

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

Public Documents of Maine:

BEING THE

ANNUAL REPORTS

OF THE VARIOUS

Public Officers and Institutions

FOR THE YEAR

— 1885 —

---

VOLUME I.

---

AUGUSTA:  
SPRAGUE & SON, PRINTERS TO THE STATE.  
1885.

REPORT  
OF THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL  
OF THE  
STATE OF MAINE.

1884.



AUGUSTA :  
SPRAGUE & SON, PRINTERS TO THE STATE.  
1885.



## REPORT OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL.

---

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, }  
PORTLAND, December, 1884. }

*To the Governor and Council:*

The following report of the business of this Department for the preceding year, together with statistics of crime against the State of Maine, is respectfully submitted:

### TAXATION OF RAILROAD CORPORATIONS.

At the date of the last annual report, the suit against the Grand Trunk Railway Company to recover the taxes assessed against the corporation in behalf of the State, was pending in the United States Circuit Court. The action was originally commenced in the State Court, but the defendant being a foreign corporation, the United States statute authorized its transfer to the United States Circuit Court for trial. The case was so transferred on motion of defendant's counsel. A hearing was had before the Circuit Court, Judge Webb presiding, on the 22nd day of December. No decision has yet been rendered.

A suit has been commenced during the past year against the Knox and Lincoln Railroad Company to recover a tax assessment levied by the Governor and Council against the corporation under the statute of 1881. The Knox and Lincoln Railroad was originally chartered under the name of the Penobscot and Kennebec Railroad Company. Section 15 of its charter provides, that all real and personal estate purchased by the corporation for the use of the same, shall be deemed personal estate, and the shares owned by the respective stockholders in the corporation, may be taxed to the owners thereof.

That whenever the net income of the corporation shall amount to twelve per cent. per annum upon the cost of the road, one moiety of the same or such other portion as the Legislature may from time to time determine, over and above twelve per cent. per annum, first to be paid to the stockholders, shall be paid to the State, and *that no other tax shall be levied or assessed on the corporation.*

There can be no question as to the power of the Legislature, when this charter was granted, to establish a particular method of taxation applicable to this corporation, and the courts have repeatedly held that charters of this character, granted by the State, create a contract between the State and the corporation, and within the provisions of the Constitution prohibiting legislation impairing the obligation of contracts. The Constitution of Maine, however, was amended in 1875; and it now provides that the Legislature shall never in any manner suspend or surrender the power of taxation. It is claimed by the railroad company that the assessment is in violation of the chartered rights of the corporation. This suit is brought in order that there may be a judicial determination of the question.

All State assessments against railroad corporations are now made under the provisions of chapter 6, Revised Statutes of Maine, 1883.

The law declares that every corporation, person or association, operating any railroad in the State, shall pay an annual excise tax for the privilege of exercising its franchises within its limits. The tax is based upon the average gross transportation receipts per mile, and the amount of the same is to be determined by the Governor and Council and levied on or before the first day of April of each year, and payable, one-half on the first day of July next after the levy is made, and the other half on the first day of October following. Interest at the rate of 10 per cent per annum attaches, if the corporation neglects to pay the same when due.

In addition to this special tax levied upon railroad corporations in return for the privileges granted, all buildings, whether

within or without the located right of way, and all lands and fixtures outside of such located right, are subject to taxation by the several towns and cities in which the property is situated.

The existing statute authorizes towns and cities to levy upon all buildings of such corporations, and upon all lands and fixtures without the limits of its right of way, an equal and proportionate assessment for State, county and municipal purposes.

In 1879, the entire amount of State tax assessed against railroad corporations was less than \$36,000.00. It was believed that a more uniform distribution of the public burdens was required, that corporate property of this character should contribute a greater proportion of the revenues of the State, and to that extent modify the burden placed upon those whose property was open to inspection and assessed at its value. The laws recommended to and passed by the Legislature have had that object in view.

Though the State, during the past five years, has been engaged in much litigation, involving the construction and constitutionality of the various tax acts applicable to this class of property, and intended to equalize the revenues required for the support of the State government, it has been successful thus far in maintaining its claims in all suits brought before the courts. The litigation is comparatively at an end, and the only real question to be determined in pending suits relates to a special exemption clause in the charters of some corporations granted previous to the amendment of the constitution, and before it had become the settled policy of the State to require of railroad corporations, a fair return in the nature of an excise or franchise tax on account of the powers, immunities and privileges granted.

The courts have determined that the Legislature is invested with the power of raising revenue for public purposes. It judges of the propriety of taxation and defines the sources as the exigencies of the case require, subject to constitutional restrictions. The general power of taxation "for the defence

and benefit of the people" is left with that body, and while absolute uniformity and equality may not always be attained, that system has been declared the best which comes the nearest to it.

The object should be to place the burden so that it will bear as nearly as possible equally upon all; such was the purpose in creating the various tax acts applicable to railroad corporations, and it is believed that the system adopted will yield to the State the amount of revenue anticipated from such sources.

The following assessments have been made the present year against railroad corporations :

Maine Central Railroad Company .....	\$42,969 22
Boston & Maine Railroad.....	20,925 24
Eastern Railroad Company .....	20,799 42
Grand Trunk Railway Company.....	10,180 95
Portland & Rochester Railroad .....	1,884 23
Portland & Ogdensburg Railroad .....	1,189 06
Knox & Lincoln Railroad Company .....	1,131 27
Portland Horse Railroad .....	594 29
Bangor & Piscataquis Railroad.....	307 27
Green Mountain.....	137 19
Rumford Falls & Buckfield .....	130 68
New Brunswick.....	105 34
St. Croix & Penobscot.....	78 26
Somerset Railroad.....	64 98
Sandy River Valley .....	57 45
Bangor & Katahdin.....	54 46
New Brunswick .....	39 50
Bridgton & Saco River.....	31 28
Lewiston & Auburn Horse.....	23 82
Orchard Beach.....	11 82
Old Orchard Junction.....	2 95

---

\$100,718 68



## TAXATION OF TELEGRAPH COMPANIES.

The statute providing for the taxation of telegraph companies was passed in 1880.

It subjects every telegraph corporation, company or person, doing a telegraphic business within the limits of the State, to a State tax of  $2\frac{1}{2}$  per centum on the value of the line, including all poles, wires, insulators, office furniture, batteries and instruments, and any circumstances or conditions which affect the value of the property. Nearly the entire telegraphic system of the State, by virtue of leases from and contracts with other chartered telegraph companies, is controlled and operated by the Western Union Telegraph Company.

It was believed that there was a disregard of the obligations of uniformity in permitting telegraph corporations to escape the machinery of taxation, and that equality could be attained in its truest and best sense by a *special tax act* imposing upon these corporations a tax upon the use of the property engaged in this particular business. The enforcement of the law was resisted in the various suits brought for the collection of assessments, but without success. The position of the State was sustained by the courts, and this principle of taxation declared to be within the proper exercise of power granted to the Legislature.

It is evident from the practical operation of the act, that no injustice has been done to corporations of this character.

The following assessments against the various telegraph corporations were made the present year :

Western Union Telegraph Company.....	\$2,500 00
Maine Telegraph Company.....	1,250 00
International Telegraph Company.....	1,250 00
Great North Western Telegraph Company.....	500 00
Eastern Telegraph Company.....	450 00
American Union Telegraph Company.....	125 00
Atlantic and Pacific Telegraph Company.....	125 00

### TAXATION OF TELEPHONE COMPANIES.

Since the passage of the act relating to the taxation of telegraph lines, the telephone system has been extensively introduced in the State, and the service is constantly increasing. Numerous charters have been granted and corporations endowed with extensive powers by legislative enactment.

The tax act applicable to telegraph corporations could not be invoked against telephone companies without additional legislation. A recommendation was accordingly made to the last Legislature and an act passed authorizing the taxation of these companies at the rate of two and one-half per cent. upon the value of the line. There can be no question as to the justice of the act.

The following assessments have been made :

National Bell Telephone Company.....	\$1,875 00
American Bell Telephone Company.....	200 00
Beedy & Linscott's Telephone.....	11 25

### EXPRESS COMPANIES.

The amount of assessments against express companies for the present year is \$1,501.69. The principal portion of the same is against the American Express Company, a corporation existing under the laws of New York and doing business within this State.

### INSURANCE TAXATION.

The legal proceedings with the Home Insurance Company of New York, relating to the tax assessed by the State against the corporation, have been adjusted by the payment to the State of the sum of three hundred and thirty-six dollars and fifty cents, (\$336.50).

The suit against the Androscoggin Railroad Company, brought upon complaint that the corporation had neglected to file with the Secretary of State the returns required by law, is pending in the Supreme Court for Cumberland County.

The writ of error, before the Supreme Court of the United States at Washington, to reverse the judgment rendered by the State Court in favor of the State against the Cumberland and Oxford Canal, has been dismissed.

