

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

Ninety-Third Legislature

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

1947

DAILY KENNEBEC JOURNAL AUGUSTA, MAINE

HOUSE

Wednesday, March 12, 1947

The House met according to adjournment, and was called to order by the Speaker.

Prayer by the Rev. Alfred J. Henricksen of Augusta. Journal of yesterday read and

approved.

The SPEAKER: The Chair at this time notes in the balcony the presence of the eighth grade of the Lincoln School in Augusta, Arnold Shelwood teacher, and on behalf of the members of this House the Chair bids you welcome here this morning. (Applause)

Papers from the Senate Senate Reports of Committees **Ought** to Pass

Report of the Committee on Edu-cation reporting "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act to Provide for Compliance with the National School Lunch Act and to Provide for the Establishment, Maintenance, Operation and Expansion of Nonprofit

School Lunch Programs in Schools in the State" (S. P. 230) (L. D. 641) Report of same Committee re-porting same on Bill "An Act to Provide for Maintenance and Oper-ation of State Technical and Voca-tional Schools" (S. P. 275) (L. D. 215) 815)

Report of the Committee on Public Utilities reporting same on Bill "An Act to Validate Bonds of Presque Isle Water District and Authorize Their Refunding" (S. P. 324) (L. D. 868) Came from the Senate with the

Reports read and accepted and the Bills passed to be engrossed. In the House, Reports were read

and accepted in concurrence, and the Bills read twice and tomorrow assigned.

Messages and Documents

From the Senate: The following Communication:

State of Maine Senate Chamber

March 11, 1947 Honorable_Harvey_R_Pease, Clerk House of Representatives State House

Augusta, Maine

Sir

Pursuant to Joint Rule No. 8, this is to inform you that the Senate at the morning session indefinitely postponed (H. P. 486) (L. D. 316) Bill "An Act to Provide Driver Education in Secondary Education," which was passed to be engrossed in the House on March 5, 1947. Respectfully.

(Signed) CHESTER T. WINSLOW . Secretary

Communication was read and ordered placed on file.

State of Maine

Department of Health and Welfare March 11, 1947

Mr. Harvey R. Pease, Clerk House of Representatives Ninety-Third Legislature

State House

Augusta, Maine Dear Mr. Pease:

I am transmitting herewith the balance of the information on Old Age Assistance Active and Pending Caseloads, requested in the Order of the House of Representatives of the Ninety-Third Legislature dated February 19, 1947. This supplements the material supplied to you on Aid to Dependent Children with my letter of transmittal dated March 3, 1947.

The attached Old Age Assistance list is set up in two sections. The first section lists the pending cases (applicants) by county and town, and pages are numbered 1 to 50 consecutively. The list has been made as of February 28, 1947.

The second section relates to the the Old Age Assistance program, and pages are numbered 1 to 550 consecutively. The names and addresses of recipients are listed on the extreme left and the amount allowed is listed on the right hand side of the page. The list has been made as of Eabruary 20 1047 made as of February 28, 1947.

I again would respectfully call attention to that part of my letter of March 3, 1947 with reference to "Safeguarding the Confidential Nature of Public Assistance Records and Information."

Respectfully submitted,

(Signed) Leverett D. Bristol, Commissioner The Communication was read and ordered placed on file.

The following Bills and Resolves, transmitted by the Revisor of Statutes pursuant to Joint Order, were received and upon recommendation of the Committee on Reference of

Bills, were referred to the following Committees:

Legal Affairs

Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Farmingdale School District" (H. • P. 1617) (Presented by Mr. Harris of Farmingdale)

(Ordered printed) Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Chelsea School District" (H. P. 1618) (Presented by same gentleman)

(Ordered printed)

"An Act Amending Billthe Charter of the city of Portland for a Mayor and Aldermen Form of Government" (H. P. 1619) (Pre-sented by Mr. Labbe of Brunswick by request)

(1000 copies ordered printed)

Sent up for concurrence. Sea and Shore Fisheries

Resolve to Provide Funds Resolve to Provide Funds for Clam Cleansing Research (H. P. 1620) (Presented by Mr. Sleeper of Rockland) Rockland)

(Ordered printed) Sent up for concurrence.

Taxation

Bill "An Act Imposing a Tax on Dynamite" (H. P. 1621) (Presented by Mr. Bove of Naples)

(Ordered printed) Sent up for concurrence.

Ways and Bridges

Resolve in favor of town of Mer-rill (H. P. 1622) (Presented by Mr. Benn of Smyrna)

(Ordered printed)

Sent up for concurrence.

Orders Tabled

Mr. Bowker of Portland presented the following Order and moved its passage.

WHEREAS, a bill has been introduced into the House and it is important that the Legislature be informed as to the constitutionality of the proposed bill:

AND WHEREAS, it appears to the House of Representatives of the 93rd Legislature that it presents important questions of law and that the occasion is a solemn one;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT ORD-ERED, that in accordance with the ERED, that in accordance with the provisions of the Constitution of the state, the Justices of the Su-preme Judicial Court are hereby respectfully requested to give this House their opinion of the following questions on H. P. 1184, L. D. 754, "An Act to Protect the Right to Work and to Prohibit Secondary Boycotts, Sympathetic Strikes and Jurisdictional Strikes"

1. Would the third paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 122. Agreements or com-binations in restraint of right to work declared illegal. Any agree-ment or combination between any employer and any labor union or labor organization whereby persons not members of such union or organization shall be denied the right to work for said employer, or whereby membership in such union or organization is made a condition of employment or continuation of employment by such employer, or whereby any such union or organization acquires an employment monopoly in any enterprise, is hereby declared to be against public policy and an illegal combination or conspiracy.

