A	#N	/A	0)	#N/A		- 0	0	0
Maine State Police															
TOTALS							La contra								
MEDIAN															
AVERAGE															
MODE															

10/27/97

1

į

			1				1			Adult Publi	c Inebriation I	Responses	Resulting in	Charges/	Arrests			
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	Charge/Ar	ng	% Obstruct Justice	# Obstruct Justice	% Liquor Law	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	% Vandali sm			# Assault		erly	% Public Indecency	# Public Indecency	% Tresp # Tresp assing assing	% Other # Othe
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	2	2 0	C)	0		0		0		Ċ	and the second	0		0	Bauer 19 Main and Bauer and Ba	
Fort Kent PD	AK	50)	0		0		0	·	0		C)	0		0	0	— ⁶
Madawaska P. D.	AK	30	40	12		0		0		0	30	3.6	70	8.4		0	0	
Presque Isle P.D.	AK	150	30	45		_					5	2.25	20	9	<u> </u>		5 2.25	··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
TOTALS		232		57		0		0		0		5.85	j	17.4		0	300%/04/2011/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/11/	
MEDIAN		40		6		0		0		0	<u>.</u>	1.125	5	4.2	······································	O	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	
AVERAGE		58		14.25		0		0		0	<u>}</u>	1.4625	j	4.35	}	0	0.5625	
MODE		#N/A		0		0		0		0		C	3	0		0	1 62100.04220.0000	
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	200	33	66		0		0	3	1,98	2	1.32	20	13.2		0	5 3.3	
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	400	3	80		- o	4			0	·····	A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR A			2	- 0	ant rest and rest	
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		Ő	·	0		0		0	14 ·····	0	<u> </u>	Ō	(1000 pt (1000	
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	45		0		0	S	_1		0		0	×		\$	0	270402000000000000000000000000000000000	
TOTALS	1	650		146		0		3.2		1.98		4.52		21.2		0	4,9	
MEDIAN		122.5		33		0		0		0		0.66	······	4		0		
AVERAGE		162.5		36.5		0		0,8		0.495		1,13	S	5.3		0		
MODE		#N/A		0		0		0	·····	0		0		0	······································	0		
Brunswick Pol Dept	CD	250		0		0	5	0	10	0	20	0	10	0		0	50 0	
CC Sher Dept	CD	107		4.28		0		Ō		0		0	3	0	£	0		75 3.
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	2		0		0		0		0		0		0	L	0	KONDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARDARD	
Gorham Police Dept	CD	35	30	10.5	10	1.05		0	5	0.525	10	1.05	25	2,625		0	50 5.25	
Scarborough P. D.	CD	25	0	0		0		0		0		0)	0		0	0	
So Portland Police	CD	200	15	30	10	3	5	1.5	10	3	50	15	10	3	5	1.5	5 1.5	5 1
TOTALS		619		44.78		4.05		1,5		3.525		16.05		5.625		1.5	7.82	4.
MEDIAN		71		2.14		0		0		0		0		0		0	. 2020/0014 002/001/001/001/001	
AVERAGE		103.1667		7.4633		0.675		0.25		0,5875		2.675		0.9375		0.25	1,3033	0.7
MODE	-	#N/A		0		0		0		.0		0		0		0	0	
Carrabassett Valley	FN	20		0		0		0		0	5	0	5	0		0	5 0	
Farmington Police	FN	5	t	0		0		0		0		0	8	0		. 0		
TOTALS		25		Ō		0		0	<u></u>	0		0		0		0	0	
MEDIAN		12.5		0		0	·······	0		0		0		0		0	0	
AVERAGE		12.5		0		0		0		0		0		0		0	0	
MODE		#N/A		0		0		o		0		0		0		0	0	
Gouldsboro PD	НК	3	33	0.99		0	33	0.3267		0	66	0.6534		0		0	0	
TOTALS MEDIAN		-														-		

Í

									Adult Publi	c Inebriation	Responses	Resulting i	n Charges/	Arrests					
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	Charge/Ar	ng	% Obstruct Justice	# Obstruct Justice	% Liquor Law	# Liquor Laws	% Vandali # Vandali sm sm	3	# Assault	erly	f # Disord erly Conduct	% Public Indecency		% Tresp # assing as	XXXXXXXXXXXXXX	% Other	# Other
AVERAGE														1		-			
MODE		_						_			_						-		
Gardiner PD	KC	140	2	28		0	×	4 1.12		\$	2 0.56	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1	10,28	3	0.84		0
Hallowell PD	кс	35		0		0	20			<u></u>	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	3		â	0	3 AS7	0	-	0
Waterville Pol Dept	КС	425	<u></u>			. 0	<u> </u>	0			1000 March 1	13	and the second s		0		8.1875		0
TOTALS		600	\$	91,75		0		1.12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		13.31		50,613	3	0.28		.0275		0
MEDIAN		140		28		0		0	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		0.56	<u>å</u>	2.8	·	0	S (20.2)	0.84		0
AVERAGE	1	200	ļ	30.583		0		0,3733		<u> </u>	4.436667		16.871		0,0933333	C.C.	.3425		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0	0		#N/A		#N/A		0		#N/A		0
Camden Police Dept.	КХ	20		0		0		0	0	1	C		0	1	0		0	10	C
Knox County Sherf De	кх	- 40	3	4		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 0.08	Logora and Logora and Logora		0.16	2	0.08		0	5	0.08		C
Rockland Police Dept	кх	55	ð	2.75		0	÷	0			2 0.055	3 <u></u>			0		0	1	0.0275
Rockport Police Dept	кх	84	3 ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	83,16		0		0							0	25	20.79	25	
Thomaston Police Dep	КХ	16		0		0		0	0		0		0	3	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		0
Winslow Pol Dept	КХ	50		0		0		0	0		0		0		0		0	·····	0
TOTALS		265		89.91		0	1	0.08	0		21.005		20.925		0	32	20.87		20.818
MEDIAN		45		1.375		0		0	0		0.0275		0.0275		0	1	0		0
AVERAGE		44.16667		14.985		0		0,0133	0		3.500833		3,4875		0	3	1.4783		3.4696
MODE		#N/A		0		0		0	. 0		0		0		0		0		0
D		10			a sa ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang an												-		
Damariscotta Police Lincoln County P.D.	LN LN	10		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		0	0		0	20) 0		0		0	20	0
TOTALS		10		0		0		0	0		C	<u> </u>	0	<u> </u>	0		0	·····	<u> </u>
MEDIAN						0		-	`		-		- 1997 - 1	<u> </u>			Ů,		,
AVERAGE		-						-										·····	
MODE											-		-						
Bethel Police Dept	OD	20	3	0		0	3	0		1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_0.000000000000000000000000000000000000		0		0		0
Mexico Police Dept,	OD	50		0		0	š	0			7 10 3/2 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	3	0		0	1708	0		0
Norway Police Dept.	OD	25		0		0	4	0	5.1.5.00 mg. 5.4.00 mg.	1	0		0	1	0		0		0
TOTALS		95	3	0	L	0	*	0		4	0	·····	0	§	0	-10	0		0
MEDIAN		25	3	0		. 0	L	0			0	š	0		0		0		Ó
AVERAGE		31.66667		0		0		0			0	·	0		- 0		0		0
MODE		#N/A		0		0		0	0		0	ļ	0		0		0		0
Greenville PD	PS	100	<u></u>	15		0	<u>.</u>	1	No. 100	<u>.</u>	0	A		·····	0.15	628	0.15		0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	25	25	6.25		0	50	3,125	10 0.625		0	25	1,5625	15	5 0.937 5		0		0

