MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

Public Documents of Maine:

BRING THE

ANNUAL REPORTS

OF THE VARIOUS

PUBLIC OFFICERS AND INSTITUTIONS

FOR THE YEAR

1874.

VOLUME I.

A U G U S T A:

SPRAGUE, OWEN & NASH, PRINTERS TO THE STATE.

1874.

REPORT

OF THE

ATTORNEY GENERAL

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE.

1873.

• . • · • •

REPORT.

Attorney General's Office, December 20, 1873.

To the Honorable Governor and Council of the State of Maine:

I have the honor to submit my first annual Report for the official year ending October 31, 1873:

During the year I have attended to the trial of the following indictments for murder in the Supreme Judicial Court.

IN THE COUNTY OF YORK.

An indictment against Louis H. F. Wagner for the murder of Anethe M. Christensen, at Smutty Nose Island, on the 6th of March, 1872. Two indictments were found against the prisoner for the murder of Anethe and Karen Christensen. It appeared that the prisoner rowed from Portsmouth to the Island, ten miles, for the purpose of robbing the house of John C. Hontvet, knowing that the only occupants of the house that right were three women. In searching one part of the house he stumbled upon Karen sleep-This was a surprise to him; and being known ing on a lounge. to the inmates, for he had lived in the family, he must either abandon his purpose when the money was almost within his grasp, or act upon the principle that the dead tell no tales. He immediately struck down Karen, and while dispatching Anethe, Mrs. Hontvet, the only other inmate, escaped from the house to the rocks on the island, where she lay concealed till morning. prisoner was identified and called by name by one of the murdered He was also identified by numerous witnesses on the morning after the tragedy upon his way back to Portsmouth. fled to Boston the same day of the murder and was apprehended that evening. He was brought to his trial on the indictment for the murder of Anethe, at the May Term, Judge Barrows presiding. Defence—a general denial. Verdict—Guilty of murder in the first degree. Exceptions were taken to the ruling of the Court at the

trial, which were argued before the full Court at Portland in July and overruled. At the September Term of the Court, Judge Peters presiding, he was sentenced to be hanged, and is now in the State Prison.

Hon. Rufus P. Tapley and Max Fischacher, Esq., counsel for the prisoner. County Attorney Yeaton assisted me in the prosecution.

IN THE COUNTY OF PENOBSCOT.

An indictment against Elbridge W. Reed for the murder of John Ray; tried at the August term, Judge Peters presiding. This was the second trial. Defence—a general denial. The jury failed to agree.

Hon. Wm. H. McCrillis and John F. Robinson, Esq., counsel for the prisoner. County Attorney Stetson assisted me in the prosecution. The prisoner will be tried again at the February Term.

IN THE COUNTY OF KNOX.

An indictment against Lucy A. Mank for the murder of Dr. Payton R. Baker, tried at the September Term, Chief Justice Appleton presiding. Defence—general denial. Verdict—not guilty.

Hon. Eben F. Pillsbury and L. M. Staples, Esq., counsel for the prisoner. County Attorney Rice was associated with me in the prosecution.

IN THE COUNTY OF WALDO.

An indictment against John True Gorden. In the night of June 16, the prisoner entered the room where were sleeping his brother Almon Gorden, Emma his wife, and their two children, and with an axe murdered Almon and wife, and inflicted severe wounds upon the children. Then to conceal the crime he set fire to the house. The children were rescued from the flames alive, but only one survived his injuries. The motive which led to this terrible deed of midnight assassination was malice and revenge. Four indictments were found against the prisoner, three for murder and one for an assault with intent to kill. He was brought to trial upon the indictment for the murder of Emma A. Gorden, at the October Term, before Chief Justice Appleton. Defence—a general denial. Verdict—guilty of murder in the first degree.

A motion for a new trial was overruled by the presiding justice and the prisoner will be sentenced at the January term. Hon. Wm. H. McLellan and Geo. E. Wallace, Esq., counsel for the prisoner. County Attorney Fogler assisted me in the prosecution.

IN THE COUNTY OF SOMERSET.