if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

2. Would the fourth paragraph which reads as follows: "Sec. 123. Conditioning employ-

ment or non-union membership prohibited. No person shall be required by an employer to abstain or refrain from membership in any labor union or labor organization as a condition of employment or con-tinuation of employment." if enacted by the Legislature in its

present form, be constitutional? 3. Would the fifth paragrap

paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 124. Conditioning employment on union membership prohibited. No person shall be required by an employer to become or remain a member of any labor union or labor organization as a condition of employment or continuation of employment by such employer." if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional? 4. Would the sixth paragraph

which reads as follows:

"Sec. 125. Conditioning employment on payment of union charges prohibited. No employer shall require any person, as a condition of employment or continuation of employment, to pay any dues, fees or other charges of any kind to any labor union or labor organization." if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

5. Would the seventh paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 126. Secondary boycotts prohibited. No person, labor union or labor organization or member thereof or person acting on behalf

of such union or organization shall by any means or methods whatsoever engage in a secondary boycott. The term "secondary boycott" shall include causing or threatening to cause and combining or conspiring to cause or threaten to cause, injury to a person not a party to the particular labor dispute, to aid which such boycott is initiated or continued, whether by (a) withholding patronage, labor, or other beneficial business intercourse; (b) picketing; (c) refusing to handle, install, use or work on particular materials, equipment or supplies; or (d) by any other unlawful means, in order to bring such person against his or its will into a concerted plan to coerce or inflict damage upon another or to compel the party with whom such labor dispute exists to comply with any particular de-mands."

if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

6. Would the eighth paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 127. Sympathetic strikes prohibited. No employee, labor union or labor organization or member thereof or person acting on behalf of such union or organization shall cause or, acting in concert or confederation with others, participate in a sympathetic strike. The term "sympathetic strike" shall include a strike, slowdown or stoppage of work for the purpose of aiding others than the participants in said sympathetic strike in the course of a dispute which has no direct relation to the participant's own rates of pay, wages, hours of employment."

if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

7. Would the ninth paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 128. Jurisdictional strikes prohibited. No labor union or labor organization or member thereof or person acting on behalf of such union or organization shall cause or, acting in concert or confederation with others, participate in a jurisdictional strike. The term "jurisdictional strike" shall include any strike, slowdown or stoppage of work because of any dispute, grievance or disagreement between or within labor unions or labor organizations."

if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

8. Would the tenth paragraph which reads as follows:

"Sec. 129. Boycotting; picketing upon agricultural premises. No person shall picket upon or about any farm, processing plant or other premises where either produce is raised, or dairy products are produced, bought or sold, or boycott the movement to market, or sale of any agricultural commodity or dairy products, because such commodities may have been produced or transported by non-union labor or in violation of the orders or rules of any labor union."

if enacted by the Legislature in its present form, be constitutional?

(On motion by Mr. Bowker, the Order was tabled under Rule 46, pending passage, and was specially assigned for tomorrow morning.)

On motion by Mr. Brown of Unity, it was

ORDERED, that Rev. S. L. Morgan of Unity be invited to officiate as Chaplain of the House on Thursday, March 20th.

The SPEAKER: The Chair at this time notes in the balcony the presence of the Juniors and Seniors of the Mechanic Falls High School in charge of Mr. Nolan, Principal, and on behalf of the members of the House bids you welcome. (Applause)

House Reports of Committees Leave to Withdraw

Mr. Silsby from the Committee on Judiciary on Bill "An Act to Provide for Jurisdiction of Probate Courts in Divorce Cases" (H. P. 1182) (L. D. 776) reported leave to withdraw.

Mr. Atherton from the Committee on Legal Affairs reported same on Bill "An Act Amending the Charter of the city of Lewiston to Provide Pensions for Widows and Dependents of Firemen" (H. P. 928) (L. D. 546)

Mr. Snow from same Committee reported same on Bill "An Act to Provide a Pension for Members of the Highway Department of the city of Auburn" (H. P. 616) (L. D. 381)

Mr. Daniels from the Committee on Public Utilities reported same on Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Cape Cottage Woods Sewerage District (H. P. 945) (L. D. 627)

Reports were read and accepted.

Ought Not to Pass

Mr. Byron from the Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game reported "Ought not to pass" on Bill "An Act Making it Lawful to Hunt on Sunday" (H. P. 605) (L. D. 372)

Same gentleman from same Com-mittee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Bounty on Foxes" (H. P. 824) (L. D. 527)

Mr. Hayward from same Commit-tee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Protection of Commercial Orchards and Market Gardens" (H. P. 654) (L. D. 452)

Mr. Mills from the Committee on Judiciary reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Setting Aside of Verdict by Presiding Justice" (H. P. 1393) (L. D. 1011)

Same gentleman from same Committee reported same on Bill "An Act Regulating the Taking of Photographs" (H. P. 1291) (L. D. 899)

Mr. Perkins from same Commit-tee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Occupational Diseases Coverage Under the Workmen's Compensation Act" (H. P. 1179) (L. D. 759)

Reports were read and accepted. **Tabled and Assigned**

Mr. Woodworth from the Com-Mr. Woodworth from the Conf-mittee on Legal Affairs reported same on Bill "An Act Amending the Charter of the city of Lewis-ton relating to the Election of Al-dermen" (H. P. 120) (L. D. 97) (On motion by Mr. Malenfant of Lewiston tobled nending accentance

Lewiston, tabled pending acceptance of Committee Report, and specially assigned for Wednesday, March 19th)

Mr. Russell from the Committee on Library reported "Ought not to pass" on Resolve for the Purchase of One Hundred Copies of "History of the town of Wayne" (H. P. 1025) Miss Longstaff from the Commit-

tee on Public Health reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Veterinary Surgery" (H. P. 464) (L. D. 270)

Mr. Daniels from the Committee on Public Utilities reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Definition of Contract Carrier" (H. P. 1518)

. D. 1141) Mr. DeSanctis from same Com-Mittee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Contract Carriers" (H. P. 947) (L. D. 552) Mr. Palmeter from same Com-

mittee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Definition of Inter-

state Carrier" (H. P. 1517) (L. D. 1140)

Mr. Bell from the Committee on Towns reported same on Bill "An Act relating to the Town Line Di-viding the towns of Yarmouth and Cumberland" (H. P. 356) (L. D. 226)

Cumberland" (H. P. 356) (L. D. 226) Mr. Dicker from same Commit-tee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to Uncollected Dog Taxes" (H. P. 1328) (L. D. 921) Mr. Woodbury from same Com-mittee reported same on Bill "An Act relating to the Town Line Di-viding the towns of North Yar-mouth and Cumberland" (H. P. 357) (L. D. 227) Reports were read and accepted

Reports were read and accepted.