10/27/97

1

									Adult Public Inel	priation Responses I	Resulting in Charges/Arres	ts		
			% Resulti	and the second										
		# of Times		ng							% Disord # Disord			
	0					# Obstruct %	-	200-95-50-020000000000000000000000000000			erly erly % Pu		% Tresp # Tres p	
Department Name		Inebriation			Justice		aw	Laws sm			Conduct Conduct Inde			% Other # Other
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	60 185		Contraction and the second			£	5 1,2 4.625	5 1.2 1.975	5 1.2		0	5 1.2	5 1.2
TOTALS		_ 185 60	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45.25 15		1.2 0		4.626	0.625	1.2		1.0875 0.15	1.35 0.15	1.2
AVERAGE	+	61.66667	<u> </u>	15.083		0.4		1.5417	0.6583	0.4	S	0.3625	0,45	0.4
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0.4		#N/A	#N/A	0		#N/A	#N/A	0. 4
WODE	+	#W/A		#19/74	·	· · · · · ·		#19/4	<i>π</i> 19/6			πιν/Α		·····
Old Town Police Dept	PT	300	20	60		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PT	• 15		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		0	0	0	9	0	0	7 0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	285	55	156.75		0	28	43,89	3 4.7025	1 1.5675	10 15.675	0	1 1.5675	43 67.403
Veazie Police Dept	PT	12	<u>.</u>	0		0	5	Accepting and acception of all	0	5 0		0	5 0	0
TOTALS		612	8	216.75		0		43.89	4.7025	1.5675	15.675	. 0	1.5675	67.403
MEDIAN		150		30		0		0	0	0		00	0	0
AVERAGE		153		54,188		0		10.973	1.1756	0.391875		0	0.3919	16.851
MODE		#N/A	·	0		0		O	0	0	0	0	0	
Bath Police Dept	SC	. 83	20	16.6		0		0	0	0	10 1.66	0	10 1.66	0
Phippsburg P.D.	SC	0	1	0.0		· -		`				`		
	sc	35		3.5		0	6	o.21	0	2 0.07	2 0.07	0	0	0
	SC	10	8	2.5	······································	0		0	25 0.625	0		0	50 1.25	0
TOTALS		128		22.6		0		0.21	0.625	0.07	2.355	0	2.91	0
MEDIAN		22.5		3		0		0	0	0	0.625	0	1.25	0
AVERAGE		32		5.65		0		0.07	0.2083	0.023333	0.785	0	0.97	0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0	0	0	#N/A	00	#N/A	0
	1													
	ST	450		45	5	2.25	20		8 3.6	8 3.6	Construction of the second	1 0.45	10 4.5	0
	ST	40	\$ S	8		0		0	0	10 0.8		0	0	0
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	35	2	3.85		0	25	5 0.9625	0	0		0	50 1,925	25 0.9625
TOTALS		525 40	S	56.85 8		2.25		9.9625 0.9625	3.6	4.4		0.450	6.425 1.925	0.9625
MEDIAN	<u>+</u>			8 18.95		0.75	_	3.3208	1.2	1.466667	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.15	2.1417	0.3208
MODE	+	#N/A		18.95 #N/A		0.78		#N/A	0	#N/A	#N/A	0.15	#N/A	0.3208
		- #1110		#11/A		V-						Y		0
Baileyville Pol Dept	WN	15	S	0		0		0	0	0	· · · · · · · ·_	0	0	0
Calais Police Dept	WN	75		0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0
Machias Police Dept.	WN	30	§	29.7		0		0	0	60 17.82		0	20 5.94	20 5.94
TOTALS		120	\$	29.7	·····	0		0	0	17.82		0	5.94	5.94
MEDIAN		30		0		0		0	0	0		0	0	0
AVERAGE		40		9.9		0		0	0	5.94		0	1.98	1.98
MODE		#N/A	L	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0

•

.

				_					Adul	lt Public	Inebriation	Responses	Resulting ir	Charges/	Arrests					
Department Name	County	# of Times Public Inebriation	ng Charge/Ar	5.5. (COL) 24.5 (27.0 24.6 (26.8 (2		# Obstruct Justice	1	# Liquor Laws	% Vandali # \ sm sm		% Assault	# Assault		erly	% Public Indecency	# Public Indecency	% Tresp # assing a		% Other	# Other
		-																	an a construction of a large	
Buxton Police Dept	YK	12	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	·	0		0		0		C		0		0	§	0		0
Eliot Police Dept	YK	21		0		0	30	0		0		C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0		0		0
Kennebunkport PD	YK	15	53	0.45		0		0	000	0		Ç	3	0.0135		0		0		0
Kittery PD	YK	12	·····	0		0		0		0		C	l	0		0		0		0
Saco Police Dept	YK	150		-X		0		0		0	5			1.125		0	5	1.125		0
Sanford Police Dept	YK	884	4 35	309.4		0	10	30.94	15	46.41	20	61.88	25	77.35	5	5 15.47	. 25	77.35		0
York County Sheriff	YK	50)	0	16	0	20	0		0	10) C	16	0	3	3 0	2	0		0
York Police Dept	YK	70	30	21	3	0.63	10	2.1	20	4.2	30	6.3	20	4.2	2	0.42	10	2.1	5	1.05
TOTALS	Í	-1214	4	353,35	1	0.63		33.04		50.61		69.305		82.6885		15.89	40	80.575		1.05
MEDIAN		35.5	5	0.225		0		0		0				0.00675		- 0		0		0
AVERAGE		151.75	5	44.16875		0.07875		4.13	6	5.32625		8.663125		10.33606		1,98625		10.0719		0.13125
MODE		12	2	0		0		0		0		- (0		0		0		Ó
Maine State Police																				
TOTALS										. 1							Ŕ			
MEDIAN														-	,	-				
AVERAGE																				
MODE						-														

10/27/97

.

- í

.

.