An indictment against Adelbert Dawes for the murder of Alice Spear, tried at the September Term, Judge Barrows presiding. Defence—accidental shooting. Verdict—manslaughter.

Dawes, a minor, fourteen years of age, was sentenced to the Reform School during his minority.

Hon. S. D. Lindsey, counsel for the defence. County Attorney Walton conducted the prosecution, assisted by Hon. Hiram Knowlton. Being engaged in the trial of the Mank case it was impracticable for me to attend the trial.

IN THE COUNTY OF YORK.

An indictment against Hannah Littlefield for infanticide; trial at the September Term, before Judge Peters. Defence—general denial. Verdict—not guilty.

Hon. C. R. Ayer and Hon. E. B. Smith counsel for the prisoner. County Attorney Yeaton conducted the prosecution.

CRIMINAL CASES IN THE LAW COURT.

EASTERN DISTRICT-LAW TERM, 1873.

County of Penobscot.

State vs. certain intoxicating liquors. John C. Frank claimant. On exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs. Mason A. Walton. Embezzlement. Demurrer and exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs Horace Woodman and others. Conspiracy to defraud. On demurrer and exceptions. Continued. Argued in writing.

State vs. Nathan Ellingwood. Common seller of intoxicating liquors. On demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Alonzo E. Miller. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Patrick Tool. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Augustus H. Cook. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Richard Price. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Collins. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Aaron B. Robinson. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Stephen Coney. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. James H. Tracey. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Daniel D. Sullivan. Common Seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Frank McCulloch. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Gallagher. Common Seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Paris Oree. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John Coleman. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

MIDDLE DISTRICT-LAW TERM, 1873.

County of Kennebec.

State vs. John Walsh, appellant. Liquor case. Judgment for the State.

State vs. same. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. same. Search and seizure. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Augustus Dumphy, appellant. Liquor case. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Eugene Pinkham. Assault and battery. Jundgment for the State.

State vs. John Jenks. Liquor nuisance. Judgment for the State. State vs. John Larrabee. Liquor nuisance. Judgment for the State.

County of Knox.

State vs. Geo. W. Sherman. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Lincoln Leavitt Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John J. Mahoney. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Frank Ulmer. Common seller. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. John J. Mahoney. Keeping drinking house and tippling shop. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

County of Lincoln.

State vs. Phineas Hallowell. Law on report. Argued and continued.

County of Somerset.

State vs. Hezekiah Ricker. Demurrer and exceptions. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Amos Rines. Assault and battery. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

County of Sagadahoc.

State vs. Michael Mahann. Liquor case. Judgment for the State.

WESTERN DISTRICT-LAW TERM, 1873.

County of Androscoggin.

State vs. Sumner B. Walker. Argued and continued.

State vs. Nathaniel Maybury and J. H. Gleason. Cheating by false pretences. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

County of York.

State vs. Louis H. F. Wagner. Murder. Exceptions. Argued. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. William B. Rowe. Exceptions. Exceptions sustained.

County of Cumberland.

State vs. City of Portland. Nuisance. Nol Pros. to be entered on payment of costs.

State vs. Oliver A. Gould. Libel. Continued.

State vs. Grand Trunk Railway. Loss of life by negligence. Exceptions. Argued by the parties in interest. New trial granted.

State vs. David S. Mills. Larceny. Argued at a former term. Continued.

State vs. F. O. J. Smith. Nuisance. Exceptions. Continued. State vs. Simon A. Loveitt. Manslaughter. Continued.

State vs. Edward Gould. Nuisance. Exceptions sustained. Idictment quashed.

State by E. N. Perry vs. Charles McCarthy, claimant of intoxicating liquors. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

Same vs. James Garland, claimant of intoxicating liquors. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs. Cornelius McCann. Search and seizure. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs. John Andigee, Common seller. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Jeremiah Ragan. Larceny. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs. Charles Pettis. Larceny. Exceptions. Argued and continued.

State vs. Nelson Leighton. Exceptions overruled. Judgment for the State.

State vs. Jonathan Watson. Arson. Exceptions. Argued by County Attorney. Continued.