Ought to Pass in New Draft

Mr. Dorsey from the House Committee on County Estimates on Esmittee on County Estimates on Es-timates of the Several Counties re-port a Resolve (H. P. 1623) under title of "Resolve for the Laying of the County Taxes for the Years Nineteen Hundred Forty-Seven and Nineteen Hundred Forty-Eight" and that it "Ought to pass." Mr. Hayward from the Commit-tee on Inland Eicheries and Game

tee on Inland Fisheries and Game on Bill "An Act relative to Bounty on Bobcat, Loupcervier and Canada Lynx" (H. P. 434) (L. D. 296) reported same in a new draft (H. P. 1624) under same title and that it

"Ought to pass" Reports were read and accepted and the new drafts ordered printed under Joint Rules.

Ought to Pass **Printed Bills**

Mr. Carville from the Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game re-ported "Ought to pass" on Bill "An Act relating to Transportation of Deer Beyond Limits of the State" (H. P. 826) (L. D. 529)

Same gentleman from same Committee reported same on Re-solve Establishing the Opening Date for Fishing for White Perch in Wassookeag Lake in Dexter in the county of Penobscot (H. P. 829) (L. D. 530)

Reports were read and accepted, and the Bill and Resolve having already been printed, the Bill was read twice under suspension of the rules, the Resolve read once, and tomorrow assigned.

Ought to Pass with Committee Amendment

Mrs. Hatch from the Committee on Public Health on Bill "An Act relating to Persons Maintaining Children's Homes" (H. P. 1311) (L. D. 890) reported " "Ought to pass" as amended by Committee Amend-

ment "A" submitted therewith. Report was read and accepted, and the Bill, having already been printed, was read twice under suspension of the rules.

Committee Amendment "A" read by the Clerk as follows:

Committee Amendment "A" to H. P. 1311, L. D. 890, Bill "An Act Re-lating to Persons Maintaining Chil-dren's Homes."

Amend said Bill by inserting be-fore the period at the end of the 20th line of said Bill the following underlined words: ', or the insurance commissioner shall, if requested, direct such inspection to be made in accordance with section 21 of chapter 85'

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted, and tomorrow assigned for third reading of the Bill.

Mr. Webber from the Committee on Public Health on Bill "An Act relating to the Licensing of Eating and Lodging Places" (H. P. 1310) (L. D. 889) reported "Ought to pass" as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted therewith.

Report of the Committee was accepted, and the Bill, having already been printed, was read twice under suspension of the rules.

Committee Amendment "A" read

by the Clerk as follows: Committee Amendment "A" to H. P. 1310, L. D. 889, Bill "An Act Relating to the Licensing of Eating and Lodging Places" and Lodging Places.

Amend said Bill by striking out the last two lines thereof and inserting in place thereof the follow-ing underlined words: 'from any homes boarding children exclusively and which are licensed under the provisions of sections 243 and 244 of this chapter.

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted, and tomorrow was assigned for third reading of the Bill.

On motion by Miss Longstaff of Crystal, House Rule 25 was suspended for the remainder of today's session, in order to permit smoking.

Passed to be Engrossed

Bill "An Act Increasing the Salary of the County Attorney of Washington County" (S. P. 63) (L. D. 38)

Bill "An Act relating to the Salary of the County Attorney of Hancock County" (S. P. 88) (L. D. 114)

Bill "An Act to Establish the Town of Lincoln Municipal Court" (H. P. 1592) (L. D. 1260)

Resolve Reallocating Road Re-solve Money Previously Allocated to Road in Damariscotta (H. P. 213) (L. D. 1258)

Resolve to Provide for Reimbursing the town of Hermon for Emergency Road Work (H. P. 218) (L. D. 1259)

Resolve in favor of the town of

Patten (H. P. 1607) (L. D. 1261) Resolve in favor of the town of Montville (H. P. 1608) (L. D. 1262) Were reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, Bills read the third time, Resolves read the second time, all passed to be engrossed and sent to the Senate.

Bill "An Act Increasing the Sal-Western Washington Municipal Court" (S. P. 115) (L. D. 231) Bill "An Act Increasing the Sal-

ary of the Judge of the Calais Municipal Court" (S. P. 162) (L. D. 401)

Bill "An Act to Provide a Town Council and Manager Form of Gov-

ernment for the town of Old Or-chard Beach" (H. P. 20) (L. D. 11) Were reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, read the third time, passed to be en-grossed as amended and sent to the Senate.

Tabled

Bill "An Act Appropriating Moneys for Anticipated Overdrafts in the Department of Institutional Service Due to Insufficient Appro-priations" (H. P. 1139) (L. D. 839) (Was reported by the Committee

on Bills in the third reading, and on motion by Mr. Patterson of Freeport, tabled pending third reading)

Bill "An Act relating to Removal of Superintendents of State Insti-

Bill "An Act to Incorporate the Town of Strong School District" (H. P. 1279) (L. D. 947)

Amended Bill

Bill "An Act relating to Statute of Frauds" (H. P. 1394) (L. D. 1012) Was reported by the Committee on Bills in the Third Reading, read the third time, passed to be engrossed as amended and sent to the Senate.

