

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

Ninetieth Legislature

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE



1941

KENNEBEC JOURNAL COMPANY

AUGUSTA, MAINE

SENATE

Friday, March 28, 1941

The Senate was called to order by the President.

Prayer by the Rev. L. L. Dunn of Gardiner.

Journal of yesterday read and approved.

Order**(Out of Order)**

On motion by Mr. Elliot of Knox, out of order and under suspension of the rules, it was

ORDERED, the House concurring, that when the Senate and House adjourn, they adjourn to meet on Monday, March 31st, 1941, at four o'clock in the afternoon. (S. P. 520) Sent down for concurrence.

Subsequently the foregoing order was returned from the House, having been read and passed in concurrence.

From the House:

"Resolve in Favor of the Heirs of Charles H. Scott." (S. P. 496) (L. D. 1023)

(In the Senate on March 21st, passed to be engrossed)

Comes from the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A" in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, under suspension of the rules, that Body voted to reconsider its former action whereby the resolve was passed to be engrossed; House Amendment "A" was read and adopted in concurrence and the bill as so amended was passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Authorize Adequate Court Facilities in Oxford County," (S. P. 231) (L. D. 391)

(In the Senate on March 20th passed to be engrossed.)

Comes from the House, indefinitely postponed in non-concurrence.

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Dow of Oxford, the bill was laid upon the table pending consideration.

Bill "An Act Relating to Signs on Pumps Dispensing Internal Combustion Fuels." (H. P. 1165) (L. D. 461)

(In Senate on March 26th the report of the committee "Ought Not to Pass" read and accepted in non-concurrence.)

Comes from the House that branch having insisted on its former action whereby the bill was re-committed to the Committee on Legal Affairs and asking for a committee of Conference; the Speaker having appointed as members of such a Committee on the part of the House:

Representatives:

RODRIGUE of Waterville
SMALL of East Machias
MURCHIE of Calais

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Elliot of Knox the bill was laid upon the table pending consideration.

Papers from the House referred in concurrence.

**House Committee Reports
Ought Not to Pass**

The Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on "Resolve in Favor of Pownal State School," (H. P. 1113) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The Committee on Federal Relations on Bill "An Act Providing for Disqualification for Benefits under the Unemployment Compensation Law," (H. P. 1348) (L. D. 801) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act Relating to Old Age Assistance," (H. P. 1574) (L. D. 925) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game on Bill "An Act Relative to Non-resident Fishing License Fees," (H. P. 1360) (L. D. 706) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The Committee on Judiciary on Bill "An Act for the Establishment of Highway Protective Areas," (H. P. 1385) (L. D. 813) reported that leave be granted to withdraw the same.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act Permitting Signboards Under Certain Conditions," (H. P. 1154) (L. D. 453) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The Committee on Labor on Bill "An Act Relating to Employment of Minors and Females," (H. P. 1435) (L. D. 752) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act Regulating Hours of Labor," (H. P. 1433) (L. D. 750) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act Relating to Employment of Females," (H. P. 1434) (L. D. 751) reported that the same ought not to pass.

Which reports were severally read and accepted in concurrence.

Ought to Pass

The Committee on Agriculture on Bill "An Act Relating to Pasteurized Milk," (H. P. 1509) (L. D. 853) reported that the same ought to pass.

The Committee on Agriculture on Bill "An Act Relating to the Sale of Nursery Stock," (H. P. 1334) (L. D. 792) reported that the same ought to pass.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act to Amend the Milk Control Board," (H. P. 1246) (L. D. 530) reported that the same ought to pass.

The Committee on Claims on "Resolve in Favor of A. R. Thompson," (H. P. 911) reported the same in a new draft (H. P. 1671) (L. D. 1021) under the same title and that it ought to pass.

The same Committee on "Resolve Granting Bonus to Harold E. Seavey, of Phippsburg," (H. P. 1086) (L. D. 1045) reported that the same ought to pass.