### CAPITAL CASES.

On the night of the third of September, 1883, William Lawrence, a police officer of the city of Bath, while patrolling the public streets of the city, and protecting the lives and property of its citizens, was murdered. Intense excitement was created in the community. An efficient and valuable officer, while in the faithful performance of his duties, had been shot and the murderer had escaped. A large reward was offered by the city of Bath; efficient officers were employed to ferret out the perpetrator of the deed, but for nearly two weeks he escaped their vigilance. Daniel Wilkinson was finally arrested in the city of Bangor, charged with the commission of the crime, brought to the city of Bath, and committed to await the action of the Grand Jury. At the December term of the court in the County of Sagadahoc, Wilkinson was indicted for murder, and on the third day of January last a jury was empaneled, and he was placed at the bar for trial. It appeared from the testimony that Wilkinson was engaged in an attempt to break and enter the store of Mr. Gould of Bath; that he was detected while engaged in such unlawful act, and undertook to make his escape; that he was intercepted in his progress by Officer Lawrence, who made an attempt to take him into custody, whereupon Wilkinson discharged his revolver, which he held in his hand fully charged, at the head of Lawrence, killing him instantly. It was claimed in the behalf of the respondent, that express malice had not been shown by the State; that there was no premeditation on the part of the prisoner to take the life of Lawrence.

It was argued for the State, that the prisoner was in Bath for the purpose of breaking stores and committing larceny; that he was armed with a dangerous weapon, intending to

resist all opposition, and if necessary to execute his unlawful purpose or make his escape, to shoot down any citizen who might intercept him in his progress; that the instruments of death were found upon the person of the prisoner; that he was proceeding along the street in his flight with his revolver drawn prepared to take life, that he executed his wicked purpose upon meeting officer Lawrence, and that no excuse or palliating circumstances had been shown that should relieve the prisoner from the responsibilities of the crime. The jury found the prisoner guilty of murder *in the first degree*.

Exceptions were taken by counsel for the prisoner and argued before the Law Court at Augusta in May last. They were overruled by the full court, and at the August term in Bath, Wilkinson was sentenced by Judge Haskell to be executed on Friday, the twentieth day of November, 1885. Judge Libbey presided at the trial, and the prisoner was defended by Herbert M. Heath, Esq., of Augusta. In the preparation of the case and during the entire trial, the Attorney General received the valuable aid and co-operation of the efficient County Attorney of Sagadahoc County, Frank J. Buker, Esq., of Richmond. Detective James R. Wood of Boston, City Marshal Reed of Bangor, and other officers and citizens rendered the government most valuable services.

At the same term of court an indictment for murder was found against Lorenzo H. Turner and Lewis Hopkins, and they were arraigned for trial on the eighth day of January last.

Hon. J. W. Spaulding and Herbert M. Heath, Esq., appeared as counsel for the prisoners. The respondents were charged with the murder of Joseph Denney, an Indian living at Richmond, Maine, and there was some testimony in the case tending to show that a felonious assault was made upon Mrs. Denney, and that the deceased met his death in defending her from the assaults of the respondents. There was much conflict of testimony in the case upon the various points at issue; the respondents claiming that the death of Denney

was not caused by any acts of violence on their part, but resulted from other causes.

The jury were nearly equally divided as to the grade of the offence, and finally, after a deliberation of many hours, returned a verdict of manslaughter against the respondents, and they were sentenced by Judge Libbey, who presided at the trial, to hard labor at the State Prison for the term of eight years, and committed in execution of their sentence.

On the evening of November 14, 1883, Thomas Barrows was found dead at his home in Kittery, Maine, with six pistol bullet wounds upon his body. He had returned from Portsmouth, N. H., that afternoon in his usual health. He had been seen to cross Kittery bridge and pass along the public highway to his home. No motive on the part of any person, could then be assigned for the commission of so brutal a crime, and the theory of suicide, which was given wide circulation by Mary E. Barrows, the wife of the deceased, was for a short time accepted as the correct solution of the mystery, and the deceased was buried on the second day subsequent to his death. The theory advanced was not satisfactory to the officers of the government, and a most rigid and thorough investigation of the circumstances attending the death, was subsequently instituted, the body exhumed, an autopsy made, and it was fully demonstrated that the wounds could not have been self inflicted, and that the theory of suicide must be abandoned. The immediate cause of his death was clearly indicated, but when and by whom the deadly wounds were inflicted, remained a complete mystery.

It had been demonstrated by the autopsy, that, from the nature and character of one of the wounds, death must have been nearly instantaneous. The various statements given to the public by Mrs. Barrows concerning the cause of the death, her anxiety to impress upon the coroner the fact of suicide, her statement that she heard two pistol shots and saw Barrows fall while he was returning from the stable to the house, and her subsequent flight to the home of Oscar E. Blaney, nearly two miles away, to give the alarm, (concealing the fact from

her neighbors and relatives in the immediate vicinity), caused the Government to suspect that she had knowledge of the guilty authors of the crime and was seeking to screen them from punishment. The services of detective James R. Wood of Boston were procured, and on the twenty-fourth day of November, during an interview with Mr. Wood, she charged the crime upon Oscar E. Blaney. The respondents were promptly arrested and placed in Alfred Jail to await the action of the Grand Jury. At the January term of the Supreme Judicial Court, held at Saco, an indictment for murder was found against Mrs. Barrows, and she was awarded a separate trial, on motion filed by her counsel. A transcript of all the testimony, under the provisions of the statutes of the State, has been furnished your Excellency, and it becomes unnecessary for me to set forth the horrible details of this revolting crime. Mrs. Barrows was charged as a principal in the felony, and while it was not necessary for the State to show a strict, actual and immediate presence on her part at the time and place of its commission, or that she should be an eye or ear witness to the criminal act, it appeared that she acted at the same time and place for the accomplishment of the same end, that she was in a position to and did furnish aid and assistance to insure success.

It was claimed with much confidence by the State, upon the testimony in the case, that she planned and prepared for the accomplishment of the crime and first conceived the idea of taking the life of Barrows, and that it was her presence and assistance which emboldened and encouraged young Blaney in completing the wicked work. After a protracted trial, the jury, on the third day of February, returned a verdict against Mary E. Barrows and found her guilty of murder in *the first degree*. Exceptions were taken to the admission of the testimony of Oscar E. Blaney offered in behalf of the government. The objection was founded upon the fact that he was jointly indicted with Mrs. Barrows, and was called as a witness for the State, while the indictment was pending against him, and before a plea of guilty or *nolle prosequi* had been entered.

The exceptions were argued at Portland in July last, overruled by the full court, and, at the September term in York County, presided over by Judge Virgin, the sentence of death was imposed, to take effect on the third Friday of December, 1885. Judge Virgin presided at the trial, and the prisoner was defended by Hon. Ira T. Drew, Hon. William Emery and John B. Donovan, Esq.

The Attorney General was ably assisted in the prosecution by Frank M. Higgins, Esq., County Attorney of York County. Mr. Higgins is entitled to great credit for the vigilance shown in discovering the perpetrators of the crime, and for his untiring efforts during the trial.

At the same term of court, Oscar E. Blaney was indicted as a principal in the murder of Thomas Barrows and arraigned upon the charge. After the attempt of Mrs. Barrows, in her interview with Detective Wood, to cast the sole responsibility of the crime upon young Blaney, he made a voluntary statement of the part he bore in the commission of the offence. That statement was adhered to during his incarceration, and upon the trial of Mrs. Barrows, while an indictment for murder was pending against him, he was called by the Government as a witness for the prosecution. He was not obliged to testify and was so instructed by the court, but signified his willingness and became the State's witness.

The Government received his testimony. It is impossible correctly to anticipate the result that might have been reached without this evidence. It was regarded as material and important, if not vital, to a successful prosecution of the case on trial, and of paramount force. The prosecuting officer of the State, of his own authority, and upon his official responsibility, may give the pledge of the Government that the State's witness shall not be prosecuted, if he makes and testifies to a full disclosure of all matters within his knowledge against his accomplices. The evidence of accomplices has at all times been admitted for the State, either from a principle of public policy or from judicial necessity, though some eminent writers

say, that the law confesses its weakness by calling in the assistance of those by whom it has been violated. The practice, however, of admitting accomplices to give evidence against their associates, has been accepted in this State, and the degree of credit to be given such accomplices is submitted to the jury under proper instructions. There is no rule of law that juries may not convict upon such evidence. In the present case, the prosecuting officers did not feel justified in exercising this unlimited authority, and they had no authority to give the pledge of the Government in behalf of the commutation of any sentence that might be passed; and no pledge, indemnity or protection was offered by the prosecuting officers. The State has received, however, the same advantages and benefits from the evidence that it would, had its extraordinary favor been granted.

On the fourth day of February, the prisoner retracted his plea of not guilty and pleaded guilty to the charge contained in the indictment. The court, upon hearing, adjudged the crime to be murder of the first degree, and at the September term at Alfred, Oscar E. Blaney was sentenced by Judge Virgin to be executed on the third Friday of December, 1885.

Hon. George C. Yeaton appeared as counsel for the respondent.

It was reported to the authorities on the seventh day of September, 1883, that a murder had been committed in Brewer among the Italians employed on the Shore Line Railroad. County Attorney Fred H. Appleton of Bangor, accompanied by City Marshal Reed, immediately visited the scene of the murder, and discovered that Pasquale Coscie, one of the laborers and an Italian, was the murdered victim. Suspicion was directed towards two fellow laborers, Carmen Santore and Raffaele Capone, and they were promptly arrested and committed to jail. An indictment was found at the February term of the court in Bangor against both respondents for murder, and on the eighteenth day of the month, Carmen Santore was placed at the bar for trial. It appeared that the murdered man had received two bullet wounds, either of



which was sufficient to have caused death, and that further acts of violence had been committed. The motive of the crime was robbery, and the respondents rifled the pockets of the deceased, securing some thirty dollars. It was claimed by the State that the two prisoners combined to commit a felony, that the death of Pasquale Coscie was caused by an unlawful act within the scope of the combination, and that both were guilty, though the act which produced the death, was the act of one. There was testimony in the case showing that both prisoners participated in the act of violence towards the deceased. The prisoner was found guilty of murder in the first degree, and sentenced by Judge Emery, who presided at the trial, to be executed on the first Friday in April, 1885. The prisoner was defended by Hon. Abraham Sanborn.