Passed to Be Enacted **Emergency Measure**

An Act to Incorporate the Town of Easton School District (H. P. 853) (L. D. 508)

Was reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed. This being an emergency measure and a twothirds vote of the entire elected membership of the House being necessary, a division was had. 135 voted in favor of same and none against, and accordingly, the Bill was passed to be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Passed to Be Enacted

An Act relating to Disposal of Poll-taxes Collected in Unincorpo-rated Places (S. P. 117) (L. D. 293) An Act relating to Fire Wardens in Organized Towns (S. P. 141) (L.

D. 336)

An Act to Validate the Use of Check Marks in Elections (S. P. 144) (L. D. 339)

An Act relating to Permanent School Fund (S. P. 166) (L. D. 427) An Act relating to Trial Justices (S. P. 168) (L. D. 425)

An Act relative to Hearings Conducted by the Secretary of State (S. P. 207) (L. D. 579)

An Act Amending the Acts Which Constitute the Charter of Colby College, Formerly Colby University (S. P. 209) (L. D. 578)

An Act relating to Insane Criminals (S. P. 211) (L. D. 576) An Act relating to Unredeemed

Tickets on Pari Mutuel Pools (S. P. 212) (L. D. 575)

An Act Amending the Charter of the town of Rumford (S. P. 214) (L. D. 573)

An Act relating to Business Hours in State Liquor Stores (S. P. 265) (L. D. 727)

An Act relating to Disposition of Fees for Certificates of Approval of Manufacturers and Foreign Whole-salers of Malt Liquor (S. P. 266) (L. D. 728)

An Act relating to Hours of Sale of Liquor (S. P. 306) (L. D. 787) An Act relating to Taxation of Imported Cigarettes (H. P. 23) (L. D. 13)

An Act relating to Rental for the Old Town Municipal Court (H. P. 235) (L. D. 164)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be en-acted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Tabled

An Act relating to Local Option Provisions (H. P. 355) (L. D. 225) (Was reported by the Committee

on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, and on motion by Mr. DeSanctis of Madison, tabled pending passage to be enacted, and assigned for later in today's session).

An Act relating to the Northern Aroostook Municipal Court (H. P. 660) (L. D. 436)

An Act relating to Rental for the Millinocket Municipal Court (H. P. 823) (L. D. 476)

An Act relating to Penalty for Failure to Report After Motor Vehi-cle Accidents (H. P. 831) (L. D. 480)

An Act to Amend the Act Pro-viding for the Retirement of Fire-men in the city of Augusta Upon Half Pay (H. P. 848) (L. D. 504)

An Act Providing for the Peram-bulation of the Maine and New Hampshire Boundary Line (H. P. 850) (L. D. 506)

An Act relating to the Town Manager Form of Government for the town of Camden (H. P. 852) (L. D. 515)

An Act relating to Penalty for Town Officers' Neglect in Fire Pre-vention (H. P. 856) (L. D. 511)

An Act relating to Filling of Va-Superintending cancies in the School Committee in the town of Fairfield (H. P. 859) (L. D. 514)

An Act relative to the Westbrook Municipal Court (H. P. 915) (L. D. 543)

An Act relating to Passing Motor Vehicles on the Right (H. P. 922) (L. D. 545)

An Act relating to the City of Brewer High School District (H. P. 931) (L. D. 548)

An Act relating to Revocation of Hunting Licenses (H. P. 975) (L. D. 633)

An Act relating to Passing of Motor Vehicles (H. P. 976) (L. D. 632)

An Act Designating Through Ways (H. P. 977) (L. D. 631) An Act relating to Liquor Com-

mission Inspectors (H. P. 1073) (L. **D.** 670)

An Act to Prevent the Employ-

ment of Minors on Premises Where Liquor to be Consumed on the Premises is Sold (H. P. 1227) (L. D. 751)

An Act relating to Manufacturing Liquor (H. P. 1228) (L. D. 752) An Act relating to Transfer of Liquor Licenses (H. P. 1229) (L. D. $75\bar{3}$

An Act Amending the Charter of the city of Brewer relative to Election Date and Filing of Petitions

(H. P. 1288) (L. D. 942) An Act Repealing the law relating to Prior Convictions in Offenses Against the Liquor Law (H. P. 1477) (L. D. 1091)

An Act relating to Income Ac-cruing to the State (H. P. 1478) (L. **D.** 1092)

An Act Compensating Gardiner G. Deering of Bath, for Duties as A. Becorder (H. P. 1530) (L. D. 1112) An Act relating to Civil Service Rules for Police and Fire Departments (H. P. 1532) (L. D. 1113)

Were reported by the Committee on Engrossed Bills as truly and strictly engrossed, passed to be en-acted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

Orders of the Day

The SPEAKER: Under Orders of the day, the Chair lays before the House the first tabled and today assigned matter, Resolve Proposing an Amendment to the Constitution Providing for Additional Signers in Petitions for Referendum. (S. P. 107) (L. D. 238) tabled on March 5th by the gentleman from Boothbay Harbor, Mr. Perkins, pending final passage; and the Chair recognizes that gentleman.

Mr. PERKINS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I want to give you very briefly my reason for voting against this Constitutional amendment at this time.

Our forefathers, in adopting our Constitution, provided a method for the amendment of that document. First, a resolve proposing an amendment \mathbf{to} the constitution must pass both houses of the Legislature by two-thirds vote; second, it must be accepted by the people. But first, before the resolve goes to the people, we must determine under our oaths as members of this House of the Legislature whether there is any necessity for any such change, whether there is any public demand for it.

I do not believe that the Con-

stitution should be amended lightly. Some states provide that a resolution amending the Constitution must pass two successive legisla-tures. It is a solemn matter to amend our Constitution, and, not seeing any demand or any reason impelling for use to propose this amendment, I shall vote "No" upon the pending question.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Cape

Elizabeth, Mr. Chase. Mr. CHASE: Mr. Speaker, in view of the fact that this proposed amendment in its earlier stages commanded the support of a substantial majority of this House al-though lacking the necessary two-thirds vote for enactment, it seems to me that further discussion is appropriate at this time. I think the public concern, or the public de-mand, to use the gentleman's mand, to use the gentleman's words, is given some color of support by the vote which it did receive by a majority of the people's

One of the greatest threats to our form of government is the growing power of organized minorities. From its very inception, the referendum has been for the most part the weapon of a minority. When it was adopted in 1908 at a voted, only 53,785 people wanted the referendum at all. Twenty-four thousand voted against it. Only 55 per cent of the people voting, not all the voters, but the people voting voted at all.