State vs. Grand Trunk Railway of Canada. Exceptions dismissed, the verdict having already been set aside on motion for new trial.

CIVIL SUITS.

State by information vs. Portland and Kennebec Railroad Company. Information in nature of a writ of quo warranto to test the validity of the lease of said railroad to the Maine Central. Argued July, 1871. Dismissed, the Legislature of 1872 having recognized the validity of said lease.

State vs. Cumberland and Oxford Canal. Proceeding under chapter 233, Resolves of 1871, to determine whether or not defendant corporation has forfeited its charter. Defendant's motion to dismiss for want of sufficient service overruled. Exceptions to be argued in writing.

State vs. Boston and Maine Railroad. Information in the nature of a writ of quo warranto against said road, commenced because the road in a portion of York county was not located according to the provisions of its charter of 1871. The case turned upon the construction of the charter. Dismissed without costs.

State of Maine vs. B. D. Peck and Bondsmen. Exceptions over-

ruled. Judgment for the State. But the amount of debt and costs has not been made up.

I respectfully recommend that the law regulating challenges in criminal cases be amended so as to give to the State the same number of challenges as is now given to the accused. In capital cases the accused has the right to challenge eleven of the jurors peremptorily, while the State can challenge only five. In nothing is the humanity of the law more conspicuous than in the safeguards which it throws around the accused to protect him from improper conviction. In addition to all the humane provisions of the common law, the right to testify in his own behalf is accorded to him, and the treasury of the State is placed at his command to pay his witnesses and employ the ablest counsel in the State to conduct his cause. Of this more than humane policy of the law there is no disposition to complain, but as it implies a sublime confidence in the intelligence and integrity of jurors, the rights of challenge, the purpose of which is to secure "good men and true," should, it seems to me, be so extended as to give to the State the same right of challenge as is now accorded to the accused. is the law in several of our sister States.

Chapter 137 of the public laws of 1873, entitled "An act relating to recognizances," provides for the appointment of one or more commissioners to admit prisoners to bail, and repeals section 34, chapter 99 of the Revised Statutes. In order to carry out the purpose of said act, I respectfully recommend that so much of section 16, chapter 133 of the Revised Statutes, as authorizes two justices of the peace and quorum to admit to bail, be repealed.

By a statute provision of the several New England States, except Massachusetts, prosecuting officers of this State are enabled to procure the attendance, at criminal trials, of witnesses residing in other States. The practical convenience of such a provision was experienced in the trial of Wagner, in procuring witnesses from New Hampshire. The want of such a statute in Massachusetts is seriously felt by prosecuting officers. In the trial of Gorden, an important witness for the government residing in that State could not be procured; and there are cases now pending upon the criminal docket in which the attendance of witnesses from Massachusetts is necessary to the success of the prosecution, and these witnesses cannot be obtained. I have conferred with the Attorney General of Massachusetts upon the

subject, and he informs me that he, in his last annual report, called the attention of the Legislature of his State to the propriety of reciprocal legislation on the subject. The importance of such legislation to the administration of justice seems to warrant me in calling the attention of the Executive to the matter, and asking his good offices with the Governor of the Commonwealth in that behalf.

I append the usual tables compiled from the returns made to me by the several County Attorneys.

In consequence of the failure of so many of the County Treasurers to make to me the reports required by section 13, chapter 136 of the Revised Statutes, I am unable to give any satisfactory information as to the amount of costs of criminal prosecutions during the year. The required returns have been received by me from the Treasurers of the following named counties only: Androscoggin, Franklin, Penobscot, Sagadahoc, Somerset and Washington.