Which reports were severally read and accepted in concurrence, the bills and resolves read once, and under suspension of the rules were read a second time and passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

The Committee on Agriculture on Bill "An Act to Assure Proper Branding of Potatoes," (H. P. 1250) (L. D. 533) reported that the same ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted herewith.

The Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill "An Act to Amend the Charter of the City of Gardiner," (H. P. 833) (L. D. 347) reported that the same ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted herewith.

Which reports were severally read and accepted in concurrence, the bills read once, Committee Amendments "A" severally read and adopted in concurrence and the bills as so amended Monday next assigned for second reading.

The Committee on Public Utilities on Bill "An Act Creating the Limestone Water and Sewer District," (H. P. 1192) (L. D. 486) reported the same in a new draft (H. P. 1788) (L. D. 1049) under the same title and that it ought to pass.

In the House passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A".

In the Senate, the report was read and accepted in concurrence and the bill was given its first reading. House Amendment "A" was read and adopted in concurrence and the bill as so amended was Monday next assigned for second reading.

The same Committee on Bill "An Act Creating the Waldoboro Water District," (H. P. 1191) (L. D. 489) reported the same in a new draft (H. P. 1787) (L. D. 1042) under the same title and that it ought to pass.

In the House passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A".

In the Senate, the report was read and accepted in concurrence and the bill was given its first reading. House Amendment "A" was read and adopted in concurrence and the bill as so amended was Monday next assigned for second reading.

The Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Bill "An Act Relating to State Aid for Academies," (H. P. 792) (L. D. 307) reported that the same ought to pass.

In the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A".

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Fellows of Kennebec, the bill and report were laid on the table pending acceptance of the report in concurrence.

The Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game on Bill "An Act Relating to Bounty on Bears," (H. P. 1362) (L. D. 708) reported the same in a new draft (H. P. 1795) (L. D. 1052) under the same title and that it ought to pass.

In the House, passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A".

In the Senate, on motion by Mr. Boothby of Penobscot, the bill and

report were laid upon the table pending acceptance of the report in concurrence.

The Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill "An Act Relating to Town Reports," (H. P. 1443) (L. D. 757) reported that the same ought to pass.

In the House passed to be engrossed as amended by House Amendment "A".

In the Senate, the report was read and accepted in concurrence and the bill was given its first reading. House Amendment A was read and adopted in concurrence, and the bill as so amended was Monday next assigned for second reading.

Communication

STATE OF MAINE
Department of State

March 27, 1941.

To Royden V. Brown, Secretary of the Senate of the Ninetieth Legislature:

In compliance with Section 36 of Chapter 2 of the Revised Statutes, I hereby certify that in accordance with the provisions of Section 74 of Chapter 8 of the Revised Statutes a special election was held in Penobscot County on March 24, 1941, for the purpose of electing a State Senator to the Ninetieth Legislature to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Norman E. Whitney of Bangor; that at said election Harold L. Haskell of Lee was elected State Senator, as appears by the records of the Governor and Council under date of March 27, 1941.

That on this twenty-seventh day of March, 1941, the said Harold L. Haskell appeared before the Governor and Council and took and subscribed the oaths of office as required by the Constitution to qualify him to enter upon the discharge of his official duties.

(State Seal) In witness whereof, I have caused the Seal of the State to be hereto affixed this twenty-seventh day of March in the year of our Lord one thousand nine hundred and forty-one and of the Independence of the United States the one hundred and sixty-fifth.

FREDERICK ROBIE
Secretary of State

Which communication was read and ordered placed on file.

The President noted the presence in the Senate of the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Haskell, and on behalf of the Senate welcomed him to membership in the Senate, and requested the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Chamberlain to conduct him to his seat.

Thereupon Mr. Chamberlain of Penobscot conducted Senator Haskell to his seat, amid the applause of the Senate.

STATE OF MAINE

Office of the Secretary of State
Augusta, Maine.

March 26, 1941.

To the President of the Senate:

In compliance with the constitution and laws of the State of Maine I have the honor to herewith transmit the returns of the votes cast for State Senator from Penobscot County at a special election held in said county on March 24, 1941, to fill the vacancy caused by the resignation of Norman E. Whitney of Bangor.