It may be interesting to review as briefly as I can the history of ref-erendum elections in this State. This does not include the public votes taken on constitutional amendments but the list does include every referendum election where the Legislature has called a referendum or where it has been

invoked by petition. In 1910—this was in the first two years of the referendum-the referendum was invoked on an Act to Divide the Town of York. It was also invoked on An Act Relating to Reconstruction of Portland Bridge, and although in that election 141,-000 people voted only 50,000 people voted one way or the other on this measure.

On An Act to make Uniform Standard Relating to Percentage of Alcohol, in that same year, approximately one-half of the people voted one way or another.

In a special election which was called to provide for nomination of candidates by primary election, only 86,000 people out of 141,000 voting in the election at that time voted at all.

466

On the right of women to vote for presidential electors, which was held at a regular election in 1920 with 205,000 people voting, only 118,000 voted one way or the other. On the special election when cor-

On the special election when corporate Dexter P. Cooper of the Guoddy Corporation had his bill before the people less than 60,000 people voted at that time although there were in the State 300,000 voters.

In 1927, a bill was initiated to repeal the direct primary law. The bill called for the return to the old convention system without any change or reformation or improvement, and a special election was called on that bill. In the previous year 181,000 people had voted in this State, but on that act to repeal the direct primary and go back to the convention system as it was with no referendum whatsoever, only 57,000 people voted one way or the other.

In 1928, at a regular election on an act relating to the excise tax on railroads, which was well-publicized and upon which the people were well informed, there was a substantial vote; about 170,000 out of 215,000 voted one way or the other.

In 1929, on the referendum relative to the sale of surplus hydroelectric power or to modify the Fernald Act, with 213,000 people having voted in the latest election less than 120,000 voted one way or the other, and the opposition which defeated the bill was only 63,000 voters, which was about twenty per cent of the voters of the State at that time. And in the same election there was a vote on the increase on the tax on gasoline which the voters defeated.

Now I was in that Legislature in 1929, and the program which was evolved by a compromise in the Legislature was between those who wanted a big bond issue and those who wanted to pay as you go, and we finally found a mutual ground, we thought, by which we would shorten the term of the bond issue and make its retirement more rapid, and we would increase the gas tax, which was what the pay-as-you-go people wanted to do. That was a compromise program. The bond is sue had to go to the people as a

constitutional amendment; the referendum was invoked on the increased gasoline tax, and the result was that the people defeated the gas tax and the whole financial program of the Legislature with respect to highways was upset. The people approved the bonds and refused to pass the bill which was to provide the money to pay the bonds.

the money to pay the bonds. On the special election called in 1931 on the so-called Code Bill, an act relating to the administration of the State, only 71,000 people voted. At the regular election in 1932, however, we find that an act relative to the tax on gasoline was defeated by 195,000 to 24,000.

An act creating the Deer Isle-Sedgewick Bridge District, which involved the credit of the State in the opinion of the attorneys who gave an opinion on the bond issue, that was a referendum in which less than 30,000 people voted at all.

On the act to provide for Old Age Assistance, in 1927, when about 300,000 people had voted in the State in the previous year, only 120,000 people voted two to one against it, because it was a tax measure.

At a special election called in 1941 on a referendum imposing an additional gasoline tax, only 46,000 people voted in the entire State, something over two to one against it.

Now I think those figures show that the referendum is a weapon ideally adapted to the use of organized minorities by which this Legislature is held constantly in fear of having its program upset.

The original bill called for 30,000 signatures being required on a referendum petition. That was cut down to ten per cent of the vote in the previous election, which means that from 18,000 to 25,000 signatures will be required.

Now to go back and recapitulate briefly: The direct primary was established in the State by thirty per cent, and so was the Public Utilities Commission. Only about twenty per cent of the voters voted on the repeal of the direct primary and the return to the convention system, only twenty per cent voted at all. Only thirty-nine per cent voted at all. Only thirty-nine per cent voted on power export, and only about twenty-two per cent voted against. Less than thirty per cent voted on the Code Bill.

Now how can the referendum be used as the weapon of an organized minority? We have frequently heard in this Legislature that no matter what tax bill we may pass, a referendum would be invoked against it, which means we really do not know whether or not we have any money here to spend.

When the initiative and referen-dum was adopted in the Legislature in 1907, the Legislature usually ad-journed before April 1st, and it is perfectly apparent that the whole timing of the referendum provision was based upon the expectation existing at that time that the Legislature would get through by April 1st, that the 90 days required for the petitions to invoke the referen-dum would have to be in by June 1st, and that the sixty days requirement for calling the election would expire before the regular election was held for the election of Gov-ernor in September. That whole timing has been thrown out by the fact that this Legislature is now wondering if it will get home by May 1st. If it is May 1st, and the opposition to any bill passed here has 90 days to file a petition and files it on the last day, that refer-endum cannot be held at the regular September election, which means lar September election, which means that the number of people voting on it will be small and the oppor-tunity of an organized minority opposition will be very greatly in-creased. Now if on these petitions for referendum, which, under those conditions, cannot be held at the regular September election, the pe-titioners ask the Governor to call regular September election, the pe-titioners ask the Governor to call a special election, he has to do it. He has an option otherwise to car-ry it over to the next statewide election, but if they ask for a special election he has to call it, which means another situation where a very small minority in opposition to any measure here can upset everything we do. And that is a weapon which is going to be used and which can be invoked today with 10,000 signatures - 10,000, a number which was taken when the women did not vote and when the means of transportation were entirely different from what they are today; and this proposes to only go up from that figure to from 18,000 to 25,000, or ten per cent of the previous vote.