H. M. PLAISTED, Attorney General.

1	>
	ч
	ų
	9
	ATTORNEY
	-
	H
	G.
i	GENERAL'S
	Z
	3
	٧
	_
	U 2
	Ħ
	H
1	REPORT
	9
	찟

											CRI	MES.									
COUNTIES	CASES.	Whole number.	Homicide.	Arson	Perjury, &c.	Forgery and counterfeiting.	Compound larceny.	Larceny.	Burglary.	Robbery.	Rape.	Assault with fellonious intent.	Assault and battery.	Affrays and riots.	Offences against chastity, morality, &c.	Malicious mischief.	Cheating and conspiracies.	Defects in highway.		Violation of liquor law.	Other offences.
Androscoggin.	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	9								•••											
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872, Indictments found Jan. Term, 1873,		• • • •	••••	•••	•••	•••;	;						••••	٠	••••		••••	• • • •	1.0	
	Appealed cases entered Jan. T., 1873,		••••	••••	• • • •	1	1	4			• • • • •		1	••••	1	••••	1	1		8	
	Indictments found April T., 1873,	27					1	3	•••				6		12		2			3	
	Appealed cases entered April T., 1873,																			6	
	Indictments found Sept T., 1873,	25						5		1			4		7					5	
	Appealed cases entered Sept T., 1873,												3			1				€	
	Indictments pending at end of year,							2					3		5		2	1		8	
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,		••••				• • • •				• • • •		1		• • • • •					7	
Aroostook	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	10	••••	• • • •		••••		3		••••	••••	• • • •	3		1	• • • •	2	• • • •	• • • •		2
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,		••••	• • • •	• • • •	••••		1		• • • •	••••			•••;	••••	,			• • • •	8	••••;
	Indictments found, Feb T., 1873, Appealed cases entered Feb. T., 1873.	14	•••		• • • •	••••	••••		• • • •	•••	••••	••••	4	1	••••	••••	1	1	••••	0	1
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	1		••••					••••	••••			••••	• • • •			••••	• • •	1	••••	-
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T., 1873,	4		••••				• •					9	• • • • •						2	• • • •
	Indictments pending at end of year,	18						3					ī	1	i		$\frac{\cdots}{2}$,	1	8	1
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,							1			i		2		·				î	2	
CUMBERLAND							1	1					1		2			5	30	163	10
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	42																			
	Indictments found Jan T., 1873,	44						3				1					1		5	30	3
	Appealed cases entered Jan. T., 1873,						• • • •						,								
	Indictments found May T., 1873,	220		1		1	1	2	!				4	١	١	1		1	32	165	12

TABLE A -- Continued.

											CRI	IES.									
COUNTIES.	CASES.	Whole number.	Homicide.	Arson.	Perjury, &c.	Forgery and counterfeiting.	Compound larceny.	Larceny.	Burglary.	Robbery.	Rape.	Assault with fellonious intent.	Assault and battery.	Affrays and riots.	Offences against chastity, morality, &c.	Malicious mischief.	Cheating and conspiracies	Defects in highway.		Violation of liquor law.	Other offences.
CUMBERLAND	Appealed cases entered May T., 1873,						:		••••	• • •	••••	;			;		••••				
	Indictments found Sept. T, 1873,		• • • •	1	••••	3	5	3	• • • •	••••	1	1	3	• • • •	1	• • • •	3	1	6	109	14
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T., 1873,			•••	• • • •	••••	• • • •	••••	••••	••••	• • • •	••••	••••		••••	••••		••••	• • • •	• • • •	
	Indictments pending at end of year,			••••	• • • •	••••		••••		••••	• • • •	••••	••••		• • • •	••••	•••	••••	••••	••••	
_	Appealed cases pending at end of year,		• • • •	••••	••••	••••			• • • • •	••••	••••	••••				• · • •		••••	• • • •	:	
FRANKLIN	Indictments pending Nov 1, 1872,		• • • •	••••	••••	••••		••••	••••	••••	••••	••••	4			••••	2	••••		9	1
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,				٠.	••••				••••	••••	••••	••••			••••	••••		• • • •		
,	Indictments found March T., 1873,	3	• • • •	••••	•••	••••	••••	1	• • • •	••••		••••				••••	2	••••	• ••		
	Appealed cases entered Mar. T., 1873,		••••	••••	••••	••••	9	••••	, ,	•	••••	•••				••••	• • • •	••••	• • • •	••••	
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	9		••••	••••	••••	-	••••	•	••••	• • • • •	••••	•			••••	••••		••••		
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T, 1873,			••••	•••							••••	1			••••		••••	••••		4
	Indictments pending at end of year, Appealed cases pending at end of year,		••••		••••	••••				••••		••••	*		••••	••••					
HANCOCK	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,			••••		••••				••••		••••	••••		••••	••••	••••	••••			••••
HANCOUK	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,															· • • •			••••		
	Indictments found April T., 1873,	75			••••											• • • •				75	;
	Appealed cases entered April T., 1873,																			10	
	Indictments found Oct T., 1873,	5						1	1		1	1	i								••••
	Appealed cases entered Oct. T., 1873,	16	l														l. .				
	Indictments pending at end of year,	33																	l .	l	1
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,																				
KENNEBEC	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,						3	1	1			4	2		2	2		3	3	5	2
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	11										١	3			l			l	8	l