At the conclusion of the trial of Carmen Santore, Raffaele Capone was immediately arraigned and a jury empanelled for his trial. The testimony was essentially the same as in the case of Santore, each prisoner protesting that he was innocent and charging the other with the crime. He was found guilty of murder in the first degree, and sentenced to be executed on the first Friday of April, 1885. In this case, County Attorney Appleton closed for the Government in a very able argument, occupying one hour and a half. Col. Albert W. Bradbury of Portland, defended the prisoner.

On the twenty-ninth day of July, A. D. 1882, Francis A. Smith, a young student at Harvard University, while spending his vacation in Maine, was shot by Dennis Kelly within the limits of Fort Popham on territory over which the United States was exercising jurisdiction. Immediately after the infliction of the mortal wounds upon the body of young Smith, he passed without the limits of the territory owned by the United States, and came upon the territory over which the State of Maine was exercising jurisdiction, and, from such mortal strokes, there died.

Dennis Kelly was a soldier in the military service of the United States. The act of Congress making provision for the enrollment of the national forces provides: that in time

of war, insurrection or rebellion, murder, assault and battery with an intent to kill, manslaughter, mayhem, wounding by shooting or stabbing, with an intent to commit murder, shall be punishable by the sentence of a general court martial or military commission, when committed by persons who are in the military service of the United States, and subject to the articles of war; and the punishment for such offences shall never be less than those inflicted by the laws of the State, territory or district in which they have been committed. This section confers upon military courts jurisdiction over offences committed by persons in the military service of the United States, but is applicable only *in time of war*. In time of peace, when any officer or soldier is accused of a capital crime, or of any offence against the person or property of any citizen of any of the United States, which is punishable by the laws of the land, the commanding officer, and the officers of the regiment, troop, battery, company or detachment to which the person so accused belongs, are required to use their utmost endeavors to deliver him over to the civil magistrate and to aid the officers of justice in apprehending and securing him, in order to bring him to trial. The articles of war provide for the surrender of all soldiers in the military service of the United States in time of peace, to the State authorities for punishment for any violation of the laws of the State, and under these articles a soldier is also personally amenable for any offence prejudicial to the good order and discipline of the army of which he is a member. The military authorities claim that the commission of the same acts by an officer or soldier of the army, in addition to being a violation of the local law, is also a violation of rules and articles for the government of the army; that though they are liable to be tried by the civil authorities, yet their conviction by such authorities will not discharge the officer or soldier from responsibility for the military offence involved in the same state of facts, and that the offender is punishable both as a citizen, subject to the municipal law of the place, and also as a soldier or officer, subject

to the military law of the United States, and that such accountability to different jurisdictions, and to different and double punishments for the same act, making two different offences, is fully settled by the decisions of the Courts.

The Legislature of Maine, in 1821, passed a statute to remove all doubts as to the place of trial, when the mortal blow was inflicted in one county, and death ensued in another, by providing that "if any person shall be feloniously stricken, poisoned or injured in one county in this State, and die of the same stroke, poisoning or injury in another county thereof," an indictment might be found in the county where the death happened. A similar statute was enacted during the reign of Edward VI, resulting from the conflict of authorities; some claiming that if the stroke was given in one county and death happened in another, the murderer must go free, while others claimed that he might be held in either county, wherever the indictment was found. Such a legislative act, which removes all doubt as to the place of trial by declaring that the court in the county in which the death happened shall have jurisdiction, has been held to be no violation of the spirit or letter of the constitution.

The Legislature of Maine, in 1841, extended the jurisdiction of our courts where the mortal wound was inflicted outside of the jurisdiction of the State and death ensued within, by providing that "if any mortal wound or other violence or injury shall be inflicted, or poison administered on the high seas, *or on land without the jurisdiction of this State, by means of which death shall ensue within this State*, such offence may be prosecuted and punished in the county where the death shall happen." Its purpose was to hold the murderer criminally responsible for his felonious acts, committed outside of the jurisdiction of our courts, when from the continuous operation of such illegal acts, his victim died within their jurisdiction. Under the laws of Congress there was no question as to the authority of the United States Government to take cognizance of Kelly's offence. Authority also existed under

the articles of war to proceed by general court martial, but neither the civil or military authorities of the United States Government at the time assumed jurisdiction of the alleged crime. The authorities of Maine felt that an offence of so serious and grave a character should not go unnoticed, and accordingly, at the August term of the Supreme Judicial Court in Bath, the matter was presented by E. J. Millay, County Attorney of Sagadahoc County, for the consideration of the Grand Jury. Many witnesses were examined before that body, and after a most patient investigation, an indictment was reported against Kelly for murder. The State was prepared at the following December term with its witnesses to proceed to trial, but the question of jurisdiction was raised by respondent and submitted to the Supreme Court of the State for determination.

It was claimed in behalf of the State, that a homicide, beginning with a mortal stroke or wound within a fort, arsenal or dock-yard over which the United States was exercising jurisdiction, and consummated by death upon land over which the State had jurisdiction, might be indicted and tried under our statute in the county where the death happened. That the crime against Kelly consisted in being the author of the death of a human being within the State, by the violent means which he employed without the jurisdiction; that the consequences of the shooting were not confined to the limits of the fort, but followed young Smith into the county of Sagadahoc and continued to operate upon his body until the crime was fully completed by his death; that the wrong-doer should be held criminally liable in the county where his victim dies from the continuous operation of his mortal blow, and that he was the guilty cause of death at the time and place at which his unlawful act produced its fatal result. The case was argued before the Law Court, and at the July term, 1884, a decision was rendered remanding Kelly to the United States authorities.

Hon. Washington Gilbert appeared for the prisoner. District Attorney Lunt and Judge Advocate Gardiner for the

United States. County Attorney F. J. Buker appeared with the Attorney General for the State of Maine.

On the evening of June 17th last, several young men of Embden, in the county of Somerset, proceeded to the house of J. F. Walker, who had been recently married, for the purpose of tendering him a serenade, and as claimed, upon the invitation of Walker, who, while they were outside of the house, discharged a loaded revolver mortally wounding Albert R. Daggett, one of the party. Walker was indicted for murder at the September term of the Court at Skowhegan. After a protracted trial of more than ten days, the jury reported that they were unable to agree, being divided as to the grade of the offence. S. J. Walton and J. J. Parlin, Esqs., appeared for the respondent. The State's case was admirably prepared and conducted by County Attorney J. O. Bradbury, and he was ably assisted at the trial by E. N. Merrill, Esq., the Attorney General being engaged at the time in the care of other State cases. Judge Foster presided at the trial.

At the October term of the Supreme Judicial Court for the county of Hancock, an indictment for murder was returned against Robert Grindle. Judge A. P. Wiswell appeared as counsel for the prisoner and the plea of insanity was interposed. When a plea of this character is made, the court is authorized to commit the prisoner to the Insane Asylum for observation. It appeared from the testimony introduced, that the prisoner's mental condition was not such as to justify a trial at that time, and he was accordingly, on motion of the prosecuting officers, committed to the Asylum by Judge Haskell, for examination and observation. The future course of the government will depend upon the report made by the Superintendent of that Institution after proper investigation.

On the ninth day of October last, Thomas F. Malloy, a deputy sheriff of the county of Kennebec, while in the discharge of his official duties, was shot down by Charles Morgan Wallace. The respondent was tried at the present December term of the Superior Court in Kennebec County, before Judge Whitehouse. It appeared that Officer Malloy with Deputy

Sheriff Stephen Cobb, upon information received by them that Wallace was transporting intoxicating liquors in violation of law, proceeded to search the vehicle in which the same were said to be contained, and while in the prosecution of such search, Wallace drew his revolver and discharged the contents at Officer Malloy. The shot proved fatal. The State's case rested upon the testimony of several reliable witnesses, and was not contradicted in any material points, except by the respondent and his wife. The jury returned a verdict of murder in the second degree. E. W. Whitehouse appeared for the prisoner, and the Attorney General was assisted by County Attorney William T. Haines. Mr. Haines made the closing argument for the State, and presented the State's case in a most admirable and forcible manner.

At the same term of court John S. Baker was indicted for the murder of Julia F. Tuck at Albion, in the county of Kennebec, on the fifth day of September last. His trial commenced at Augusta on the nineteenth day of the present month. The testimony on the part of the State disclosed a most brutal and inhuman assault by Baker, and that the death of Mrs. Tuck was the result of his violence. The jury returned a verdict against the prisoner of murder in the second degree. Judge Whitehouse presided at the trial, and the respondent was defended by Herbert M. Heath, Esq.

Harry Burns was convicted of manslaughter before the Superior Court of Kennebec County, at the December term.

Thomas J. Libby, of Scarboro', is now under indictment for the murder of Lydia S. Snow at Portland, on the fifth of September last. The trial will probably take place at the January term of the Superior Court in Cumberland County.

The convictions for murder in the first degree, during the year 1884, are in excess of previous years. The crime is one of the gravest recognized by the criminal law, and it was the deliberate judgment of the Legislature that the good of society required that the highest punishment should be imposed. There may be exceptional cases where jurors will hesitate to

apply the extreme penalty of the statute, but such instances have been exceedingly rare.