Respectfully,

FREDERICK ROBIE

Secretary of State

Which communication and accompanying report were read and ordered placed on file.

First Reading of Printed Bills

Bill "An Act Regulating the Expenditure of the Potato Tax." (S. P. 514) (L. D. 1060)

Which bill was given its first reading and Monday next assigned for second reading.

**Senate Committee Reports
Final Reports**

Mr. Boothby from the Committee on Inland Fisheries and Game submitted its Final Report.

Mr. Stilphen from the Committee on Salaries and Fees submitted its Final Report.

Which reports were severally read and accepted.

Sent down for concurrence.

Ought Not to Pass

Mr. Harvey from the Committee on Judiciary on Bill "An Act Relating to Approval of Suits by Infants," (S. P. 307) (L. D. 514) reported that the same ought not to pass.

The same Senator from the same Committee on Bill "An Act Requiring Owners of Motor Vehicles to

Furnish Security for Their Civil Liability on Account of Damage Caused by Their Motor Vehicles," (S. P. 349) (L. D. 665) reported that the same ought not to pass.

(On motion by Mr. Boucher of Androscoggin, the bill was laid upon the table pending acceptance of the report.)

Miss Laughlin from the same Committee on Bill "An Act Relating to Incurable Insanity as a Cause for Which a Divorce May Be Granted," (S. P. 67) (L. D. 41) reported that the same ought not to pass.

Mr. Farris from the same Committee on Bill "An Act Creating a Bureau of the Treasury and Assigning Certain Duties Thereto," (S. P. 76) (L. D. 46) reported that the same ought not to pass.

Mr. Dow from the Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill "An Act Relating to Set-off Between the State and Cities and Towns," (S. P. 340) (L. D. 629) reported that the same ought not to pass.

(On motion by Mr. Chamberlain of Penobscot, the bill was laid upon the table pending acceptance of the report.)

Mr. Sanborn from the same Committee on Bill "An Act Relating to the Pauper Settlement of Recipients of Public Assistance," (S. P. 360) (L. D. 671) reported that the same ought not to pass.

Mr. Stiphen from the Committee on Salaries and Fees on Bill "An Act Relating to the Salaries of the County Attorney and Assistant County Attorney for Androscoggin County," (S. P. 398) (L. D. 643) reported that the same ought not to pass.

Which reports were severally read and accepted.

Send down for concurrence.

Ought to Pass

Mr. Harvey from the Committee on Judiciary on Bill "An Act Relating to the Personnel Law," (S. P. 74) (L. D. 50) reported that the same ought to pass.

Which report was read and accepted, the bill read once and tomorrow assigned for second reading.

Mr. Sanborn from the Committee on Legal Affairs on bill "An Act Relating to Notices of Caucuses," (S. P. 442) (L. D. 884) reported that the same ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted herewith.

Which report was read and accepted and the bill was given its first reading. Committee Amendment "A" was read as follows: "Committee Amendment A. Amend said bill by striking out all of the 2nd sentence thereof, which 2nd sentence begins in the 14th line of said bill with the words 'upon failure of the committee' and ends in the 25th line of said bill with the words 'as though presented by the town committee'".

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted and the bill as so amended was Monday next assigned for second reading.

Mr. Stiphen from the Committee on Salaries and Fees on Bill, "An Act Relating to Clerk Hire in the Office of Register of Deeds", (S. P. 400) (L. D. 641) reported that the same ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted herewith.

Which report was read and accepted and the bill was given its first reading. Committee Amendment "A" was read as follows: "Committee Amendment A. Amend said bill by striking out in the 5th line thereof the underlined figures '\$2800' and substituting therefor the underlined figures '\$2500'".

Committee Amendment "A" was adopted and the bill as so amended was Monday next assigned for second reading.

Mr. Sanborn from the Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill, "An Act Consolidating the Aviation Laws," (S. P. 440) (L. D. 888) reported the same in a new draft (S. P. 521) under the same title, and that it ought to pass.

Which report was read and accepted, and the bill in new draft laid upon the table for printing under the joint rules.