Now that is a weapon which ought not to be lying around in these times of minorities which frequently have a purpose far less patriotic than they used to have when they were talking about the rights of the minority. And so I say that the referendum established by a minority has been the weapon for the most part of organized minorities ever since. It can be invoked lightly and easily; it can be the means of disruption and destruction of representative government. Gentlemen, throughout the his-

Gentlemen, throughout the history of the world, wherever Freedom has seen the light — and those occasions have been rare indeed in the recorded history of government —wherever Freedom has seen the light, it has always been associated with free enterprise and with representative government. Democracy, popular government, has been on trial throughout history. We cannot say today that it has been successful. We are today the only survivor of a long history of government by the people which has fallen by their own excesses. Representative government has been, throughout history, the only salvation and the only implement of action by free men, and the best that can be said for the people in the light of history is that they usually act wisely when they know what they are doing. The referendum elections have frequently been invoked in situations where the people did not know what they were doing, when they would have been better advised and I believe would have been more glad to leave the decision to their own representatives in this Legislature.

Now this is a step, a very short step, back toward the restoration of representative government. It still leaves the referendum easy to invoke, but it makes it a little more difficult for a mere handful, a mere organized minority, to invoke it. I hope this measure will command the affirmative vote of two-thirds of the members voting.

the members voting. The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. McGlauflin.

Mr. McGLAUFLIN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House. I regret exceedingly that my friend, the gentleman from Boothbay Harbor (Mr. Perkins) cannot go along with me on this matter. I say that because I have a very high opinion of his opinion. I think he is one of the most valuable men in this Legislature. But the argument that he has put up to you I myself have used many times on the floor of this House: that you should find that it is necessary before you vote to change the Constitution. But I myse f find the necessity here now.

The gentleman from Cape Elizabeth (Mr. Chase) has just presented to you a most able argument that shows the necessity of this thing.

I debated this matter before you the other day. I want to say to you ladies and gentlemen of this House that I have had a great deal of experience in the matter of debate and argumentation. I know when an argument is sound, and I am telling you now that the argument which is put up by the gentleman from Cape Elizabeth (Mr. Chase) is sound, and I am going to present, repeating a little bit, another sound argument why we should change this Constitution.

As was pointed out, when this matter was adopted for ten thousand names we did not have the women's vote—and bear in mind that the population of Maine has increased largely since that referendum was adopted. Now if it required ten thousand names when we had 125,000 voters or 142,000 voters it is just plain logic and common sense to say that when you have twice that number of voters there should be more names on that referendum.

A few moments ago I counted 146 school children in that gallery, and I venture to say that every last child up there would admit that the argument I am putting up this minute is sound.

Now if you members will vote according to sound judgment, logic and common sense, you will vote to have this amendment. But I have found from long experience that if you are prejudiced, that if you have made up your mind already, that you just won't go along. I might as vell argue to that wall as to talk to any of you, because I have never been able in my life but once to change a prejudiced vote, a prejudiced person. I did succeed once in getting Judge Emery of the Supreme Court to change his mind when he swore that he would not. (Laughter)

Now this requires a two-thirds vote. I know that most of you think the same way that I do. You so voted the other day. But, gentlemen, that is not enough. We have got to have a two-thirds vote. I have not the eloquence nor the ability to convince you, but it seems

to me that if you just use plain horse sense that you will realize that when it takes 10,000 for 142,-000 voters that when you have more than 300,000 voters you ought to have more names on a referendum.

The argument that has been put up to you or an organized minority, how they can do things, has been made very clear. Four years ago we had up before this Legislature the question of whether the Con-stitution should be amended to put the road tax on highways. I argued against that thing with all the ability that I possessed, and men in this House and men in the Senate -and I can give you their namestold me that I was absolutely right on my argument, but some of them said they did not dare to vote according to their convictions, and some of them told me that they had pledged themselves to vote before they thought anything about it and could not go back on their word. That thing was put through by an interested organization that had a lobbyist up here all winter. and a powerful and influential one at that, and, by that organization, they put it over this Legislature. It went to the people and the people did not know anything about it, they had never heard the arguments against it, and they voted for it.

We are trying to do something at this Legislature. If we go home and do not pass the measures that we are supposed to, we will get it from one end of the State to the other that we were down here, a Legislature that did not do anything. If we are going to get any tax measure through, we have got to do it by a two-thirds vote or it will go back to a referendum and our efforts are killed so easy that it is fairly laughable. I am asking you members who use sound common sense to come up here and help us put this thing across.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Farmington, Mr. Mills.

Mr. MILLS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I hesitate to speak on the opposite side from my illustrious colleague and seatmate and also on the opposite side from the distinguished gentleman from Portland. However, it strikes me that the very able arguments which have been presented here this morning are arguments against the referendum, agi inst having any referendum at all.

It has been said that we should make it more difficult for the people to have a referendum. I say to you that you will make it more dif-ficult for some people to have the referendum if you pass this, but you will not make it more difficult for the people in organized minorities, against whom they have been speaking. Only yesterday, at the town meeting down in my home town, I was approached by a gentleman with a petition for an ini-tiated bill, and with the petition tiated bill, and with the petition was a letter from a well-known union buster in Portland who was seeking to initiate certain legislation, and that letter said that the man would have ten cents a signature for all signatures which he secured on this initiated bill, with a kitty behind them of probably ten thousand dollars, buying signatures at ten cents apiece.

Now the gasoline companies, the retail merchants and others won't have any difficulty in getting sufficient votes together to get a petition, whether it is 18,000 or 25,000, if they want a referendum on bills which we pass, but the poorer people, the people who cannot organize their financial interests in such a way, groups like the grange who do not put out large sums of money to influence legislation, will be cut off by this measure.