### MISCELLANEOUS.

The Legislature of 1877 passed a statute entitled "An act to prevent incompetent persons from conducting the business of apothecaries." Commissioners of Pharmacy are appointed by the Governor, and they are authorized to examine persons who desire to engage in the apothecary business, and upon satisfactory evidence being presented that the applicant is competent, may issue a certificate of the fact. The law further provides that if any person shall hereafter engage in the business contrary to the provisions of the act he shall be subject to a penalty of fifty dollars for *each week* he shall so continue in such business, which may be recovered by an action of debt to the use of the prosecutor. Under the act many suits of a private character have been brought against citizens of the State engaged in the business of apothecaries, for the recovery of large sums of money in the nature of penalties, for an alleged non-compliance with the terms of the act relating to registration.

Suits to recover penalties have also been commenced by private prosecutors against treasurers of business corporations, under a statute formerly existing, requiring the publication of semi-annual statements relating to the amount of assessments paid to the corporation, the existing capital stock, and the amount invested in real estate.

They were commenced without notice to such officers. No actual damage can be shown to such private prosecutors; and the proceedings are not within the control of the prosecuting officers of the State under the statute applicable to the same, and must be left to the legislative branch of the government to grant whatever relief may be deemed necessary. It is to be presumed that the Legislature did not attach the penalties for the purpose of enriching private individuals, who have no interest in the enforcement of the statute beyond the

pecuniary gain that may result to them by instituting suits for the recovery of the penalties. A law of this character, permitting private prosecutors, with no responsibility to the State, and who have suffered no damage, to engage in unlimited and indiscriminate prosecutions for private gain, is vicious in its tendencies, and serves to bring reproach upon wise and beneficial statutes enacted for the public good. It is believed that the existing statute should be amended, and all penalties and forfeitures thereunder should enure to the State or county where the forfeitures occur, and that the prosecution should be left with the county attorneys of the several counties.

In retiring from the performance of the public trusts that have been confided to my care for the past five years, I avail myself of this opportunity to pay a deserved tribute to the prosecuting officers of the various counties for their faithful and efficient discharge of public duties, and fully appreciate the uniform courtesy and kind consideration that has been extended to me by the Executive, the Legislative, and Judicial branches of the State government, and the people of the State.

HENRY B. CLEAVES,

*Attorney General.*



**Table A**—*Giving list of State cases in the Law Court, in which decisions have been rendered since November 1, 1883.*

---

WESTERN DISTRICT.

---

COUNTY OF CUMBERLAND.

State vs. Frank McGlinchy. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Rosanna Brady, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Rosanna Brady, aplt. Open shop on Lord's day. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Brogan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Brogan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Clancy, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Edward F. Conway, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Crosby, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Driscoll, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Nicholas J. Feeney, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas H. Hannagan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John E. Harrigan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John E. Harrigan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James J. Hawkins, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James J. Hawkins, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Barry, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas L. Kimball, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Andrew Lang, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John H. Millay and Martin O'Hara, aplts. Assault and battery. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick McGlinchy, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph Mitchell, aplt. Single sale. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James D. Moore, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James D. Moore, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James D. Moore, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William Smith, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William Smith, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William Smith, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph White, aplt. Vagrancy. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Abbie Bean. Aiding an escaped prisoner. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Timothy Feeney. Assault and battery. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Albert Murray. Taking porgies by unlawful means. Dismissed from the law docket without prejudice.

State vs. Albert Murray. Catching porgies by unlawful means. Dismissed from law docket without prejudice.

State vs. Albert Murray. Taking porgies by unlawful means. Dismissed from law docket without prejudice.

State vs. Albert Murray. Taking porgies by unlawful means.  
Dismissed from law docket without prejudice.

State vs. Albert Murray. Taking porgies by unlawful means.  
Dismissed from law docket without prejudice.

State vs. John K. Coombs. Taking porgies by unlawful means.  
Dismissed from law docket without prejudice.

State vs. Michael Flynn. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. John J. Ward. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judg-  
ment for the State.

State vs. Jane Cuskley. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Clancy. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Nicholas J. Feeney. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. James D. Moore. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bridget Minock. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. John O'Connor. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. James Welch. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Catherine Carey. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas F. Brogan, alias Thomas Brogan. Nuisance.  
Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Crosby. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Murray. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Lee, Jr. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Bennett. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. John E. Harrigan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Hart. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State by *scire facias* vs. Frank Osier et als. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State by *scire facias* vs. Theodore S. Osier, alias Frank Osier, et als. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State by *scire facias* vs. Frank A. McAvoy et als. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas F. Brogan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Albert E. Cox, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Kate Dunphy, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Harlan P. Ingalls and Moses H. Dole, appts. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael T. Mulhern, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael T. Mulhern, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bernard Mullany, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bartholomew F. O'Donnell, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William O'Donnell, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Ohmsen, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Samuel Stanton, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Waite, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Manson Scott. Taking lobsters in close time. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Flaherty. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Frank Lyons. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James J. Hawkins. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William Nugent. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Andrew Lang. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John J. Cronan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael T. Feeney. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Catherine Dunphy. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael Carey. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Murray. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State by *scire facias* vs. Michael Driscoll, et als. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Francis Coyne, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James A. Conwell, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Richard Collins, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Richard Collins, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick Cady, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Timothy Dooley, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick J. Feury, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick J. Feury, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Feeney, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Feeney, aplt. Illegal transportation. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael J. Flanagan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick Flaherty, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Halcrow, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Daniel Hayes, Jr., aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Aurelius S. Hinds, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James Holland, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John E. Harrigan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Harrigan and Patrick Cady, appls. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas H. Hannagan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James J. Hawkins, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Holloran, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas L. Kimball, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas L. Kimball, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick Lyden, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. Morgan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. Morgan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. Morgan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bridget Minock, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James H. McGlinchy, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Richard O'Connell, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. O'Neil, aplt. Resistance to police officers in the discharge of their duties. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick Powers, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph Sullivan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph Sullivan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph Sullivan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled, Judgment for the State.

State vs. John W. Sullivan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Mary Sullivan, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James A. Wallace, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas B. Waite, aplt. Search and seizure. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William F. Egan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Lawrence Charleton. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James H. McGlinchy. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Michael J. Flannegan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bridget Minock. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William H. Barry. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas F. Brogan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas Crosby. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Fred Blake. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick J. Feury. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. Morgan. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Thomas J. O'Neil. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. William Nugent. Nuisance. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

COUNTY OF YORK.

State vs. Mary Barrows. Murder. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Oscar E. Blaney. Murder. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph A. Williams. Adultery. Exceptions overruled.  
Judgment for the State.

---

MIDDLE DISTRICT.

---

COUNTY OF KENNEBEC.

State vs. Henry R. Hopkins, apl. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James F. Burnett. Exceptions overruled. Action to stand for trial as agreed by the parties.

State vs. Octave J. Pelletier. Exceptions sustained. Plea sustained.

State vs. Octave J. Pelletier. Exceptions sustained. Plea sustained.

State vs. Octave J. Pelletier. Exceptions sustained. Plea sustained.

State vs. Ivory H. Hayes. Exceptions sustained. Plea sustained.

State vs. Greenlief Haskell, apl. Exceptions overruled. Demurrer overruled. The case to stand for trial as agreed by counsel for the parties.



State vs. Francis Story, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Henry R. Hopkins. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Herbert Blake. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James Breen, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louis W. Miller. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louis W. Miller. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. F. M. Kincaid. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. F. M. Kincaid. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bernard D. Sullivan. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Bernard D. Sullivan. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louise Dorè. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louise Dorè. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louise Dorè. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Alec La Fountain. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Alec La Fountain. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Alec La Fountain. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Elijah W. Barker. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John H. Esmond. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Joseph C. King. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Draper C. Jewell. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William J. Breen. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George W. Fairbrother. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George W. Fairbrother. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Ivory H. Hayes, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James Breen, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. F. N. Kincaid, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. F. N. Kincaid, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Alec La Fountain, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George Lashus, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. L. W. Miller, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louise Dorè, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Louise Dorè, aplt. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

#### COUNTY OF LINCOLN.

State vs. Clifford Harriman. Exceptions and demurrer sustained. Indictment dismissed.

## COUNTY OF SAGADAHOC.

State vs. Dennis Kelly. Murder. "The plea in abatement is sustained and the prisoner is surrendered to the United States authority."

State vs. Daniel Wilkenson. Murder. Motion and exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James A. Crooker. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Melvin A. Crooker. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Nathaniel Brown et al. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Nathaniel Brown. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

## EASTERN DISTRICT.

State vs. Albert Lamar. Exceptions overruled by consent. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James McMuller. Exceptions overruled by consent. Judgment for the State.

State vs. George H. Mace. Exceptions sustained. Judgment arrested.