The Majority of the Committee on Judiciary on Bill "An Act Relating to Primary Nominations," (S. P. 318) (L. D. 527) reported that the same ought not to pass.

(signed) Senators:

FARRIS of Kennebec
HARVEY of York

Representatives:

McGLAUFN of Portland
GRUA of Livermore Falls
WILLIAMS of Bethel
MILLS of Farmington
BRIGGS of Hampden
HINCKLEY of South Portland

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter reported that the same ought to pass.

(Signed)

Senator LAUGHLIN of Cumberland
Representative PAYSON of Portland

On motion by Mr. Farris of Kennebec, the bill and accompanying reports were laid upon the table pending acceptance of either report.

The Majority of the same Committee on Bill "An Act Repealing the Personnel Board Law," (S. P. 333) (L. D. 904) reported that the same ought not to pass.

(signed) Senators:

LAUGHLIN of Cumberland
HARVEY of York

Representatives:

McGLAUF LIN of Portland
HINCKLEY of South Portland
GRUA of Livermore Falls
PAYSON of Portland
MILLS of Farmington
BRIGGS of Hampden

The Minority of the Same Committee on the same subject matter reported that the same ought to pass.

(signed)

Senator FARRIS of Kennebec

Representative

WILLIAMS of Bethel

On motion by Mr. Farris of Kennebec, the bill and accompanying reports were laid upon the table pending acceptance of either report.

Report "A" of the Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill "An Act Relating to Pauper Settlements," (S. P. 93) (L. D. 99) reported that the same ought to pass.

(Signed) Senators:

SANBORN of Cumberland
MORSE of Waldo

Representatives:

LaFLEUR of Portland
DWINAL of Camden
SYLVIA of Danforth
SOUTHARD of Augusta

Report "B" of the same Committee on the same subject matter reported that the same ought not to pass.

(Signed) Senator:

DOW of Oxford

Representative:

SHESONG of Portland

Report "C" of the same Committee on the same subject matter reported that the same ought to pass as amended by Committee Amendment "A" submitted herewith.

(Signed) Representatives:

SLOSBERG of Gardiner
DONAHUE of Biddeford

On motion by Mr. Morse of Waldo, the bill and accompanying reports were laid upon the table pending acceptance of any report.

Passed to Be Engrossed

"Resolve Relative to Open Season on Pheasants." (H. P. 811) (L. D. 324)

Bill "An Act Relative to Daily Limit on Pickerel." (H. P. 1356) (L. D. 702)

"Resolve Permitting the Building of a Fish Pond in Madison." (H. P. 1379) (L. D. 808)

Which bill and resolves were severally read a second time and passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

Bill "An Act Relating to Time of Filing by an Employing Unit under the Unemployment Compensation Act." (S. P. 456) (L. D. 938)

Which bill was read a second time and passed to be engrossed. Sent down for concurrence.

Passed to Be Enacted

Bill "An Act Relating to Appointments of Health Officers." (H. P. 1448) (L. D. 760)

Bill "An Act Regulating Airport Zoning." (H. P. 1745) (L. D. 1029)

Bill "An Act Relating to Town Meetings of Sanford." (H. P. 1746) (L. D. 1030)

Bill "An Act Relating to the Termination of Organization of Towns or Plantations." (H. P. 1747) (L. D. 1031)

Bill "An Act Providing for Collection of Taxes in Case of Death of the Collector of Taxes." (H. P. 1607) (L. D. 949)

Bill "An Act Relating to the Collection of County Taxes on Unincorporated Lands." (H. P. 303) (L. D. 510)

Bill "An Act Relating to the State Reformatory for Men." (S. P. 428) (L. D. 648)

Bill "An Act Providing for the Regulation of the Use of the Highways by Motor Vehicles Transporting Property for Hire." (S. P. 502) (L. D. 1025)

Finally Passed

"Resolve in Favor of the Propagation of Lobsters." (S. P. 190) (L. D. 290)

"Resolve in Favor of Miss Leila C. Gaines of Saco." (S. P. 495) (L. D. 1022)

"Resolve Appropriating Money to Repair Fishway at Aroostook Falls." (H. P. 461) (L. D. 165)

Emergency Measure

Bill "An Act Relative to Increase of the State Debt Limit." (H. P. 1706) (L. D. 1026)

On motion by Mr. Chase of Washington the bill was laid upon the table pending passage to be enacted.