Remember, it was only a few years ago that the Grange ini-tiated or attempted to initiate a certain bill, and they failed for lack of securing sufficient signatures. I venture to say that if the solicitors for signatures had been receiving ten cents apiece they would have had no difficulty in securing them.

So by passing this bill you will not be taking the referendum away from these organized minoritiesand that has been the argument that has been presented, that you will be able to do it all right; but the less organized the groups, less articulate minority, people who have been doing a lot of thinking, on issues, will find it impossible.

I wish to point out one of the impracticable things in the way the bill is set up as amended, and that is that the number required is based upon ten per cent of the vote cast in the last preceding guber-natorial election. Some years, in a presidential year particularly, we get a very large vote in the guber-We natorial election, somewhere over natorial election, somewhere tra-230,000. Then your required signa-tures during the next biennium would be 23,000 or 25,000, something like that. In an off year the guber-tration into a former to 175,000 natorial vote drops down to 175,000 ments for a referendum would be ten per cent of that figure, which would be considerably less.

So I say if you are against the referendum, if you are against the initiative and those forms of democratic expression, go ahead and pass this measure, but if you are pass this measure, but if you are for keeping it for the people where the people can use it, vote "No" on this measure.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Lewis-ton, Mr. Jalbert. Mr. JALBERT: Mr. Speaker and

Members of the House: The thought just occurred to me that since 1936, according to the figures used by me when I was speaking last week, that tion has dropped off since 1936 from 320,000 to 179,000 this last year. This bill calls for ten per cent of the vote. According to the rate we are going down now, we might as well leave it as it is, because 10,-000, will be sufficient anyway.

The SPEAKER: The Chair rec-

ognizes the gentleman from Rock-land, Mr. Sleeper. Mr. SLEEPER: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I wish to have that one reason that people have that one reason that I took this monitor's job was so that peo-ple would not know how I voted. For that reason, I am going to tell you that on this measure I will vote "No." I will not duck behind the fact I am a monitor.

My reasons for voting "No" are several. The arguments of the proponents of the measure seem to convince me that for that reason I should vote "No." I cannot agree by any means that the referendum is the weapon of the majority: I would say very definitely that the referendum is the shield of the minority.

proponent of the bill Another mentioned that fact that this Legislature could easily be influenced by powerful lobbies to pass certain measures and then those same powerful interests could influence the vote on a referendum. For that reason, I would say again that we should make this referendum more

easily obtainable for the minority. I still insist the referendum is not a weapon; it is the shield of the minority, and let us not take it away.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Haskell. Mr. HASKELL: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It seems to me thet it might be well to keep

me that it might be well to keep in mind exactly what a referendum is under our Constitution. It is an objection by the people to some act or resolve that is passed by the Legislature. It may be put to a special election or to a general election. As long as it may be submitted to a special election, it seems to me only fair that the people who are objecting should have to convince one voter in ten that that measure should go to the people. I do not think it is a question of its being a shield to the minority or a threat by the minority: I think it is entirely proper that the people should have a check on the Legislature. At the same time, before groups subject the State to the expense of a special referendum election, it does seem to me they should show by their petition that one voter in ten, a very small minority, that one voter in ten feels there is objection to some measure. I see no harm in the passage of this act. The SPEAKER: The Chair recog-

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Greenville, Mr. Rollins.

Mr. ROLLINS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I fail to feel that it is important to weigh the expense possibly of a special election against taking the rights away from the people. I believe that the people have a right to speak, and in the passage of this bill you are making it more difficult for them to speak. I have always said, and I say again, that the least represented in the State of Maine are the people. I hope the bill does not receive passage. The SPEAKER: The Chair recog-

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Woodland, Mr. Brown.

Mr. BROWN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: I want to go on record before this House as supporting the gentleman from Boothbay Harbor (Mr. Perkins) in his stand on this measure.

It seems to me, if I recall history right, our past two wars have been fought to defend the rights of minorities. Are we in this State go-

ing to forget about the rights of so many of our young men who lie buried in foreign soils and who died protecting the rights of minorities in these wars across the sea? I want to say that I am surprised, this being my first time in the Legisla-ture in the State of Maine, to hear the statements made here that we are afraid of minorities here in the State of Maine, this State that we are all so proud to live in. I am not afraid of any minority organ-ization that exists in this State. I be think this referendum should kept so that if there are any questions that we feel should be sub-mitted to the people of the State that the requirements will not be so high that it would be impossible for them to circulate those petitions to submit the matter back to the people. Let us allow the people in the State of Maine to decide these issues and not be afraid to submit them to them.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Bickford.

Mr. BICKFORD: Mr. Speaker, this is my initiation. Why not pass along all important bills to referendums? I wonder if that would be good legislation. Now it seems to me that we are sent here to represent the people, and if a small minority can change over our judgment I should say we had better stay at home.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Chelsea, Mr. Harris.

Mr. HARRIS: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: It would seem to me that if back in 1%08 ten thousand signers would be needed, then it would be only common sense that we should have twenty-five thousand now.

The gentleman from Farmington (Mr. Mills) spoke about the Grange being unable to get ten thousand signers for a measure. Now I am a Granger and Master of a Grange now, and I am quite sure that if the Grange as a body were behind that they could have easily got ten thousand names. I think this measure should pass and that now we should have twenty-five thousand signers for a referendum.

The SPEAKER: Is the House ready for the question? The question before the House is on the final passage of Resolve, Proposing an Amendment to the Constitution Providing for Additional Signers in

Petitions for Referendum. (S. P. Petitions for Referencian. (S. F. 107) (L. D. 238). This being a Con-stitutional amendment, it requires two-thirds vote of the House. All those in favor of final passage of the resolve will please rise and remain standing until counted and the monitors have the monitors have made and returned the count.

A division of the House was had. The SPEAKER: Ninety-two hav-ing voted in the affirmative and forty-five in the negative, and ninety-two being more than two-thirds, the Constitutional amendment has received final passage. It will be signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

The SPEAKER: The Chair now lays before the House the second tabled and today assigned matter, "An Act Guaranteeing Loans for Veterans." (S. P. 77) (L. D. 104) tabled on March 7th by the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Bowker, pending passage to be enacted. The Chair recognizes the gentle-

man from Portland, Mr. Meloon.