State vs. William Garrison. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James Nash. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Nathan H. Luce. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

**Table B**—Showing the Number of Prosecutions and the Offences for the Year Ending November 1, 1884.

COUNTIES.	Whole number.	Homicide.	Arson.	Perjury, &c.	Forgery and Counterfeiting.	Compound Larceny.	Larceny.	Burglary.	Robbery.	Rape.	Assault with Felonious Intent.	Assault and Battery	Affrays and Riots.	Offences against Chastity, Morality, &c.	Malicious Mischief.	Cheating and Conspiracies.	Defects in Highway.	Nuisances	Violation of the Liquor Law.	Other Offences.
Androscoggin.	234	-	-	2	-	11	2	-	-	-	-	2	9	1	3	-	1	62	133	8
Aroostook ....	13	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	7	3
Cumberland ..	281	1	-	1	3	17	8	-	-	1	-	10	-	-	-	1	-	68	156	15
Franklin.....	29	-	1	-	1	6	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	-	-	13	2
Hancock .....	31	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	9	11
Kennebec ....	253	-	-	-	-	2	7	-	-	-	-	8	1	2	1	-	-	-	229	3
Knox.....	66	-	1	-	3	7	1	-	-	-	1	2	-	5	-	1	-	29	11	5
Lincoln .....	30	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	11
Oxford.....	19	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	15	1
Penobscot ....	152	1	2	-	1	5	8	1	-	-	3	8	2	5	-	-	-	1	101	13
Piscataquis ...	19	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	1	1	1	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	4
Sagadahoc ...	41	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	1	1	5	-	-	-	1	-	-	22	6
Somerset.....	64	1	-	-	6	6	2	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	1	32	12
Waldo .....	71	-	-	-	1	2	2	-	-	-	1	23	-	-	1	-	-	-	8	33
Washington ..	19	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	-	-	-	2	4	4
York.....	123	4	1	1	-	4	5	-	-	-	6	3	-	3	-	1	-	-	63	1
	1445	13	5	4	16	45	58	7	-	3	15	84	14	20	7	8	1	163	818	132

**Table C**—*Showing the Disposition and Result of Prosecutions During the Year, and their Condition on November 1, 1884.*

COUNTIES.	Disposition During Year Ending November 1, 1884.						Condition at End of Year Nov. 1, 1884.			Sentences.			
	Quashed.	"Nol Prosd" on Payment of Costs	"Nol Prosd" or Dismissed.	Conviction and Sentence.	Acquittals.	Placed on File.	Continued Open.	Continued for Sentence.	Continued Marked "Law."	State Prison.	Co. Jail & House of Correction.	Reform School.	Fines, &c.
Androscoggin.....	1	-	87	51	3	-	287	51	2	6	15	1	31
Aroostook.....	-	19	22	2	-	-	38	12	-	1	1	-	-
Cumberland.....	1	27	36	173	2	-	4	125	49	16	36	1	188
Franklin.....	-	2	7	9	2	3	22	4	3	1	4	-	4
Hancock.....	-	5	27	5	1	-	29	1	3	-	6	-	1
Kennebec.....	11	7	80	49	7	-	55	22	11	-	8	-	33
Knox.....	-	1	59	54	3	-	90	4	1	4	9	-	31
Lincoln.....	-	1	17	9	-	-	13	4	-	2	-	-	7
Oxford.....	1	1	9	10	1	-	21	-	-	-	1	-	9
Penobscot.....	-	6	11	60	1	-	87	95	12	4	10	-	47
Piscataquis.....	-	3	13	7	-	-	38	3	2	1	-	1	7
Sagadahoc.....	2	-	11	20	3	-	12	6	5	3	2	-	5
Somerset.....	-	-	44	10	1	-	91	-	-	2	1	-	8
Waldo.....	3	12	13	11	3	6	66	-	6	3	3	-	14
Washington.....	-	2	27	12	1	-	10	3	-	1	7	-	4
York.....	2	12	57	32	3	-	123	28	1	6	14	-	12
	21	98	520	514	31	9	986	358	95	50	117	3	401

Table D—Giving List of Persons Sentenced in the Different Counties, with the Offences and Sentences.

## ANDROSCOGGIN COUNTY.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Michael Higgins	Search and seizure		\$ 100 00
David Leclair	do		100 00
B. F. Bradford	do		115 36
W. E. Ricker	do		115 37
David Leclair	do		100 00
Julia Hallihan	do		100 00
Patrick Minnihan	do		105 00
Michael Higgins	do		100 00
J. A. McDonald	do		10 77
Mrs Thomas Day	do		10 00
Andrew Jackson	Nuisance		40 00
John Mailor	do	100 days in county jail	
Thomas P. King	do		100 00
George A. Wiseman	do		200 00
John C. Walker	do		200 00
Charles Martel	do		210 77
Michael McCarty	do		100 00
Daniel Goding	do		100 00
John J. Nelligan	do	6 mos in county jail and	200 00
Addison F. Irish	do		200 00
A. K. P. Harvey	do		225 00
Mary Donovan	do	2 mos. in county jail and	100 00
William A. Lewis	do		20 00
Thomas Day	Nuisance	3 mos. in county jail.	
Patrick Conley	do	do do and	100 00
Mary Shea	do	2 do do do	100 00
Mary McDonald	do	2 do do do	100 00
George A. Wiseman	do	4 do do do	200 00
Michael King	do	8 do do	
Michael O'Connell	Transport'g intox. liquors.		50 00
John Farnum	do do		50 00
M. J. Moriarty	Common seller		100 00
Napoleon Morin	Malicious mischief	30 days in county jail.	
Edward Cook	do	60 do do do	
William Roberts	Larceny	4 months do do	
Ernest Hill	Compound larceny	1 year do do	
David Kelley	do	1 do do do	
Henry Zeuber	do	2 years do do	
Guy R. Brown	do	Reform School.	
John Reagan	do	18 m. in State Prison.	
Jeremiah Collins	do	do do do	
Thomas Dow	do	5 yrs in State Prison.	
G. W. Brown	do	5 do do do	
William F. Boynton	do	2 do do do	
Otis Ross	do	1 do do do	
John Cody	Larceny from person		25 00
Alfred Nadeau	Assault and battery		22 87

## AROSTOOK COUNTY.

Joseph Cayonette	Assault and battery	6 months in jail.
Andrew Gibson	Burglary	2 years in jail

TABLE D—Continued.

## CUMBERLAND COUNTY.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Thomas W. Burke.....	Search and seizure.....	90 days in county jail.	
Thomas W. Burke.....	do.....	do do do	
Patrick Cady.....	do.....		\$23 29
Lewis H. Cole.....	do.....		122 10
Patrick McElroy.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
Lewis H. Cole.....	do.....		26 70
Patrick McElroy.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
Dennis Donovan.....	do.....	do do do	
James E. Cady.....	do.....		117 23
Hugh Doherty.....	do.....		115 98
Kate Dunphy.....	do.....		110 67
William F. Eagan.....	do.....		114 24
John F. McDonough, et al.....	do.....		15 98
Patrick McElroy.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
Johannah Murphy.....	do.....		14 74
Margaret F. Shields.....	do.....		114 73
John W. Sullivan.....	do.....		15 69
Peter A. Sullivan.....	do.....		116 44
Samuel F. Houston.....	do.....		105 00
George Brown.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
William Smith.....	do.....		118 51
George Brown.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
John Burns.....	do.....		116 23
Lawrence Charleton.....	do.....		115 98
Lawrence Charleton.....	do.....		117 05
Margaret Dennis.....	do.....		10 67
James Dunphy.....	do.....		12 00
William F. Eagan.....	do.....		110 34
John Kerrigan, et al.....	do.....		11 75
Frank Lyons.....	do.....		10 67
John Rourke.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
Owen J. Ryan.....	do.....		112 37
Johanna White.....	do.....		14 24
Thomas Brogan.....	do.....		120 08
Thomas Brogan.....	do.....		124 86
Edward F. Conway.....	do.....		119 79
Thomas Crosby.....	do.....		118 47
Michael Driscoll.....	do.....		19 79
Nicholas J. Feeney.....	do.....		119 79
Thomas H. Hannagan.....	do.....		119 13
James J. Hawkins.....	do.....		119 79
James J. Hawkins.....	do.....		119 79
Thomas L. Kimball.....	do.....		119 79
Frank A. McAvoy.....	do.....	90 days in county jail	
William Smith.....	do.....		119 13
Patrick McGlinchey.....	do.....		119 79
William Smith.....	do.....		119 13
William Smith.....	do.....		119 13
Albert E. Cox.....	do.....		119 28
Michael Driscoll.....	do.....		124 83
Kate Dunphy.....	do.....		118 27
John Holloran.....	do.....		117 04
John Holloran.....	do.....		118 04
Harlan P. Ingalls.....	do.....		114 10
Moses H. Dole.....	do.....		114 10
Michael J. Mulhern.....	do.....		118 03
Michael J. Mulhern.....	do.....		18 03
John O'Connor.....	do.....		121 19

TABLE D—Continued.