	Indictments found March T., 1873,	. 6	1			١		2	1			 	1	ļ			1			2	
	Appealed cases entered Mar T., 1873,	17						1					4							12	
	Indictments found August T, 1873,	24			2		3					1	3			1	1		4	7	2
	Appealed cases entered Aug. T., 1873	13											2				'			8	3
	Indictments found October T., 1873,	. 11						1				1						1		7	1
	Appealed cases entered Oct. T., 1873,	8																			• • • •
	Indictments pending at end of year,	29					4					2	4			2		2	6	7	2
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	9						2					2			• • • •				4	1
Knox	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	19					3	1					3					3	1	5	3
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	4														•••				4	
	Indictments found Dec. T., 1872,																'				
	Appealed cases entered Dec. T., 1872,	3											3				'				
	Indictments found March T., 1873,	8					1						1							6	
	Appealed cases entered Mar. T., 1873,	3											1				'			2	
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	16	1	1							1] . .			1				1	11	
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T., 1873,	2											2							, }	
	Indictments pending at end of year,	17	1]								1				1	14	
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	1						·					1		'		'				
Lincoln	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	9			1]						1					5		}	2
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	4						1					1							[1]	1
	Indictments found April T, 1873,	12											1				'			10	1
	Appealed cases entered Apr. T., 1873,	3											2			1]	,]	
	Indictments found October T., 1873,	1]												, إ	2
	Appealed cases entered Oct. T., 1873,	3											2			1	']]	
	Indictments pending at end of year,	3					1						2		. .		!	1]	}	
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	3											2			••••	'			1	
Oxford	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	9]	3							• • • •	2	3)	
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,			• • • •	• • • •										, 						• • • •
	Indictments found Dec. T., 1872,	3						1					1				1]	
	Appealed cases entered Dec. T., 1872,						• • • •							• • • •					• • •		••••
	Indictments found March T., 1873,												2		1			1		4	2
	Appealed cases entered Mar. T 1873,	••••		••••	• • • •			••••							• • • •	• • •				اينسا	
	Indictments found Sept T., 1873,	14		• • • •		••••				••••	••••					••••	1	2	2	10	
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T., 1873,						••••	••••									• • • •			}	• • • •
	Indictments pending at end of year,						• • • •	1			• • • •		2					3	2		1
_	Appealed cases pending at end of year,											••••	• • • •		••••	••••	• • •	• • • •			
Penobscot	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	53		1	1		• • • •	5				· • • •	1	• • • •	1	1	6	9		22	4
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,			• • • •	••••	•••	••••			• • • •	••••	• • • •			3	2	اا	• • • •		18	
	Indictments found Feb. T, 1873,	45			i • • • •	1	3	9	1	1	1	1	2	• • • •	1	!	7	۱۱	2	16	2

TABLE A — Concluded.