Order**(Out of Order)**

On motion by Mr. Emery of Hancock, it was

ORDERED, that an invitation be extended to the Reverend Alfred G. Hempstead of Bucksport to officiate as Chaplain of the Senate on Tuesday, April 1, 1941.

Mr. CHASE of Washington: Mr. President, may I inquire if Legislative Document 1040 is in the possession of the Senate?

The PRESIDENT: The Chair will inform the Senator that Legislative Document 1040, bill, An Act to Establish a Sanitary Water Board and to Control, Prevent and Abate Pollution of Certain Waters in the State, is in the possession of the Senate.

Mr. CHASE: Mr. President, may I inquire as to the status of the bill at the present time?

The PRESIDENT: The Chair will inform the Senator that the bill has been passed to be engrossed.

Thereupon, on motion by Mr. Chase of Washington, the Senate voted to reconsider its action of yesterday whereby bill An Act to Establish a Sanitary Water Board and to Control, Prevent and Abate Pollution of Certain Waters in the State, (H. P. 1785) (L. D. 1040) was passed to be engrossed.

The same Senator presented Senate Amendment A and moved its adoption:—"Senate Amendment A to H. P. 1785, L. D. 1040. Amend said bill by adding at the end of Section 1 thereof the following:

"The Chief Sanitary Engineer of the Department of Health and Welfare shall serve as Technical Secretary of the Board; he shall receive no additional compensation for such services and during the interim between meetings of the Board he shall handle such correspondence, make or arrange for such inspection and investigation and obtain, assemble or prepare such reports and data as the Board may direct and authorize."

Thereupon, Senate Amendment A was adopted and the bill as so amended was passed to be engrossed in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

Orders of the Day

On motion by Mr. Chamberlain of Penobscot, the Senate voted to take from the table, bill, An Act Relating to the Apportionment of School Funds (H. P. 463) (L. D. 201) tabled by that Senator on March 27th pending assignment for second reading and today assigned; and on further motion by the same Senator, under suspension of the rules the bill was given its second reading and passed to be engrossed in concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Sanborn of Cumberland, the Senate voted to take from the table, bill, An Act Relating to Fees of Referees (H. P. 102) (L. D. 57) tabled by that Senator on March 27th pending second reading; and that Senator offered Senate Amendment "A" and moved its adoption:

"Senate Amendment 'A'. Amend said bill by adding after the word 'supreme' in the fifth line thereof the word 'judicial.' Further amend said bill by striking out in the sixth line thereof the words 'whether active or active retired justice.'"

Mr. SANBORN: Mr. President, it occurs to me that since this is a matter with which the legal profession might be supposed to be more familiar, that some word of explanation might be called for. It is, of course, to be regretted that occasions have arisen for the proposal of any legislation whatever having to do with this subject matter, but occasions appear to have arisen, growing out of circumstances over which the legislative department of the state certainly had no control.

In order that we may clearly un-

derstand the situation, I am reading the bill which is under consideration. It is one sentence only, and reads as follows: "No fee or compensation other than his necessary expenses shall be paid any justice of the supreme or of the superior court whether active or active retired justice, for his services as referee."

Now, parties to litigation have a right, under the Constitution, if the amount is over \$20 to have their cause tried by a jury. If either party to a cause insists upon the exercise of that right, it must be accorded them, but it happens in the conduct of litigation with great frequency, that both parties, under advice of their counsel, feel their interests would be equally well served and that a more expeditious and satisfactory result might be attained if the matter were not tried before a jury. And so our statute provides that referees may be appointed by the court for the purpose of hearing cases. The common method is that parties may say to the court, "We have agreed the case may be referred to," naming the person to whom it may be referred. Now a custom has grown up and seems to have largely extended itself, and that I believe is a custom for which attorneys themselves are particularly at fault, the custom of referring cases to members of the bar.