Mr. MELOON: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: This bill was tabled by me on March 6th, and in my absence on the 7th was re-tabled by Mr. Bowker in my behalf. I originally tabled the bill for further scrutiny of the phraseology of an amendment adopted thereto, and, having found it entirely satisfactory, Mr. Speaker, I now move this bill be passed to be enacted. Thereupon the bill was passed to

be enacted, signed by the Speaker and sent to the Senate.

On motion by Mr. Bell of Thomaston, the House voted to take from the table the eighth tabled and un-assigned matter, Bill "An Act to Prohibit Music, Dancing, or Enter-tainment on Certain Premises Li-censed for the Sale of Liquor." (H. P. 1099) (L. D. 674) In the House read twice and tabled on February 27th by that gentleman pending third reading.

The SPEAKER: The Chair recognizes the gentleman from Thomas-ton, Mr. Bell.

Mr. BELL: Mr. Speaker, I now offer House Amendment "A." This amendment has the approval of the sponsor of this bill, the gentleman from Auburn, Mr. Williams.

House Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows: House Amendment "A" to H. P.

1099, L. D. 674, Bill "An Act to Pro-

hibit Music, Dancing or Entertain-

ment on Certain Premises Licensed for the Sale of Liquor." Amend said Bill by inserting in the 5th line thereof, after the word "No", the underlined word 'fulltime'

Further amend said Bill by adding at the end of that part desig-nated "Sec. 37." the following underlined paragraph:

'Nothing in this section shall be held to prevent the commission from issuing part-time summer li-censes subject to such rules and regulations as it shall deem necessary. No such part-time summer licensee shall permit music, dancing or entertainment on licensed prem-ises unless the licensee shall have obtained a permit from the comobtained a permit from the com-mission therefor. The fee for a part-time summer amusement per-mit shall be \$10 per license year and may be revoked by the commission at any time when it appears to the commission that the use of the permit by the licensee has resulted in disorder, disturbance or illegality or that the premises are not adequately policed, and no such permit shall be issued by the commission unless the applicant shall have first obtained the approval of the municipal officers of the municipality in which the li-censed premises are situated.'

House Amendment "A" was adopted and the bill was given its third reading and passed to be en-grossed as amended and sent up for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. DeSanctis of Madison, the House voted to take from the table "An Act relating to Local Option Provisions (H. P. 355) (L. D. 225) tabled by that gentleman earlier in today's session, pending passage to be enacted.

On further motion by the same gentleman, under suspension of the rules, the House voted to reconsider its former action whereby the bill was passed to be engrossed on February 28th.

Mr. DeSanctis then offered House "A" and moved its Amendment adoption.

House Amendment "A" was read by the Clerk as follows:

House Amendment "A" to H. P. 355, L. D. 225, Bill "An Act Relat-ing to Local Option Provisions." section 2 by inserting in the sixth

Amend the sixth paragraph of line thereof, after the word "carry",

the words 'in accordance with the petition either or both of'. House Amendment "A"

was adopted and the bill was passed to be engrossed as amended in nonconcurrence and sent up for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Allen of Port-land, the House voted to take from the table the second tabled and un-assigned matter, Bill "An Act Relat-ing to Control of Rentals." (H. P. 1173) (L. D. 742) reference to Com-Judiciary suggested; mittee \mathbf{on} tabled on February 13th by that gentleman pending reference. The SPEAKER: The Chair recog-

nizes the gentleman from Portland, Mr. Allen.

Mr. ALLEN: Mr. Speaker and Members of the House: Discussion on the floor is not usual at this stage of a legislative bill, namely pending reference to a committee. but I would like to say just a word or two of explanation on this rent control bill which I filed here in

the Legislature this year. This bill was filed because of the fact that the Federal government was not certain what it wanted to do in Washington, and I felt as I did last July when I introduced a rent control bill in the Special Session, that Maine's No. 3 necessity of life was certainly of vital concern to the people of this State. There-fore I brought into the Legislature in January the same bill which was reported out of committee in a redraft last July, a bill which at that time was an emergency measure when the country was certainly in a state of uncertainty on rent control, and a bill which was passed by a roughly 73 to 15 vote in favor because it had an emergency enactor on it.

This bill is simply a rent control measure, it is not an emergency enactor, but because of the fact that usually reliable sources which I have contacted in Washington have advised me that there is certainly some question as to what the Federal government is going to do on rent control, there is a very definite possibility that rent control may be turned back to the states, and because of that fact I also found out in Washington that the Federal government is definitely interested in finding the sentiment in the states for rent control, I now move you, Mr. Speaker, that this Bill Re-lating to Control of Rentals be referred to the Committee on Judiciary.

The motion prevailed, and the bill was referred to the Committee on Judiciary and sent up for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Farmington, the House \mathbf{M} ills of voted to take from the table the 17th tabled and unassigned matter, Bill "An Act to Enforce Unfair Sales Practices in Cigarettes" (H. P. 1354) (L. D. 955) which in House was read the third time and tabled on March 11th by that gentleman pending passage to be engrossed.

Mr. Mills then offered Amendment "A" and mov House moved its adoption.

House Amendment "A" was read

by the Clerk as follows: House Amendment "A" to H. P. 1354, L. D. 955, Bill "An Act to Enforce Unfair Sales Practices in Cigarettes.

Amend the title to said Bill so that it shall read as follows: 'An Act Relating to Unfair Sales Practices in Cigarettes.

House Amendment "A" was adopt-ed and the bill was passed to be engrossed as amended and sent up for concurrence.

The House is The SPEAKER: proceeding under Orders of the Day.

If there is no further business to come before the House, the Clerk will read the notices.

On motion by Mr. Brown of Milford.

Adjourned until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.