											CRI	MES.									
COUNTIES	CASES.	Whole number.	Homicide.	Arson.	Perjury, &c.	Forgery and counterfeiting.	Compound larceny.	Larceny.	Burglary.	Robbery.	Rape.	Assault with felonious intent.	Assault and battery.	Affrays and riots.	Offences against chastity, morality, &c.	Malicious mischief.	Cheating and conspiracies.	Defects in highway.		Violation of liquor law.	Other offences.
PENOBSCOT	Appealed cases entered Feb. T., 1873,	28						3					2			1				22	
	Indictments found August T., 1873,	19 55		• • • •				5	1			• • • •	6		2	;	3		1		
	Appealed cases entered Aug. T., 1873, Indictments pending at end of year,	34	1		····i	1		6					20		1	4	10	3	2	22 6	$\begin{vmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$
D	Appealed cases pending at end of year,							5					15			• • • •	••••			20	
Piscataquis		29	1	1	1	• • • • •	1	4	••••		;	• • • •	5		••••		1	4	1	7	3
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872, Indictments found Feb. T., 1873,	1																		1	
	Appealed cases entered Feb. T, 1873,	1																		1	
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	3						1	1								1				
	Appealed cases entered Sept T., 1873,	1 19	;	;	•••	• • • •			••••				1		••••		;	٠٠٠٠	•••;	:	
	Indictments pending at end of year, Appealed cases pending at end of year,		1	1		• • • •	1	4	••••	••••	· • • •	••••	1		• • • •		1	3	1	D	1
SAGADAHOC	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	29				1	4	5	1	i		'n	5			i	2			5	3
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	13																		13	اا
	Indictments found April T., 1873,	8			• • • •			2	• • • •				1	••••			1			4	
	Appealed cases entered Apr. T, 1873,	2	••••	• • • •	••••	••••		• • • •	••••	••••	• • • •	• • • •		••••	••••	•••;	••••	• • • •	• • • •	2	
	Indictments found Aug T., 1873, Appealed cases entered Aug. T., 1873,	3	••••	••••	••••	••••	• • • •		••••	••••	••••	••••	•••	••••	••••	1	1	••••		- 3	
	Indictments pending at end of year,	27					4	4	···i	1		1	5			l i	2			5	3
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	6														·				6	. .
Somerset		1		1	2	1		2	1	••••	1		2	1		1	2	5	1	18	1
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	5	••••	• • • • •	• • • •	i	••••		l		••••		1 2		J					3	

	Indictments found Dec. T., 1872.	10		1	 ١	1	1	1 1				1	1	1 4			2	1	1:	1
	Appealed cases entered Dec. T., 1872,				 												. .			
	Indictments found March T , 1873,			. 	 						1				••••		1		1	
	Appealed cases entered Mar. T., 1873.				 			1				1					-		, 1	
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	15			 			1				3		1	1	2		2	4	· · · i
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T , 1873,	3			 		2					1							2	
	Indictments pending at end of year,	33			 			1				-							, ~i	
	Appealed cases pending at end of year.	4			 								,						,	
WALDO	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	13			 		1					3	1			5	,	••••		
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872.				 		ĺ					3		1	1					• •
	Indictments found Oct T., 1872,	_		1	 		i				· · · · ·	1	••••					••••		
	Appealed cases entered Oct. T , 1872,				 		ĺ					5		3			-	••••	3	
	Indictments found Jan. T, 1873,						i		• • • • •		1			J		••••			ا	
	Appealed cases entered Jan. T., 1873.				 													••••		
	Indictments found April T., 1873.				 										••••	•••	••			••••
	Appealed cases entered Apr. T., 1873,	4			 															••••
	Indictments pending at end of year.	12					2				1	5								
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,								••••			3	••••	i	••••	-	1		3	••••
WASHINGTON		12			 					ļ		i	••••	1	••••		••••		11	
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	2			 						••••	_	··i		••••				1	
	Indictments found Jan. T., 1873.	9			 		3					1					1		1 1	
	Appealed cases entered Jan. T, 1873,				 										••••				, T	
	Indictments found April T., 1873,	11			 														10	· · · · i
	Appealed cases entered Apr. 1, 1873,				 														10	
	Indictments found Oct. T., 1873,	4			 		2										1		1	
	Appealed cases entered Oct. T., 1873,	6			 															
	Indictments pending at end of year,	18			 		3					1					2		30	
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	4			 														4	
York	Indictments pending Nov. 1, 1872,	48	4		 4	5	6	6		1	8	5				1	5	3		
	Appealed cases pending Nov. 1, 1872,	13		. 	 															
	Indictments found Jan. T., 1872,	24			 															
	Appealed cases entered Jan. T., 1873,	6			 															
	Indictments found May T, 1873,	34			 														I .	
	Appealed cases entered May T., 1873,	7			 												. .			
	Indictments found Sept. T., 1873,	32			 											•				
	Appealed cases entered Sept. T., 1873,																			l
	Indictments pending at end of year,	31			 3	2				1	4						3		8	9
	Appealed cases pending at end of year,	6		••••	 ı. 	••••	١	١	J	l		l	l		1	١	اا		2	3
																				1