10/24/97

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation

•

Action Taken

								by Coun	τγ						
										Contacted	by Police Wher	n Action Was	Taken:		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			1	# No											
		# Resp	Action	Action	% Action	# Action					% Probation	# Probation			
Department Name	County	onses	Taken	Taken	Taken	Taken	% Family	# Family	% Guardian	# Guardian	Officer	Officer	% School	# School %	Other # Other
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	14	0		100	14	100	14		-					
Fort Kent PD	AK			0	100	0	100	0		0	100	()	0	0
Madawaska P. D.	AK	10		0	100	10	100	10		0		()	0	0
Presque Isle P.D.	AK	20		0	100	20	50	10		0	50	1()	0	0
TOTALS		44	1	0		44		34		0		1()	0	0
MEDIAN		14		0		12		10		0		()	0	0
AVERAGE		14.67		0		11		8.5		0		3.3333333	3	0	0
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		10		0		()	o	0
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	150	15	22.5	85	127.5	65	82.875	20	25.5	10	12.78	i 10	12.75	0
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	50	1	0	25	12.5	20	2.5	5	0.625		()	0	0
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	15		0	100	15	100	15		0	90	13.8	;;	0	10 1.5
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	80	10	8	50	40	10	4		0	40	16	}	0	0
TOTALS		295	1	30.5		195		104.375		26,125		42.2	5	12,75	1.5
MEDIAN		65		4		27.5		9.5		0.3125		13.12	;	0	0
AVERAGE		73.75		7.625		48.75		26.0938		6,53125		10.5628	5	3.1875	0.375
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		0		#N/A		0	0
Brunswick Pol Dept	CD	100	10	10	90	90	20	18	10	9	30	27	2	0	40 36
CC Sher Dept	CD	5	60	3	40	2		0		0		()	0	100 2
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	5	;	0	100	5	75	3.75		0	25	1.28	5	0	0
Gorham Police Dept	CD	30	1	0	100	30	65	19.5		0	25	7.5	i 10	3	0
Scarborough P. D.	CD	99	25	24.75	75	74.25	98	72.765	1	0.7425	1	0.7428	i	0	0
So Portland Police	CD	100	5	5	.95	95	80	76	10	9.5	10	9.9	5	0	0
TOTALS		339	1	42.75		296.25		190.015		19.2425		45.992)	3	38
MEDIAN		64.5		- 4		52.125		18.75		0.37125		4.378	i	0	0
AVERAGE		56.5		7.125		49,375		31.6692		3.207083		7.6654167	/	0.5	6.3333
MODE		100		0		#N/A		#N/A		0		#N/A		0	0
														2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
Carrabassett Valley	FN	5		0	100	5	1		5		S\$	()	0	(m. 10
Farmington Police	FN	25		0	100	25	75	18,75	5	1.25	20	Ę		0	0
TOTALS		30		0		30		23.5		1.5		Ę	i	0	0
MEDIAN		15		0		15		11.75		0.75		2.5		0	0
AVERAGE		15	;	0	<u> </u>	15		11.75		0.75		2.8	1	0	0

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Action Taken by County

10/24/97

÷

							Contacted	by Police Wher	n Action Was Taken:		_
Department Name	County		Action	% Action # Acti Taken Taken		% Family # Family % Guardian		3	# Probation Officer % School	# School % Other	# Other
MODE		#N/A	0	#N/		#N/A	#N/A		#N/A	0	
Gouldsboro PD	 HK	1	0	100	1	100 1	0		0	0	
TOTALS MEDIAN					-						-
AVERAGE MODE					-	And And Andreas an					
					-					-	-

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation

Action Taken

by County

			1					by Coun	_	ntacted	by Police Wher	Action Was Taken:		
			% No	# No										
		# Resp	Action	Action	% Action	# Action					% Probation	# Probation		
Department Name	County	onses	Taken	Taken	Taken	Taken	% Family	# Family	% Guardian # Gu	ardian	Officer	Officer % School	# School	% Other # Oth
Gardiner PD	KC	80	1	4	95	76	90	68,4	5	3.8	5	3.8	0	
Hallowell PD	KC	30		0	80	24	70	16.8	5	1.2	5	1.2	0	
Waterville Pol Dept	KC	80		0	100	80	100	80		0		0	0	
TOTALS		190	1	4		180		165.2	1 (1970-0-5.700-986)	5		5	0	
MEDIAN		80	1	0		76	······································	68,4		1.2		1.2	0	
AVERAGE		63.33		1.333		60		55.0667	1.6	66667		1.6666667	0	
MODE		80		0		#N/A		#N/A	#	N/A		#N/A	0	
			1											
Camden Police Dept.	KX	20		0	100	20	80	16		0	20	4	0	
Knox County Sherf De	КХ	30	20	6	40	12	60	7.2	10	1.2	30	3.6	0	
Rockland Police Dept	КХ	20	10	2	20	4	90	3,6		0	10	0,4	0	Sector 2
Rockport Police Dept	КХ			0		0		0		0		0	0	
Thomaston Police Dep	КХ	9	1	0	100	9	95	8.55	5	0.45		0	0	
Winslow Pol Dept	КX			0		0		0		0		0	. 0	
TOTALS		79		8		45		35,35		1.65		8	0	
MEDIAN		20		0		6.5		5.4		0		0.2	0	
AVERAGE		19.75		1.333		7.5		5.89167		0.275		1.3333333	0	
MODE		20		0		0		0		0		0	0	
Damariscotta Police	LN	3	:	0	100	3	100	3		0		0	0	
Lincoln County P.D.	LN			0		0		0		0		0	0	
TOTALS		3		0		3	i	3		0		0	0	
MEDIAN		3		0		1.5		1.5		0		0	0	
AVERAGE		3		0	-	1.5		1.5		0		0	0	
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		0		0	0	
										1				
Bethel Police Dept	OD	10		0		10	100	10		0		0	0	
Mexico Police Dept.	OD	75	10	7.5	90	67.5	50	33.75	30	20.25	10	6.75	0	
Norway Police Dept.	OD			0		0		0		0		0	0	
TOTALS		85		7.5		77.5		43.75		20.25		6.75	0	
MEDIAN		42.5		0		10		10		0		0	0	
AVERAGE		42.5		2.5		25.833		14.5833		6.75		2.25	0	the start
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		0		0	0	

. -

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Action Taken by County

								<u></u>	·	Contacted	by Police Wher	Action Was	Taken:	
Department Name	County				% Action Taken		% Family	# Family	% Guardian	# Guardian	8. 8	# Probation Officer	% School # School	% Other # Other
Greenville PD	PS	40	10	4	59	23.6	26	6.136	10	2.36	5 18	4.248	5 1,11	3 0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	10		0	100	10	90	9	5	0.5	5	0.5	() 0
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	99		0	100	99	60	59.4	10	9.9	20	19.8	10 9.9) 0
TOTALS		149		4		132.6		74.536		12.76		24,548	11.08	3 0
MEDIAN		40		0		23.6		9		2.36	5	4.248	1,18	3 0
AVERAGE		49.67		1,333		44.2		24.8453		4.253333		8.1826667	3.69333	3 0
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	0
Old Town Police Dept	PT	50	25	12.5	50	25	90	22.5		C	10	2.5) 0
Penobscot Cty Sherif	PT	5	20	1		0		0		C)	0	() 0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	10	1	0	100	10	50	5		C	1	0.1	- (49 4.9
Veazie Police Dept	PT	6	50	3	50	3	40	1.2	40	1,2	20	0.6) 0
TOTALS		71	1	16.5		38		28.7		1.2		3.2		4.9
MEDIAN		8		2		6,5		3.1		C)	0.35) 0
AVERAGE		17.75		4.125		9.5		7.175		0.3		0.8	(1.225
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		C	2	#N/A	(0
Bath Police Dept	SC	50		0	60	30	75	22.5		C	25	7.5	()0
Phippsburg Police Dept.	SC .	1	-	1.	<i>t</i>		100							100
Richmond Police Dept	SC	25		18.75		Version and the second second second second	7	0.175	t	C		0.075		N VALUE AND
Topsham Police Dept	SC	25		0		Contraction of the second s		12.5	4	C			() 0
TOTALS				18.75		58.5		36,175		C)	20.075		
MEDIAN				0		13.75		6.75		C)	7.5	participation ()0
AVERAGE				4.688		14.625		9.04375		C	2	6.6916667)0
MODE				0		#N/A		#N/A		C)	#N/A		00
Fairfield Police Dep	ST	100		90		A CONTRACTOR OF	1	7.5				- 1		
Pittsfield Police	ST	25		0			50			10		2.5	(
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	1		0	100	• 1		0	l	C		1	(
TOTALS		126		90		36		20		11.5		4,5		
MEDIAN		25		0		10		7.5		1.5	/8 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	(00
AVERAGE		42		30		12		6.66667		3.833333		1.5	(0
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		1		00

10/24/97

ć.