## CUMBERLAND COUNTY—CONTINUED.

NAMES	Offences.	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Mary O'Donnell	Search and seizure		\$ 117 70
Thomas Ohmsen	do		118 03
William H. Quinn	do		120 99
William H. Quinn	do		121 29
Samuel Stanton	do		122 95
Thomas Waite	do		118 69
James A. Conwell	do		119 84
Richard Collins	do		119 51
Richard Collins	do		116 27
Patrick Cady	do		116 93
Patrick J. Feury	do		121 79
Patrick J. Feury	do		120 17
John Feeney	do		117 10
Michael J. Flanagan	do		117 59
Patrick Flaherty	do	90 days in county jail	
John Halcrow	do		118 06
Patrick Cady	do		120 79
Thomas H. Hannagan	do		117 59
James J. Hawkins	do		117 59
John Holloran	do		119 71
John Holloran	do		119 71
Thomas L. Kimball	do		116 27
Thomas J. Morgan	do		120 11
Thomas J. Morgan	do		120 17
Thomas J. Morgan	do		117 43
John Mullen	do		116 89
Bridget Minock	do		117 59
John McGowan	do		28 11
James H. McGlinchy	do		121 73
John O'Connor	do		116 79
John W. Sullivan	do		120 01
Mary Sullivan	do		117 59
James A. Wallace	do	90 days in county jail	
Thomas B. Waite	do		117 22
James Alexander	do		21 23
Thomas M. Cavanagh	do		115 23
Richard Collins	do		115 73
Hugh Dana	do		115 73
James Dunphy et al	do		17 60
Thomas Gorman	do		15 23
Patrick Hanrahan	do		114 73
James J. Hawkins	do		15 98
John Kirby	do	90 days in county jail	
Frank Lyons	do		115 23
John McCarron	do	90 days in county jail.	
Fred Morrill	do		18 73
Thomas Ohmsen	do		18 05
Thomas Ohmsen	do		16 43
Henry M. Parker	do		15 23
David Wyman	do		120 23
Hugh Doherty	Nuisance		30 86
William Donovan	do		143 09
Hugh Doherty	do		45 08
Thomas Burke	do	3 mos. in county jail.	
Patrick McElroy	do	do do do	
Minnie Doherty	do		31 23
Michael Hines	do		227 08
James B. Willey	do	10 days in county jail.	



TABLE D—Continued.

## CUMBERLAND COUNTY—CONTINUED.

NAMES.	Offences	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Thomas Smith .....	Nuisance .....	30 days in county jail.	
Augustus Hawley .....	do .....	3 mos. " "	\$
Melissa A Merritt .....	do .....	.....	143 15
Ernest E. Pinkham .....	do .....	.....	130 87
Patrick J Higgins .....	do .....	30 days in county jail	
James A. Conwell .....	do .....	.....	115 73
Mary Sullivan .....	do .....	.....	118 19
Thomas J. Morgan .....	do .....	.....	123 11
Hugh Doherty .....	do .....	.....	26 62
Edward McCann .....	do .....	3 months in county jail	
John Halcrow .....	do .....	.....	125 57
Thomas F. Brogan .....	do .....	.....	318 72
Bernard Mullany .....	do .....	6 months in county jail	
Kate Lang .....	do .....	.....	209 23
Kate Lang .....	do .....	.....	309 23
Lawrence Charleton .....	do .....	.....	119 50
Andrew Lang .....	do .....	1 year in county jail..	
Robert Gray .....	do .....	.....	124 72
James Wallace .....	do .....	.....	130 49
Michael Mullens .....	do .....	.....	128 03
Margaret Bennett .....	do .....	.....	133 90
Frank McGlinchy .....	do .....	.....	125 79
John McCarron .....	do .....	.....	132 14
Martin Ryan .....	do .....	.....	15 12
James Wallace .....	do .....	4 months in county jail	
John J Ward .....	do .....	.....	429 90
Jane Cuskley .....	do .....	.....	137 55
Nicholas J Feeney .....	do .....	.....	229 90
Bridget Minock .....	do .....	.....	230 74
James Welch .....	do .....	.....	544 31
Catherine Carey .....	do .....	.....	342 54
Thomas J Brogan, alias & .....	do .....	.....	470 47
Thomas Crosby .....	do .....	.....	251 98
John Murray .....	do .....	.....	131 41
Michael Bennett .....	do .....	.....	134 31
Frank Lyons .....	do .....	.....	117 51
William Nugent .....	do .....	.....	222 23
John J. Cronan .....	do .....	.....	122 37
Nicholas J. Feeney .....	do .....	.....	315 05
Catherine Dunphy .....	do .....	.....	223 65
Michael Carey .....	do .....	.....	224 45
John Murray .....	do .....	.....	335 44
Charles O'Neil .....	do .....	.....	17 60
William W Waterhouse .....	do .....	.....	145 46
James H McGlinchy .....	do .....	.....	322 25
William H. Barry .....	do .....	.....	121 13
Thomas Crosby .....	do .....	.....	317 89
Patrick J Feury .....	do .....	.....	24 78
Thomas J O'Neil .....	do .....	.....	26 88
William Nugent .....	do .....	.....	326 82
Catherine Carey .....	do .....	.....	66 32
Demasse Pomerleau .....	Common seller .....	.....	123 84
Hugh Doherty .....	Drink'g house & tip'l'g sh'p	.....	119 19
Hugh Doherty .....	do .....	.....	125 42
Martin J Flaherty .....	Illegal transp'n of liquors	.....	65 23
Timothy J Twigg .....	do .....	.....	66 85
John Feeney .....	do .....	.....	68 66
Patrick O'Malia .....	do .....	.....	21 23

TABLE D—Continued.

## CUMBERLAND COUNTY—CONTINUED.

NAMES	Offences.	Imprisonment	Fines and Costs.
William J Kerwin.....	Intoxication.....		\$15 46
Frank Sawyer.....	do .....		21 53
John T. Silvadore.....	do .....	30 days in county jail.	
Hugh Doherty, et als.....	Seire facias.....		15 00
Hugh Doherty, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Hugh Doherty, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Hugh Doherty, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Minnie Doherty, et als.....	do .....		16 62
William Donovan, et als.....	do .....		12 69
Lewis H. Cole, et als.....	do .....		14 76
Lewis H. Cole, et als.....	do .....		13 51
Patrick McElroy, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Michael Hines, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Dennis Donovan, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Patrick McElroy, et als.....	do .....		20 06
Patrick McElroy, et als.....	do .....		20 06
Kate Lang, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Kate Lang, et als.....	do .....		15 00
George Brown, et als.....	do .....		15 00
William Smith, et als.....	do .....		13 35
Frank A McAvoy, et als.....	do .....		21 18
Michael Driscoll, et als.....	do .....		20 00
John O'Connor, et als.....	do .....		18 90
Margaret Bennett, et als.....	do .....		15 00
Michael Driscoll, et als.....	do .....		15 00
John Mullin, et als.....	do .....		14 50
John O'Connor, et als.....	do .....		15 00
John O'Connor, et als.....	do .....		15 00
John Eastman, alias, &c.....	Assault and battery.....		90 85
Thomas Conroy.....	do .....	5 years in State Prison	
Robert G. Wilson.....	do .....		15 62
Henry New.....	do [gerous we'p'n.....	1 year in county jail.	
George F Martin.....	Ass't and bat'ry with dan-.....	4 years in State Prison	
John B. Martin.....	do .....	2 " "	
Benjamin DeWolf.....	Assault and riot.....		19 71
John Headley.....	do .....	[ing minority.....	19 71
Elmer E. Chambers.....	do .....	State Ref'm School dur-.....	
Edward Doyle.....	do .....	22 mos. in county jail.	
Thomas J O'Neil.....	Resistance to police officer.....		31 30
James Welch.....	do .....		21 65
Margaret Dennis.....	Open shop on Lord's day ..		18 59
Joseph Kenseler, alias, &c.....	Larceny .....	1 year in county jail	
George W. Blake.....	do .....	6 mos. " "	
Herbert Todd.....	do .....	3 " " "	
William H. Boothby.....	do .....	2 years in county jail.	
Isaac Pearl.....	do .....	4 " State Prison.	
John Friel.....	do .....	3 " " "	
Benjamin F Rolfe.....	Cheating by false pretences.....	6 mos. in county jail.	
Robert Jordan.....	Fishing in close time.....		128 07
William H. Doughty.....	do .....		128 07
Samuel Rounds.....	Forgery and uttering.....	3 years in State Prison.	
William H. Ward.....	do .....	2 " " "	
Thomas Conroy.....	Compound larceny.....	3 " " "	
George W. Crowley.....	Vagabond and idle person.....	1 month in county jail	
Joseph White.....	Vagrancy.....	3 mos. in c'ty H. of C.	
John Flynn.....	Common thief.....	5 years in State Prison.	
Harry L. Milliken.....	Larceny from dwel'g house.....	4 mos. in county jail.	
Elliot L. Walker.....	Larc'y & rec'g stol'n goods.....	2 years " "	

TABLE D—Continued.