The statute provides that referees shall be paid for their services and it has been quite customary that an allowance of \$25.00 a day be allowed a referee, paid out of the county treasury. Now, it is in the long run a decided financial advantage to the county to have causes heard by referees because many of these cases are small cases, involving relatively insignificant matters, just matters of some fact to be proved or controverted. A referee can frequently hear a case in two or three hours and render a decision, the expense to the county being merely \$25.00, whereas if that case were heard before a jury it would mean a day at least for the jury and the cost of the jurors' fees, court officers' fees and all that, and would amount to substantially more than the \$25.00. So that the custom, as such, is to be commended.

This legislation.—I am not going to discuss the merits at all—but this seems to prevent causes being referred to the judges, themselves. A

reason for that, not to go further into it, a reason for that is that under our statutes there is a provision by which causes may be heard by the court without a jury. Now, when that method is agreed upon, the court, sitting as a court, hears the cause and hears it without intervention of a jury, and in that case no provision is made for compensation for him. His salary as justice is compensation for that service. It may be readily seen if the other method is invoked, instead of trying without jury, the salary taking care of the charge, the other method is invoked and referred to some person, he may, under the law, be paid his per diem out of the county treasury. In some quarters it has come to be thought to be undesirable and this act provides that judges of the supreme and superior courts, when acting as referees, shall receive no compensation other than expenses. In other words, it puts them on the same basis as would be the case if the cause were heard by the court without a jury. It will be eventually for the legislature to pass on the wisdom or non-wisdom of this legislation. I am not going to argue on that.

Now the amendment which is offered consists of two items. The first is purely formal and where it says in the bill "no compensation shall be paid to any Justice of the Supreme or Superior Court" it should be had in mind that under our Constitution we have no Supreme Court. There is in Maine no Supreme Court. The United States government at Washington has a Supreme Court. Ours is a Supreme Judicial Court. That item of the amendment is merely a formal amendment to conform to our general set-up.

The amendment further strikes out the words "whether active or active-retired" and there again it would appear that whoever drew the bill did not take into consideration the situation as it exists. Judges of the Supreme Judicial and Superior courts, while they are under commission and are acting, are judges although we may call them judges or justices as the case may be. The term "active-justice," so far as I know, has no place.

Under our law when a justice attains the age of seventy and has served one term he may retire and receive a pension. He is then known

as a retired justice. Now, when a justice has retired there is a further provision of the statute by which the Governor may designate him an Active-Retired Justice, the effect of which is to practically put him back in commission. He may be called upon by the Chief Justice in case of a shortage, because of illness of a justice or because of a justice being absent. He may be called upon and hold court and do the business he did as a judge.

So that we have justices, retired justices and active-retired justices and as a matter of fact at the present time I do not recall that there is more than one active-retired justice. I think Mr. Justice Morrill was designated as an active-retired justice. I do not recall that either of the recently retired Chief Justices, Chief Justice Barnes or Chief Justice Pattangall—they have both passed the age limit and have retired—I do not recall that they are active-retired justices.

Now, this amendment would simply eliminate the retired justices who are not under salary and who do not have service to perform and it means simply this, that the judges

who are in commission and whose business is to sit in court, to hold court and try cases, before whom cases may be heard without a jury, the effect of this amendment would be to provide that such judges would receive no extra compensation for sitting as referee.

I fear that I have extended my remarks perhaps at greater length than necessary, Mr. President, but I thought it well that the situation be clearly understood and, as I have said, I have not undertaken to argue the merits of the legislation itself.

The PRESIDENT: The question before the Senate is on the adoption of Senate Amendment A. Is the Senate ready for the question?

A viva voce vote was had.

Senate Amendment A was adopted and the bill as amended by Senate Amendment A was given its second reading and passed to be engrossed in non-concurrence.

Sent down for concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Elliot of Knox
Adjourned until next Monday
afternoon, March 31, 1941, at four
o'clock.