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Action Taken by County

10/24/97

.

.

			1	1						Contacted	by Police Whe	n Action Was	Taken:			
Department Name	County			# No Action Taken	3	# Action Taken	% Family	# Family	% Guardian	# Guardian	S	# Probation Officer	% School	# School	% Other	# Other
Baileyville Pol Dept	WN	4		0	75	3	100	3		0		C)	0		0
Calais Police Dept	WN	25		0	100	25	100	25		0		- c)	0		0
Machias Police Dept.	WN	12		0	100	12		0	70	8.4	30	3.6)	0		0
TOTALS		41	1	0		40		28		8.4		3.6	Si	0		0
MEDIAN		12		0		12		3		0		C)	0		0
AVERAGE		13.67	1	0		13.333		9.33333		2.8		1.2	2	0		0
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A		0		C)	0		0
Buxton Police Dept	YK	5	: Hite Concernent I	0	100	5	50	2.5	40	2	10	0.5	1	0		0
Eliot Police Dept	YK	7		0	100	7	100	7		0		C	90	6.3		0
Kennebunkport PD	YK	20	i	0	100	20	100	20		0		C)	0		0
Kittery PD	YK	3		0	100	3		0		0	100	3	1	0		0
Saco Police Dept	YK	35		0	100	35	90	31.5		0		C)	0	10	3.5
Sanford Police Dept	YK	99	10	9.9	60	59.4	100	59.4	100	59.4	25	14.85	10	5,94		0
York County Sheriff	YK	20) 12		0		There is a second of the second	10	0		Contraction of the second s	94	0		0
York Police Dept	YK	90		0	3	90		STOCTOR SOUTH STOCKED STOCKED	3	100 WORLDW 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	9		5.8	6.000 - 200		0
TOTALS		279		21.9		219.4		205.9		65.9		45.35	98	48.24		3.5
MEDIAN		20		0		13.5		13.5	{	0		0,25	2 <u>4</u>	-0		0
AVERAGE		34.88		2.738		27.425		25,7375		8,2375		5.66875		6.03		0.4375
MODE		20	-	0		#N/A		0		0		C)	0		0
Maine State Police																
TOTALS																
MEDIAN			1													
AVERAGE			1													
MODE			:						-		SUCCESSION OF STREET, S					

(

• . (

								Pub	olic Inebria	tion Report	ted By:		
		# Bosno	% Repeat	# Reneat			% Neighb # Neigh	w Busin	# Buein				
Department Name	County				% Family				5-45 (The Company) (Company) (Compan	6 Public	# Public	% Schools # Schools	% Other # Other
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	14	50	7		0				43	6.02	7 0.98	0
Fort Kent PD	AK		2	0		0	()	0	99	0	0	0
Madawaska P. D.	AK	10	25	2.5	25	2.5	(<u>כ</u>	0	25	2.5	O	50 5
Presque Isle P.D.	AK	20	10	2		0	5 1	1	0	95	19	0	o
TOTALS		44		11.5		2.5	1	1	0		27.52	0.98	5
MEDIAN		14		2.25		0	()	0		4.26	0	0
AVERAGE		14.667		2.875		0.625	0.3333	3	0		6.88	0.245	1.25
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0	()	0		#N/A	0	0
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	150	60	- 90	20	30	() 10	15	50	75	10 15	10 15
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	50	10	5		0	10 5	5	0	85	42.5	5 2.5	
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	15	20	3	20	3	(3/3	0	80	12	0	
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	80	65	52		0	15 12	2 10	8	75	60	0	0
TOTALS		295		150		33	17	7	23		189.5	17.5	15
MEDIAN		65		28.5		1.5	2.8	5	4		51.25	1.25	0
AVERAGE		73.75		37.5		8.25	4.25	5	5.75		47.375	4.375	3.75
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0	()	0		#N/A	Ó	0
Brunswick Pol Dept	CD	100	50	50		0	5 E	5 5	5	90	90	- 0	0
CC Sher Dept	CD	5		0		0	(20	1	20	- 1	20 1	40 2
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	5		0		0	()	0		0	0	99 4.95
Gorham Police Dept	CD	30	50	15	20	6	40 12	2 10	3	25	7.5	5 1.5	
Scarborough P. D.	CD	99	50	49.5	10	9.9	C)	0	80	79.2	10 9.9	0
So Portland Police	CD -	100	5	5	10	10	10 10	5	5	50	50	0	25 2 5
TOTALS		339		119.5		25.9	27	7	14	, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	227.7	12.4	31.95
MEDIAN		64.5		10		3	2.5	5	2		28.75	0.5	1
AVERAGE		56.5		19.91667		4.31667	4.8	5	2.333		37.95	2.066667	5.325
MODE		100		0		0	c)	5		#N/A	0	0
									in the second				
Carrabassett Valley	FN	5		0		0	C) 5	0.25	95	4.75	0	0
Farmington Police	FN	25	20	5	20	5	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1)	0	40	10	40 10	0

10/24/97

.

.

(

- (

-

								Public Inebriation	Reported By:		
		# Respo	% Repeat	# Reneat		% N	eighb # Neigh %	Busin # Busin			
Department Name	County			and the second se	% Family	# Family ors	bors ess		blic # Public %	Schools # Schools % C	ther # Other
TOTALS		30		5		5	0.	0.25	14.75	10	0
MEDIAN		15		2.5		2.5	0	0.125	7.375	5	0
AVERAGE		15		2.5		2.5	0	0,125	7.375	5	0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A	0	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0
Gouldsboro PD	НК	1	and a second of the second of	0		0	0	0	99 0.99	0	0
TOTALS			·····				1000				
MEDIAN						-					
AVERAGE											
MODE				-							
Gardiner PD	КС	80	2	. 1.6		0	5 4	30 24	60 48	5 4	0
Hallowell PD	KC	30	10	3	E	5 1.5	5 1.5	10 3	80 24	0	0
Waterville Pol Dept	KC	80	10	8	50) 40	30 24	0	15 12	5 4	0
TOTALS		190		12.6		41.5	29.5	27	84	8	0
MEDIAN		80		3		1.5	4	3	- 24	4	0
AVERAGE		63.333		4.2		13.8333	9.8333	9	28	2.666667	0
MODE		80		#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	4	0
Camden Police Dept.	КХ	20	80) 16	20) 4	0	30 6	30 6	20 4	0
Knox County Sherf De	КХ	30	40	12	5	5 1.5	5 1.5	8 2.4	81 24.3	1 0.3	0
Rockland Police Dept	КΧ	20	25	5	10) 2	10 2	0	60 12	0	20 4
Rockport Police Dept	KX			0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomaston Police Dep	КΧ	9	1	0.09	3	0.27	0	5 0.45	90 8.1	2 0.18	0
Winslow Pol Dept	KX			0		0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS		79		33.09		7.77	3.5	8.85	50.4	4.48	4
MEDIAN		20		2.545		0.885	0	0.225	7.05	0.09	0
AVERAGE		19.75		5.515		1.295	0.5833	1,475	8,4	0,746667	0.6667
MODE		20		0		0	0	0	0	O	0
Damariscotta Police	LN	3	an an an an an an an an Ara-Ar	0		0	0	0	99 2.9 7	0	0