## CUMBERLAND COUNTY—CONCLUDED.

NAMES.	Offences	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Albert Murray .....	Taking porgies by unlawful	.....	\$47 61
John K. Coombs.....	do [means	.....	38 00
Nathaniel D. Smith.....	Larceny in dwelling house	3 years in State Prison.	
Nathaniel D. Smith.....	do	3 " "	
Walter B. Waterhouse .....	Larceny from the person..	60 days in county jail.	
James Conley, alias, &c .....	Break'g, enter'g, and larceny	2 years in State Prison.	
Allan Poole, alias &c.....	do	3 " "	
Allan Poole, alias &c.....	do	3 " "	
Richard E. Smith.....	do	3 " "	
George H. Wilcox.....	do	4 " "	

## FRANKLIN COUNTY.

Richard L. Welch.....	Single sale .....	.....	49 27
Nathaniel Larkin.....	do .....	60 days in jail.	
Billings J. Hood.....	Common seller .....	60 " "	
James M. Adams .....	do .....	.....	154 26
Leander B. Stowell.....	Assault and battery.....	.....	40 00
James J. Morton.....	do .....	.....	27 00
Charles Manley Fredericks..	Break'g, enter'g & larceny.	2 yrs. in State Prison	
Edward Stanley.....	Adultery .....	200 days in jail.	
Evie J. Beedy.....	do .....	200 " "	

## HANCOCK COUNTY.

Samuel J. Wallace.....	Common seller .....	3 months in jail.	
Edward L. Seymour.....	do .....	3 " "	
William Coie.....	Assault and battery.....	.....	50 00
Fred Pressey.....	Manslaughter .....	10 months in jail.	
Charles Sawyer.....	do .....	6 " "	
John Sawyer, Jr.....	do .....	6 " "	
Mel Straw.....	do .....	6 " "	

## KENNEBEC COUNTY.

Thomas A. Pinkham.....	Violation of Liquor Law,	.....	100 00
William Maxie.....	do	4 months in jail.	
Zedor Butler.....	do	.....	150 00
Sewall Aldrich.....	do	5 months in jail.	
Ed F. Young.....	do	.....	50 00
E. R. Campbell.....	do	.....	100 00
James E. Osborn.....	do	.....	100 00
L. J. Cote.....	do	.....	119 44
Augustus H. Gross.....	do	.....	100 00
Charles O. Farnham.....	do	.....	100 00
B. D. Sullivan.....	do	.....	200 00
Louise Dore.....	do	.....	108 65
Alec La Fontain.....	do	8 months in jail.	
George Lashus.....	do	.....	319 90
William F. Morse.....	do	.....	105 00
William G. Durgan.....	do	.....	50 00
E. W. Barker.....	do	.....	150 00
John H. Esmond.....	do	.....	100 00
Joseph C. King.....	do	.....	75 00
Draper C. Jewell.....	do	.....	177 23

TABLE D—Continued.

## KENNEBEC COUNTY—CONCLUDED.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
Reuben C Hall.....	Violation of Liquor Law		\$ 132 70
Benjamin Johnson.....	do		108 32
George W Fairbrother.....	do		163 13
Ivory H Hayes.....	do		100 00
Peleg B. Crocker.....	do		190 00
W. W Rollins.....	do		30 00
Thomas Lombard.....	do		15 30
Nahum Austin.....	do		50 00
George Laundry.....	do		30 00
Charles O. Farnham.....	do		75 00
Charles McLaughlin.....	do		8 00
John Carson.....	do	5 months in jail.	
Hartwell Marston.....	do	2 do	
Patrick Donahue.....	do		100 00
James M. Buck.....	do		100 00
John A Matthews.....	do		100 00
James E. Devine et al.....	do		100 00
Charles M. Carter.....	do	30 days in jail.	
Algernon Dudley.....	do		10 00
George Lee.....	Larceny.....	60 days in jail.	
John Hanson.....	Drunkness.....	30 do	

## KNOX COUNTY.

Charles S Coombs.....	Search and seizure.....	90 days in jail.	
Charles S. Coombs.....	do	90 do	
Charles S. Coombs.....	do	60 do	
A. T. Hamilton.....	do		100 00
Charles Clark.....	do		100 00
Daniel Doherty.....	do		100 00
A. J Small.....	do		100 00
James McLaughlin.....	do		100 00
James McLaughlin.....	do		100 00
John M. Small.....	do		70 00
J. B Greenhalgh.....	do		104 64
James Sidelinger.....	do		125 00
Charles S Coombs.....	Nuisance.....	60 days in jail.	
William A Drummond.....	do		50 00
Charles S Coombs.....	do		75 00
A. T. Hamilton.....	do		100 00
E. W McIntire.....	do		130 00
Edgar A. Ulmer.....	do		120 00
John Hanrahan.....	do		130 00
James McLaughlin.....	do		50 00
James Sidelinger.....	do		100 00
Daniel Doherty.....	do		75 00
A. J Small.....	do		50 00
George Baker.....	do		55 00
George McLaughlin.....	do		25 00
Thomas B Severance.....	do		120 05
James McLaughlin.....	do		112 00
Stephen F. O'Brien.....	do		113 00
Thomas B. Severance.....	do		100 00
Robert Landers.....	do		5 00
Fred Grant.....	do	8 months in jail.	
James Allen.....	do	6 do	

TABLE D—Continued.  
KNOX COUNTY—CONCLUDED.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment.	Fines and Costs.
James Sidelinger.....	Common nuisance .....	.....	\$ 100 00
Raymond D. Robinson.....	Larceny .....	1 year in jail	
Louis Vincenden .....	do .....	18 m. in State Prison.	
James W. Thompson .....	do .....	2½ years “	
Ernest V. Thompson .....	do .....	2 “ “	
Walter Smith.....	do .....	4 months in jail	
John A. Barlow .....	do .....	18 m. in State Prison.	
Robert Anderson.....	Assault and battery .....	6 months in jail	
Samuel C. Counce.....	do .....	.....	5 00
James Sidelinger.....	Drink'g house & tip'l'g sh'p .....	.....	125 00
Daniel Doherty.....	do .....	.....	100 00
Albert Berry.....	Forgery.....	.....	150 00

## LINCOLN COUNTY.

Benaiah P. Brown .....	Common Seller .....	.....	133 60
Millard F. Lewis.....	do .....	.....	122 08
William E. Albee.....	do .....	.....	122 23
James Sidelinger .....	do .....	.....	125 00
Everett Benner.....	Single sale .....	.....	53 78
William Jenkins.....	Breaking and entering .....	1 year in State Prison.	
Fred Patterson .....	Assault and battery .....	.....	50 00
Cyrus R. Packard.....	do .....	.....	34 98
William V. Gilman.....	Forgery.....	2 yrs. in State Prison.	
John Crosscut.....	Tramp.....	Com. to Insane Asy'm.	

## OXFORD COUNTY.

Eugene B. Holden.....	Common seller.....	.....	125 00
Cyrus J. Smith.....	do .....	60 days in jail.	
Joseph W. Eaton .....	do .....	.....	220 00
Jennett A. Rawson .....	do .....	.....	125 00
Seth W. Fife .....	do .....	.....	693 54
John E. Carleton .....	do .....	.....	120 00
Freeland Smith.....	Single sale .....	.....	40 00
Harry Hartwell.....	Violation game law.....	.....	50 00
Allan D. Risteen .....	do .....	.....	50 00
Henry Day } applts .....	Larceny.....	.....	40 00
Sewell Day }			

## PENOBSCOT COUNTY.

Winefred McIsaac .....	Search and seizure.....	.....	40 00
Felix Quinn .....	do .....	.....	75 00
Winefred McIsaac.....	do .....	.....	60 00
Daniel O'Leary .....	do .....	90 days in jail.	
Winfred McIsaac .....	do .....	.....	20 00
Sewall Inman .....	do .....	.....	6 30
Daniel Donnelly.....	do .....	90 days in jail.	
Charles McCarty.....	do .....	.....	110 25
Christy Burke .....	do .....	.....	111 10
Richard Price .....	do .....	90 days in jail.	
George Hines.....	do .....	.....	59 87
John Nolan.....	do .....	90 days in jail.	

TABLE D—Continued.  
 PENOBSCOT COUNTY—CONCLUDED.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment, &c.	Fines and Costs.
Mrs. Peter Davis	Search and seizure		\$25 00
Mrs P. Towle	do		100 00
Albert B Perkins	do		113 00
Michael Donovan	do		111 19
Sophia Marsh	do		75 00
Dennis J. Murphy	do		112 00
Hugh McCarty	do		109 87
Joseph G. Kimball	do		110 21
Joseph Cary	do		113 58
George Durban	do		75 00
James Campbell	do		110 82
John Lynch	do		110 24
Felix Quinn	do		111 44
Fred Johnson	do		109 63
Fred W. Gould	do		109 63
Howland Woodcock	do		105 00
Daniel C. Henley	do		109 00
James J. McGrath	do		112 00
Michael Kavanah	do		112 16
Robert O'Kane	Single sale	30 days in jail.	
Christy Burke	do		39 12
James M. Robinson	do		36 25
George Cousins	do		40 87
Arander Sawyer	Drunkenness		15 00
Arander Sawyer	do		15 00
John Berry	do		23 00
Stephen Silk	do		6 49
Martin Shaughnessy	Assault and battery		5 00
Charles B. Carter	do		5 00
Michael Dunn	do		13 86
Albert Lamar	Assault		125 00
Jeremiah Lynch	Violating game laws		15 00
George Trafton	“ fish “		50 00
Peter Corneil	Felonious assault	30 mos. in State Prison	
Edwin S. Peasley	do		73 28
Andrew Hughes	Forgery	2 yrs in State Prison.	
Rose Annie Wilson	Larceny	10 months in jail.	
Stephen Silk	do		6 97
Martha Chase	do		17 39
George W. Tibbetts	do		35 00
Jeremiah Travers	do		37 93
Moses A. Tozier			
Raffaele Capone	Murder	Both sentenced to be hung on the 1st Friday of April 1885	
Carmen Santore			
Frederick Tarrio	Compound larceny	18 months in jail.	
Charles Hutchinson	do	6 yrs in State Prison.	
Lewis Tarrio	Larceny from person	11 months in jail.	
Sophia Marsh	House of ill fame		100 00
Thomas Violet	do	30 days in jail	
Anthony Gay			
James McMullin	Obstructing an officer		20 00
Daniel Hall	Burglary	9 yrs in State Prison.	

TABLE D—Continued.