 $TABLE \quad B\,.$ Disposition of Cases during the year, and condition of those not disposed of.

and the same of th			<u>I</u>		- , -		٠, .								
			sposi ear ei bei		Nov			end	dition			Sente	ənc	es.	
COUNTIES.	INDICTMENTS AND APPEALS.	Quashed.	". Nol pros'd" on payment of costs.	dismissed.	Conviction and sentence.	Aequittals	Continuances	Continued open.	Continued for sentence.	Continued, marked	State Prison.	County Jail and House of Correction.	Reform School.	Fines.	To be hung.
Androscoggin	Indictments		10	52	41	1		19	2		4	5	2	23	
Aroostook	Appeals Appeals			4 1	1 3 1	1	• •	$\begin{array}{c c} 7 \\ 32 \\ 12 \end{array}$	4					3	
Cumberland	Indictments	148	12	245	32	17	••	30	2	48	2	20	1	67 3	••
Franklin	Appeals Indictments Appeals		2	6	4	3	•••	15	9	4	ì	1			•••
Hancock	Indictments	• • •	27	36				33							
Kennebec	Appeals Indictments	• • •	11	9	18	2	• •	15	5	9		5		12	
Knox	Appeals Indictments	1	12	10 14	15 7		• •	33 5	2 3		3	5		• • 2	• •
Lincoln	Appeals Indictments	• • •	14	11 4 7	1	• •	• •	5 3 3				···i	::		• •
Oxford	Appeals Indictments		3		23	::	• •	9		1	2			10	••
Penobscot	Appeals Indictments	• • •	10 40	38 13	35 50	3	• •	28 28	 5 10		4	"ii		8	••
Piscataquis	Appeals		1	6	5 5	2		17	2			5	::	2	••
Sagadahoc	Appeals Indictments		2	2 3 9	7 2	1	• • • •	1 15 2	10 1			2		4	::
Somerset	Appeals Indictments	3	2	23	9	1 ~ [• •	24	6		2	···i	1	5	
Waldo	Appeals Indictments			16	4	i		19				2		3	
Washington	Appeals Indictments		2		7	i		5			i	3	i	3	
York	Appeals	• • •	62	$\begin{array}{ c c }\hline & 1 \\ & 62 \\ \end{array}$	1 13	1 5	 	15 29	6	2	5	5]	• •	ï
	Appeals	• • •	1	' <u>-</u>	••••	!	• •	•••			• •		•••	• • •	• •

Sentences from 1864 to 1873, inclusive.

YEARS.	State Prison.	County Jail.	Reform School.	Fines, &c	To be hung.	Insane Asylum.
1873	24	66	6	155	1	
1872	49	50	1	163	1	
1871	59	83	10	169	. 	2
1870	54	93	3	174	1	
1869	87	96	6	148	2	2
1868	43	62	9	78	1	
1867	60	88	9	143	3	1
1866	104	94	6	150	1	1
1865	30	41	10	113	. 	
1864	60	32	5	109	3	
Total for ten years	570	705	65	1,402	13	6

Liquor cases disposed of in the Supreme Court.

COUNTIES.	No. of cases.	Fines, &c., collected.	Committals
Androscoggin		\$400 00	1
AroostookCumberland—Superior CourtFranklin	67	7,238 73	
Hancock	8 2	915 93 237 36	
Lincoln Oxford Penobscot Piscataquis	13	2,000 00 1,300 00	
Sagadahoc	4 3	404 06 299 68	
Washington	3	417 20	
Total for 1873	105	\$13,212 96	1
Total for 1872	99	7,606 64	5