(

ĺ

									Pub	olic Inebr	iation Repor	ted By:				
Department Name	County	-	% Repeat Offenders		% Family	# Family		# Neigh bors	% Busin esses	NO THEORY IN CONTRACTOR	% Public	# Public	% Schools	# Schools %	Other	# Other
Lincoln County P.D.	LN											0		0		0
TOTALS		3		0	5	0		C)	0		2.97		0		0
MEDIAN		3		0		0		C)	0		1,485		0		· 0
AVERAGE		3		0		0		C)	0		1.485		0		0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0		0
Bethel Police Dept	OD	10		0	10	1	30			0		6		0		0
Mexico Police Dept.	OD	75	50	37.5	0	0	25	18.75	š	0	75	56.25		0		0
Norway Police Dept.	OD			0		0		0	20 8 1.	0	5	0		0		0
TOTALS		85		37.5		1		21.75	20 J	0		62.25		· 0_		0
MEDIAN		42.5	1	0)	0		3	82. D	0		6		0		0
AVERAGE		42.5		12.5		0.33333		7.25	ì	0		20,75		0		0
MODE		#N/A		0		0		#N/A	<u> </u>	0		#N/A		0		0
Greenville PD	PS	40	1		8					2		.12	5	2		0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	10		_ Not contract the second s	· -		10	Contraction of the second s	5	0,5		7.5		. 0		0
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	99				distribution of the second states and the	*	4	210	9.9		49,5	10	9.9	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	0
TOTALS		149	1	52.6		24.9		30.8	SN	12,4		69		11.9		0
MEDIAN		40		8		9.9	3	10	N	2		12		- 2		0
AVERAGE		49.667		17.53333		8.3		10.267	·	4.133		23		3.966667		0
MODE		#N/A	·····	#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		0
Old Town Police Dept	PT	50		12.5				0	AI	0		25	10	5	25	12.5
Penobscot Cty Sherif	PT	5		0	20	1		0	8 8	0	60	- 3	20	1		0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	10		0		0			(t)	0		0		0	9 5	9.5
Veazie Police Dept	PT	6	1	and the second second second second second	S	20 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	~		V2 4	1.8		1.8		0		0
TOTALS		71		14,48		9.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.3		1,8		29.8		6		22
MEDIAN		8		0.99		0.8	3	0.25	23 X	0		2.4		0.5		4.75
AVERAGE		17.75		3.62		2.275		0.575	í	0.45		7.45		1.5		5.5
MODE		#N/A		0		#N/A		0)	0		#N/A		0		0
										Policity -						

1

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								Pub	olic Inebria	ation Repor	ted By:				
		# Bespo	% Repeat	# Repeat			% Neighb	# Neiah %	6 Busin	# Busin						
Department Name	County	nses		Offenders	% Family	# Family					% Public	# Public	% Schools	# Schools	% Other	# Other
Bath Police Dept	SC	50	20	10	5	2.5	5	2.5	5	2,5	55	27.5	5	2.5	5 25	5 12.5
Phippsburg Police Dept	SC	1	0	0	100	1		0		0		0		C)	0
Richmond Police Dept	SC	25	80	20	2	0.5	95	23.75		0	2	0.5	1	0.25	5	0
Topsham Police Dept	SC	25	25	6.25		0		0		0	50	12.5	50	12.5	5	0
TOTALS		101		36.25		4		26.25		2.5		40.5		15.25	5	12.5
MEDIAN		25		8.125		0.75		1.25		0		6.5		1.375	5	0
AVERAGE		25.25		9.0625		1		6.5625		0.625		10.125		3.8125	5	3,125
MODE		25		#N/A		#N/A	· .	0		0		#N/A		#N/A		0
Fairfield Police Dep	 ST	100	10	10	50	50	8	8	7	7	25	25	10	10)	0
Pittsfield Police	ST	25	50		1		\$š	2.5	·	0	80			Ċ	Q	- 0
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	1	99		99	5 1		0		0		0		Ċ)	0
TOTALS		126		23,49		53.49	4	10.5		7	·	45		10)	0
MEDIAN		25		10		2.5		2.5		0		20		C	<u> </u>	0
AVERAGE		42		7,83		17.83	(3.5		2.333		15		3.333333	3	0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		. 0		#N/A		C	š	0
			74	0.04							an a		an a			~
Baileyville Pol Dept	WN	4		2.84		0		3.6		0	0.5	0		C	1.A	0
Calais Police Dept	WN	25	1	0.25		0)	0	20	0	95 20	23.75 2.4		Contraction of the second second second	<u>.</u>) 7.2
Machias Police Dept.	WN	12	50	10.0 (1.7 1.0 A) (1.7 A) (1.7 A) (1.7 A)		0	3	0	20	2.4	20	Construction and the second second		C	54 	FIG. S. ALLER ST. TOTAL BALLET
TOTALS		41		9.09		0	 	3.6		2.4 0		26.15 2.4		1	ð	7.2
MEDIAN		12		2,84		0	l	0		0.8		2.4 8.7167		0		2.4
AVERAGE		13.667		3.03		0		1.2				8.7167 #N/A		C	g	2.4
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		0		0		#IN/A		C	/ <u>.</u>	0
Buxton Police Dept	YK	5		0		0		0		0	99	4.95		C	12 C	0
Eliot Police Dept	YK	7		. 0	10	0.7		0		0		0	90	6.3	5	0
Kennebunkport PD	YK	20	25	5		0		0	50	10	50	10		0)	0
Kittery PD	ΥK	3	33	0.99	66	1.98		0		0		0	33	0.99		. 0
Saco Police Dept	YK	35	70	24.5	15	5.25	60	21	10	3.5	10	3,5	5	1.75	i	0
Sanford Police Dept	ΥK	99	92	91.08	15	14.85	5	4.95	15	14.85	55	54.45	10	9.9		0

•

			ļ					Public Inebr	ation Reported By:		
Department Name	County		1	(1) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A	% Family	# Family		% Busin # Busin esses esses	% Public # Public	% Schools # Schools	% Other # Other
York County Sheriff	YK	20				0	0	0	80 16	0	0
York Police Dept	YK	90	30	. 27	15	5 13.5	10 9	50 45	20 18	5 4.5	0
TOTALS		279		150.57		36.28	34.95	73.35	106.9	23.44	0
MEDIAN		20		3.5		1.34	0	1.75	7.475	1.37	0
AVERAGE		34.875		18.82125		4.535	4.3688	9.169	13.363	2.93	0
MODE		20		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Maine State Police											
TOTALS											5 () () () () () () () () () (
MEDIAN						-				1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	
AVERAGE		1		-							and the second se
MODE		1									

Page 5

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Resulting in Charges/Arrests by County