## PISCATAQUIS COUNTY.

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment, &c.	Fines and Costs.
George Mason	Drunkenness		\$16 34
Lewis T. Gilman	do		20 34
Fred Calder	Assault		25 00
Joe Lacross	do		15 00
Richard Jose	do		18 28.
Fred Miller	Larceny	Reform School.	
Willie Ellis	do		25 00
Charles E Bennett	do		20 00.
Charles Stevens	As't with in't to com't rape	State Prison	

## SAGADAHOC COUNTY.

Nathaniel Brown	Violation of liquor law.		129 41
J. W. Grasse	do		188 94
J. M. Taylor	do		110 96.
George W. Hussey	do		124 11
Peter Lynch	do	60 days in jail.	
Charles Carter	Larceny	Insane Asylum.	
William H. Worthley	do	30 days in jail.	
Michael Sullivan	Assault and battery.		25 00.
Daniel Wilkinson	Murder	Sent'ced to be executed	
Lewis E. Hopkins	Manslaughter	7 yrs. in State Prison	
Lorenzo H. Turner	do	7 " "	
John C. Trott	Assault with felonious in't.	10 " "	

## SOMERSET COUNTY.

Bion H. Dyer	Common seller		100 00
Henry Washborn	do		100 00
Charles York	do		100 00
Andrew J. Foster	do		100 00
Frank Viltune	do		100 00
Erastus D Williams	Assault and battery		75 00
Samuel Kendall	Nuisance		25 00.
George B. Mullen	Larceny	1 year in State Prison.	
George A. Hanscomb	do	60 days in jail.	
Alden Whitten	Burglary	3½ yrs. in State Prison.	
J. St. Obar, et als.	do		40 00.

## WALDO COUNTY.

Charles Brown and } William Cookson }	Break'g, enter'g & larceny.	3 yrs. in State Prison.	
Robert G. Foye	Receiving stolen goods	1 year "	
John A. Barlow	Cruelty to animals		25 00
John Dollif	Assault and battery		8 49.
Horace N. Monroe	do		23 40
Elbridge Burrill	do		8 59
Frank Cunningham	do		10 52.
Melvine Grant	do		11 66.
James E. Dollif	do		13 20
Charles G. Thomas	do		9 00.
Herbert King	do		10 00.
Andrew W. Bates	do		13 27
Harvey H. Smalley, Jr.	Assault		50 00.

TABLE D—*Concluded.*  
WALDO COUNTY—*Concluded.*

NAMES.	Offences.	Imprisonment, &c.	Fines and costs.
Charles H. Buswell.....	Single sale .....	.....	36 03
Anson P. Benner .....	do .....	.....	73 30
William H. Howes.....	do .....	.....	47 40
Jerry L. Flagg .....	Drunkenness.....	60 days in jail.	
William J. Cunningham.....	do .....	60 " "	
William Robbins.....	Larceny .....	30 " "	

## WASHINGTON COUNTY.

James Bradford .....	Common seller .....	60 days in jail.	
Dorothy Shields .....	do .....	4 mths " "	
William Ramsdell .....	do .....	.....	128 39
James McGouldriok, applt...	Drunkenness .....	30 days in jail.	
Sophia Trott.....	Tippling shop.....	3 mths. " "	
Jeremial Small .....	Assault and battery.....	60 days " "	
Daniel McCallough, et als ..	do .....	.....	14 04
Robert Spears .....	do .....	.....	29 04
William H. Thompson.....	do .....	2 mths. in jail.	
William H. Thompson.....	Assault .....	4 " " "	
Propr's Ferry Point Bridge...	Nuisance .....	Ord'd to be abated and	37 47
Norman W. Hicks .....	Breaking, ent'g & larceny.	3½ yrs. in State Prison.	

## YORK COUNTY.

William Falvey.....	Drinking house.....	3 months in jail.	
John Doyle .....	do .....	3 " "	
Joseph Bernier.....	do .....	.....	126 89
James H. Larkin .....	do .....	.....	120 75
William Falvey.....	Common seller .....	4 months in jail.	
John Doyle .....	do .....	3 " "	
Jacob Buzzell.....	do .....	.....	125 00
Frank M. Cotton.....	do .....	.....	113 47
Grace Hall, applt.....	Search and seizure.....	6 months in jail	
Jeremiah Twomey, applt.....	do .....	.....	107 29
May Hines, applt.....	do .....	.....	108 45
Ellen Daly, applt.....	do .....	.....	110 34
Jeremiah Twomey .....	Nuisance.....	.....	250 00
Joseph T. Bernier.....	do .....	.....	250 00
James H. Larkin.....	do .....	.....	250 00
William Benson.....	Assault and battery .....	3 months in jail.	
John Travers.....	do .....	.....	5 00
Stephen Travers.....	do .....	.....	1 00
Thomas F. Mahoney.....	Perjury.....	2½ yrs. in State Prison.	
Clara F. Day.....	Adultery.....	3 months in jail.	
Preston Towne.....	Larceny .....	10 " "	
Howard Towne.....	Larceny .....	10 months in jail.	
Daniel McCauley.....	do .....	30 days " "	
Oliver Gartain .....	do .....	3 months " "	
George Baxter.....	do .....	3 yrs. in State Prison.	
Herman Lewis .....	do .....	3 " "	
Joseph Lombard .....	do .....	6 months in jail.	
John McDonald .....	Compound larceny.....	2 yrs. in State Prison.	
Charles E. Coffin .....	do .....	2 " "	
Stephen Travers .....	Felonious assault.....	2 " "	
Margaret Breen .....	do .....	6 months in jail.	
William Todd.....	do .....	2 years " "	
Mary G. Barrows, } .....	Murder .....	Both sentenced to be hanged	the 3d Friday in Dec., 1885.
Oscar O. Blaney, }			



**Table E**—*Showing the Amount of Fines and Costs as Penalties, the Amount Collected and the Costs of Prosecution in each County, including costs before Magistrates as allowed by County Commissioners.*

COUNTIES.	Costs allowed by court.	Costs allowed by County Commissioners.	Amount of Fines and Costs imposed.	Amount of Fines and Costs Collected.
Androscoggin...	\$3,616 88	\$3,679 25	\$3,459 83	\$2,759 83
Aroostook.....	808 06	-	-	878 00
Cumberland.....	4,371 97	1,744 89	19,403 95	19,403 95
Franklin.....	897 57	298 95	459 94	270 53
Hancock.....	1,554 11	625 41	-	689 99
Kennebec.....	3,955 84	6,592 61	4,471 37	4,255 37
Knox.....	3,702 30	1,742 63	3,217 72	2,788 69
Lincoln.....	739 06	766 18	641 67	641 67
Oxford.....	658 28	373 58	1,493 54	1,493 54
Penobscot.....	3,583 77	2,445 38	3,097 43	3,097 43
Piscataquis.....	1,046 52	240 03	139 96	139 96
Sagadahoc.....	2,269 21	-	578 42	578 42
Somerset.....	4,278 00	453 00	912 18	912 18
Waldo.....	2,110 99	3,079 89	339 86	339 86
Washington.....	1,174 17	2,342 98	812 62	297 27
York.....	5,219 11	4,434 99	1,375 41	1,375 41
	39,985 84	28,819 77	40,403 90	39,912 10

**Table F**—*Showing the Amounts Paid out by County Treasurers for Costs of Prosecutions in Supreme Judicial Court, and in the Superior Court for Cumberland and Kennebec Counties; on Bills of Costs Allowed by County Commissioners for Support of Prisoners in Jail; to Grand Jurors and Traverse Jurors at terms of Court held exclusively for criminal business; also the Amounts Received from Fines, Costs and Forfeitures in said Courts, from Magistrates, Jailers and Other Officers.*

COUNTIES.	Costs of Prosecutions in Supreme Judicial and Superior Courts.	Amount paid for support of Prisoners in Jail.	Amount paid Grand Jurors.	Amount paid Traverse Jurors.	Amount received from Fines, &c.
Androscoggin.....	\$3,616 88	\$3,579 00	\$438 22	No exclusive } criminal term. }	\$3,839 44
Aroostook.....	831 65	500 33	423 98	do	948 92
Cumberland .....	6,021 06	11,442 93	617 92	\$637 80	27,548 99
Franklin .....	832 32	319 13	314 24	No exclusive } criminal term. }	315 53
Hancock .....	1,554 11	302 40	594 60	do	689 99
Kennebec.....	3,945 84	5,591 10	544 40	\$598 77	5,103 76
Knox .....	2,899 91	901 33	636 00	No exclusive } criminal term. }	3,223 07
Lincoln.....	714 61	626 95	303 79	do	902 16
Oxford.....	465 00	217 55	412 80	do	1,460 72
Penobscot.....	3,583 77	3,630 56	-	do	3,866 00
Piscataquis.....	1,026 98	185 62	284 36	do	234 91
Sagadahoc.....	2,663 21	1,153 76	441 05	do	827 55
Somerset.....	3,256 59	417 47	-	do	1,092 54
Waldo.....	2,111 13	1,434 97	483 96	do	437 76
Washington.....	1,174 17	831 43	676 16	do	1,279 83
York.....	5,219 11	1,995 59	907 48	do	2,738 51
	39,916 34	33,130 12	7,078 96	\$1,236 57	54,509 68

STATE OF MAINE.

---

IN COUNCIL, December 31, 1884.

Received and the usual number of copies ordered to be printed.

Attest:•                   JOSEPH O. SMITH, *Secretary of State.*