			% Resulting in	# Resulting in % Obs	truct # Obstruct % Liqu	or #Liquor				% Disorderly	# Disorderly	% Public	# Public	% Tresp # Tresp	
Department Name	County	# Responses		Arrest/Charge Justice			% Vandalism	# Vandalism_ %	Assault # Assault		Conduct	1	10000000000000000000000000000000000000	assing assing	(2000-2000)
Fort Fairfield P.D.	AK	14	50	- 7		50 3.5	0) 0	0 0	0	0		o c	0 0) 0 (
Fort Kent PD	AK		100	0,		80 O		0	10 C	10	0		C) agai) i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i
Madawaska P. D.	AK	10	25	2.5	0	25 0.625		0	25 0.62 5	25	0.625		C)) (
Presque Isle P.D.	AK	20		0	0	0		0	(0	2	C) (
TOTALS	.	30		2.5	0	0.625		0	0.625		0.625		C) (
MEDIAN		15		0	0	0		0	C		0		C	() (
AVERAGE		15		0.833333333	0	0.20833		0	0.208333		0.20833333	· ····	C)) (
MODE		#N/A		0	0	0		0	C		0		C) (
Auburn Police Dept.	AN	150	15		0	5 1.125	5	1.125	C	°⊷−			C		
Lewiston Police Dept	AN	50	75	37.5		80 30		0	5 1.875	3		Service and and the state	C		5 (
Lisbon Police Dept	AN	15		0		10 O	70	0	<u>5</u> C	55		·	C	10 ()(
Sabattus Police Dept	AN	80	40			30 9.6		0	0		Construction of Charles Construction of the Charles	2	C) (
TOTALS		295		92	0	40.725		1.125	1.875	3	24.95	<u> </u>	C		
MEDIAN		65		27.25	0	5,3625		0	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3.475		C		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AVERAGE		73.75		23	00	10.1813		0.28125	0.46875	L	6.2375		C		
MODE		#N/A		#N/A	0	#N/A		0	C		#N/A	↓	_ · C	· ()(
Brunswick Pol Dept	CD	100	80			60 48	5		5 4	·	, 0	<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>	C		
CC Sher Dept	CD	5	0		0	0		0	C	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0	······	0	L	
Cumberland Pol Dept	CD	Б	25			25 0.3126		0	C		0	£			
Gorham Police Dept	CD	30	5	An end of the second	0	0	30	service and the service of the servi	30 0.45		A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O	1	C		
Scarborough P. D.	CD	99	75	Sector Contractor Contra		20 14.85	25		10 7.425				_ 0	15 11.14	Contra National Contra Nationa
So Portland Police	CD	100		0	0	70 0	10		10 0				0		wysite water a
TOTALS		339 64,5		157	0	63,1625 0.15625		23.0125 0.225	11.876	Semantine	18.8625		0		5
MEDIAN AVERAGE		56,5		1.375	0	10.5271		3.83541667	1.979167	<u> </u>	3.14375	·	- 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
MODE		100		0	0	0		0	1.373107		3.14370	÷	- 0		
		100		· · · · ·	``````````````````````````````````	_				·	v	<u> </u>		V	·
Carrabassett Valley	FN	5	0	0	0	0		0			0		0	()
Farmington Police	FN	25	0	No Children Childr	0	0		0	°	2	ő	<u> </u>	- 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TOTALS	1	30			<u> </u>	0		0	C		0	,	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1. The second se
MEDIAN		15		-	ŏ	0		0	c		0		- 0	·	A
AVERAGE		15			Ö	o		0	C		0		0		
MODE		#N/A			o'	0		0	c	1	0		- 0	() (
									-						
Gouldsboro PD	IHK	1	0	0	0	0		0	C		0		0)
TOTALS	1					122				1					
MEDIAN								and the second second	-				-		and the second second
AVERAGE	1	-													
MODE													-		
														a the second sec	
Gardiner PD	KC	80	60	48	0	75 36	8	3,84	4 1.92	8	3.84		0	5 2,4	
Hallowell PD	КС	30	20			15 0.9		0	2 0,12	3	0.18		0	c	с с
Waterville Pol Dept	КС	80	100	See 1 or 2 of the boll of the		99 79 .2		0	C		0		0	c	C C
TOTALS		190		134	0	116.1		3.84	2.04		4.02		0	2.4	C
MEDIAN		80		48	0	36		0	0.12		0.18		0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
AVERAGE		63,3333333	······	44.66666667	- 0	38.7		1,28	0.68		1.34		0	0,8	C
MODE		80		#N/A	0	#N/A		0	#N/A		#N/A		0	C	C

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Resulting in Charges/Arrests by County

	1	A CONTRACTOR OF	% Resulting in	\$10mb/mm#7452mp2; 2 - 2,03026	% Obstruct	0000003536303036664309869	25 ·	# Liquor			\$66087 (1897), 2867, 2008, 2008	y # Disorderly % Public	ECERTED ALLEN AND DAMAGE	esp # Tresp	
Department Name	County	# Responses	Arrest/Charge	Arrest/Charge	Justice	Justice	Laws	Laws	% Vandalism	# Vandalism % Assa	ult # Assault Conduct	Conduct Indecency	Indecency assing	j assing % C	Other # Other
Camden Police Dept.	KX	20	40	8	}	0	(0		0		0	0	0	40 3,2
Knox County Sherf De	KX	30	40	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 0.24			5	0,6	5 0.6	3 0.36	0	2 0.24	0
Rockland Police Dept	KX	20	70	14		0	90			0	1 0.14	1 0,14	0	1 0.14	0
Rockport Police Dept	КХ			C)	0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Thomaston Police Dep	KX	9	0)}	0	Sec	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Winslow Pol Dept	KX	- 0	0			0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS		79		34		0.24		22.56		0.6	0.74	0.5		0.38	3.2
MEDIAN AVERAGE	· ·	20 15.8		5.666666667		0.04		0		0.1	0.123333	0.08333333	- 0 0	0.063	0.5333
MODE		20		5.000000007	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.04	hard a second second	3.76 0		0.1	0,123333	0	0	0.003	0.0333
WODE		20		U. U				- 0		-			v		V
Damariscotta Police	LN	3	0			0	×	0		0	00	00	0	0	0
Lincoln County P.D.	LN			0	1	0	<u> </u>	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS		3		C		0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
MEDIAN		3		0	¥ \$	0	·	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
AVERAGE	-	3				0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
MODE		#N/A		U		0		0		0	U		0		0
Bethel Police Dept	OD	10		0		0	2			0	C	10 0	0	0	0
Mexico Police Dept.	OD	75	25	18.75		0	<u>}</u>	_100.000000000000000		0	Santa State Stat	1.875	0	O	0
Norway Police Dept.	OD			0		0		0,		0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS		85		18,75	§	0	\$	2.8125		0	0	1.875	0	0,	0
MEDIAN		42.5		0	3	0	1	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
AVERAGE		42.5		6.25	X	0		0.9375		0	0	0.625	- · 0	0	0
MODE		#N/A		0		0		- 0		0			0		0
Greenville PD	PS	40	31	12.4	2	0.248	6	0.744	4	0,496	2 0.248	1.24	1 0,124	6 0.744	0
Milo Police Dept.	PS	10	25	2.6		0	75	1.875		0		0.5	0	5 0.125	0
Piscataquis Cty Sher	PS	99	95	94.05		0	99	93.1095	50			18.81	0	0	0
TOTALS		149		108.95	·····	0,248	1	95,7285		47.521	28,463	20.55	0.124	0.869	0
MEDIAN	_	40		12.4		0		1.875		0.496	0.248	1,24	0	0.125	0
AVERAGE		49.6666667		36.31666667		0.0826667	j	31.9095		15.8403333	9,487667	6.85	0.041333	0.29	0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		0		#N/A		#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	0	#N/A	이
Old Town Police Dept	PT	50	25	12.6	10	1.25	50	6.25	10	1.25	10 1,25	1.25	. 0	10 1.25	0
Penobscot Cty Sherif	PT	5		0		- 0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Univ of Maine-Orono	PT	10	0	0		0		0		0	0	0	0	0	0
Veazie Police Dept	PT	6		0	1	0	< =	Co-co-galacteria and a sec	10		0	0	0	10 0	0
TOTALS		71		12.5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.25	the second se	6.25		-1.25	1.25	1.25	0	1.25	0
MEDIAN		8		0	1	0	3	0		0	0	0	0	0	0
AVERAGE		17.75		3.125		0.3125	£	1.5625		0.3125	0.3125	0.3125	0	0.313	0
MODE		#N/A		0		- 0		0		0		0		0	0
Bath Police Dept	SC	50	40	20		0	80	16	5	1	5 1 1	10 2	0	0	0
Phippsburg Police Dept	SC	1	0	0		0		0		0	0	0	0	0	Ö
Richmond Police Dept	SC	25	15	3,75	1	0		0.45		0	0	3 0,1125	0	0	0
Topsham Police Dept	SC	25	75	18,75	1	0		18.5625		0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	1	101		42.5		0		35.0125		1	1	2.1125	0	0	0

. .

Juvenile Reports of Public Inebriation Resulting in Charges/Arrests by County

í.

			% Resulting in	# Resulting in	% Obstruct	# Obstruct	% Liquor	# Liquor					% Disorderly	# Disorderly	% Public	# Public	% Tresp #	Trasn	
Department Name	County	A COLOR THE SECOND REPORT OF A COLOR OF A COLOR	-	Arrest/Charge	3 D	Justice	Laws		% Vandalism	# Vandalism	% Assault	# Assault	9 ·	Conduct	Indecency	N 100 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	- C.	sing % Othe	er # Other
MEDIAN		25		11.25		()	8.225		0		0	l.	0.05625)	0	0
AVERAGE		25.25		10.625)	8.75313		0.25		0.25		0.528125	2)(0	0
MODE		25		#N/A		()	#N/A		0		0		0	3	(2	0	0
Fairfield Police Dep	ST	100		i 6	5	0.25	j 75	5 3.75	1	0.05	4	0.2	Ę	5 0.25		0.05	5 4 🔊	0.2	0
Pittsfield Police	ST	25	80	20) 20	5 4	20	4	20) 4	10) 2		C) 10	2	0
Skowhegan Poice Dept	ST	. 1	C) 0		с. С)	0		0		0		0	<u>. </u>	C)(0	0
TOTALS		126		25		0.25	5	7.75		4.05		4.2		2.25		0.08	5.	2.2	0
MEDIAN		25		5		C)	3.75		0.05		0.2		0.25		C)	0.2	O
AVERAGE		42		8.3333333333		0.0833333	3	2.58333		1.35		1,4		0.75		0.016667	v (0.733	- 0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		C)	#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		#N/A		_ 0)#	/N/A	0
Baileyville Pol Dept	WN	4	25	1) 99	0.99		0		0		0		C)	0	0
Calais Police Dept	WN	25	0	0		C)	0		0		0		0		0) 	0	-
Machias Police Dept.	WN	12	100	12	l.	c	50	5 6	30	3.6	20	2.4		0)	0	o!
TOTALS		41		13		()	6.99		3.6		2.4		0	960 II 0	C)	0	0
MEDIAN		12		1		C)	0.99		0		0		0		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·)	0	0
AVERAGE		13.6666667		4.3333333333		C)	2,33		1.2		0.8		0		C)	0	0
MODE		#N/A		#N/A		C)	#N/A		0		0		0		c)	0	0
Buxton Police Dept	YK	5	10	0.5) 50	0.25	40	. 0.2	5	0.025		0) 5 (0.025	0
Eliot Police Dept	YK	7		0		C C)	0		0	10	<u> </u>		0		- C)	0	o
Kennebunkport PD	YK	20	35	7		0)	0		0		0		0		0)	0 3	2.45
Kittery PD	YK	3	66	1.98		C C	66	1.3068		0		0		0		0)	0 3	0.6534
Saco Police Dept	YK	35		0		, c	80	0 0		0	10	ō 0		0		0	10	0	0
Sanford Police Dept	YK	99	30	29.7		C) 10	2.97	20	5.94	20	5.94	40	11.88	E	1.485	5 1	.485	- 0
York County Sheriff	YK	20	40	8		C C) 60	5 4.8		0		0	30	2.4		0	10	0.8	- 0
York Police Dept	YK	90	40	36	2	0.72	. 60	21.6	10	3,6	10	head and a comparison of the second	·	0.03.0000000000000000000000000000000000	£	0	3	1.08	5 1.8
TOTALS		279				0.72	2	30.9268		9.74		9.565		17.88		1.485		3.39	4.9034
MEDIAN		20				()	0.7784		0		0		0	3	0	2	0.0125	⁷⁷ 0
AVERAGE		34.875				0.09)	3,86585		1,2175		1,195625		2,235		0.185625	δ	42375	0.612925
MODE		20				()	0		0		0		- 0	2	0)	0	0

ATTACHMENT 3

Bai.

ATTACHMENT 3

DETOXIFICATION - MEDICAL

Facility	Location	Number of Beds
St. Mary's Regional Medical Center	Lewiston	16 (rehab. and/or detox)
*Arnie Hanson Center	Portland	12
Recovery Center at Mercy	Portland	7
Jackson Brook	South Portland	10
Westbrook Community Hospital	Westbrook	6
Mt. Desert Island Hospital	Bar Harbor	4 (scatter bed arrangement)
Maine General Medical Center	Waterville	4
Maine General Medical Center	Augusta	6
Veteran's Administration Center	Togus	?
Penobscot Bay Medical Center	Rockport	4-13 (4 specifically set up for detox)
Rumford Community Hospital	Rumford	6
Acadia Hospital	Bangor	12
Northeast Care	Bangor	1 (contract w/St. Joseph's Hosp scatter bed arrangement)
Mayo Regional Hospital	Dover Foxcroft	1 (takes as comes)
Sebasticook Valley Hospital	Pittsfield	4
Indian Township Health Center	Princeton	0 (none)

DETOXIFICATION - SOCIAL

Facility	Location	Number of Beds
*Hope House	Bangor	3

EMERGENCY SHELTER

Facility	<u>Location</u>	Number of Beds
New Beginnings	Lewiston	12 (Adolescent)
*Arnie Hanson Center	Portland	20 (alcoholics)
The Bridge	Portland	13
City of Portland Family Shelter	Portland	25
City of Portland Oxford St. Shelter	Portland	62
*Hope House	Bangor	20 (indigent alcoholic)
Hospitality House	Orland	18
Abused Women's Project	Auburn	15
Bangor City Health & Welfare	Bangor	8 male/16 female

EMERGENCY SHELTER CONTINUED

<u>Facility</u>	Location	Number of Beds
Battered Women's Project	Caribou	12
Battered Women's Project	Houlton	14
Bread of Life	Augusta	10
Caring Unlimited	Sanford	16
Dorothy Hance House	Orland	12
Chisolm Family Shelter	Rumford	10
Dorr House	E. Orland	4 male
Family Crisis Shelter	Portland	16
Family Violence Shelter	Augusta	13
Friendship House	Portland	12
Greater Bangor Area Shelter	Bangor	32
HOME, Inc Emmaus	Ellsworth	16
Hope haven	Lewiston	30
Ingraham - Bridge/Mainstay	Portland	12 (adolescent/youth)
Lighthouse - Salvation Army	Portland	16 (adolescent)
Mandala Farm	Orland	18
Mid-Coast Hospitality House	Rockport	12
Mid-Maine Shelter, Inc.	Waterville	9
My Choice	Portland	8
New Hope for Women	Rockland	
Rumford Group Home	Rumford	14
Rural Community Action Ministry	Leeds	10
Shaw House	Bangor	16 (youth)
Spruce Run Women's Shelter	Bangor	6 BR (more than one bed in each room)
St. Francis Inn	E. Orland	7
Tedford Shelter	Brunswick	21
Temporary Shelter for the Homeless	Presque Isle	30
Women Care	Dover Foxcroft	(can't find a telephone number)
WomanKind	Machias	12
Yana	Saco	10
Youth Alternatives	South Portland	12 (adolescent males)
Youth and Family Services	Skowhegan	10 (youth only)
YWCA/FairHarbor	Portland	12 (adolescent females)
YWCA Adult Women	Portland	27
Housing Resource Center/CED	Bath	?
Alliance for the Mentally Ill	Augusta	?
Area IV Coalition	Lewiston	?
Chisholm Family Shelter	South Paris	?
St. Martin dePorres Residence	Lewiston	16
*York County Shelters	Alfred	5

EXTENDED SHELTER

<u>Facility</u>	Location	Number of Beds
*Hope House	Bangor	12
*York County Shelters	Alfred	8
*Fellowship House	Lewiston	15

*State Funded

.

3

.

.

ATTACHMENT 4

.

ł

Committee: CRJ LA: MHB LR # and item number: 199803 New Title?: yes Add Emergency?: no Date: 12/03/97 File Name: 199803.doc

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "." TO LD753, An Act to Allow Police to Take Intoxicated Persons into Custody

Amend the bill by striking the title and inserting in its place the following:

An Act to Require Law Enforcement Agencies to Collect Data Regarding Public Intoxication, Extend Immunity from Liability to Law Enforcement Officers Who Transport Publicly Intoxicated Persons and to Establish a Group to Study Involuntary Commitment of Persons Suffering From Chronic and Life Threatening Substance Abuse

Further amend the bill by striking out everything after the enacting clause and before the summary and inserting in its place the following:

Sec. 1. 17 MRSA §2005 is enacted to read:

§2005. Law enforcement agency responsibilities

1. Definitions. As used in this section, unless the context indicates otherwise, the following terms have the following meanings.

A. "Intoxicated" means having a disturbed mental capacity resulting from the introduction of alcohol, drugs or similar substances into the body.

B. "Public intoxication" means a person intoxicated in a public place.

C. "Public place" has the same meaning as provided in section 2003-A, subsection 1, paragraph D.

1. Records. A law enforcement agency shall keep records of all incidents of public intoxication that are reported in that law enforcement agency's jurisdiction.

2. Reports. A law enforcement agency shall report all known incidents of public intoxication that occur within that agency's jurisdiction to the Department of Mental Health. Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services, Office of Substance Abuse. Law enforcement agencies shall submit reports to the Office of Substance Abuse beginning December 31, 1998 and shall report semi-annually every June and December thereafter. Those reports must include at least the following information:

12/3/97, 10:41 AM Office of Policy and Legal Analysis Draft.....Page 1

A. The number of reported cases of public intoxication;

B. The number of persons who are reported more than one time pursuant to paragraph A;

C. The number of persons voluntarily transported to a state licensed treatment facility or shelter as a result of reported incidents of public intoxication;

D. The number of persons voluntarily transported to their residence or left with a family member or friend as a result of reported incidents of public intoxication; and

E. The number of persons left at the scene of the reported incident or at another public place.

Sec. 2. 17 MRSA §2006 is enacted to read:

§2006. Immunity from liability

A law enforcement officer is not liable in a civil action for failing to provide assistance to a person intoxicated in a public place if that person refuses the law enforcement officer's assistance.

Sec. 3. Study. The Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services, Office of Substance Abuse shall convene a study group to review and analyze the data reported to that office by law enforcement agencies pursuant to 17 MRSA §2005.

1. Membership. The study group must include at least one representative from each of the following: the Department of Public Safety, the Maine Sheriffs Association, the Maine Chiefs of Police, the Office of the Attorney General, the Maine Hospital Association, private substance abuse treatment providers and other stakeholders.

2. Duties. The study group shall make recommendations, along with any necessary implementing legislation, regarding the development of a comprehensive and effective network of services for persons who are found publicly intoxicated and who are or may be chemically dependent and may pose a serious threat of harm to themselves. The study group shall also consider the implications of reinstating involuntary commitment for persons suffering from chronic and life-threatening substance abuse. If appropriate, the study group shall make further recommendations, along with any necessary implementing legislation, regarding the development of a plan for involuntary commitment. Any recommendations regarding involuntary commitment must address constitutional and procedural safeguards of persons who may be involuntarily committed.

3. Staffing. The Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services, Office of Substance Abuse shall provide staffing for the study group.

در معنان معن 4. Report. The study group shall report its recommendations and any implementing legislation to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over criminal justice matters by March 1, 1999.

SUMMARY

This amendment renames and replaces the bill. The amendment does the following.

1. It defines the terms "intoxicated," "and "public intoxication."

2. Beginning December 31, 1998 and semi-annually thereafter, it requires law enforcement agencies to report incidents of public intoxication to the Department of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Substance Abuse Services, Office of Substance Abuse. The reports must include the number of reported cases of public intoxication; the number of persons who are reported more than one time for public intoxication or committing a crime while under the influence of alcohol or other drugs; the number of persons transported to a state licensed treatment facility or shelter as a result of reported incidents of public intoxication; the number of persons transported to their residence or left with a family member or friend as a result of these reported incidents of public intoxication; and the number of persons left at the scene of the reported incident or at another public place.

3. It specifies that a law enforcement officer is not liable in a civil action for failing to provide assistance to a person intoxicated in a public place if that person refuses the law enforcement officer's assistance.

4. It creates a study group to review the reports regarding public intoxication submitted to the Office of Substance Abuse by law enforcement agencies. The study group shall make recommendations to develop a comprehensive and effective network of services for persons who are found publicly intoxicated and who are chemically dependent and may pose a serious threat of harm to themselves. The study group shall also consider the implications of reinstating involuntary commitment for persons suffering from chronic and life-threatening substance abuse. The study group shall report its recommendations and any implementing legislation to the joint standing committee of the Legislature having jurisdiction over criminal justice matters by March 1, 1999.

G:\OPLALHS\COMMTTEE\CRJAMENDMTS\199803..DOC

a national parallel of a state