

MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the
LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD
OF THE
One Hundred And Thirteenth Legislature
OF THE
State Of Maine

VOLUME III

FIRST CONFIRMATION SESSION

August 21, 1987
Index

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

October 9, 1987 to October 10, 1987
Index

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION

October 21, 1987 to November 20, 1987
Index

SECOND REGULAR SESSION

January 6, 1988 to March 24, 1988

STATE OF MAINE
ONE HUNDRED AND THIRTEENTH LEGISLATURE
SECOND REGULAR SESSION
JOURNAL OF THE SENATE

In Senate Chamber
Tuesday
March 22, 1988

Senate called to Order by the President.

Prayer by Reverend Patton L. Boyle of the Christ Episcopal Church in Gardiner.

REVEREND BOYLE: Almighty God who is given us this land with all its beauty and resources, bless the people of our state that they may see the fields and mountains, lakes and streams, coast lands and ocean as part of Your creation and may use them in harmony with Your will. May we all be sensitive of the needs of those around us. That the desires of the strong and rich may not override the needs of the weak and poor. Guide our path into knowledge of Your truth, understanding of Your compassion and openness to Your love. Bless the Members of the Senate of this state, give them wisdom, knowledge and discernment that just and proper laws may be passed and that by honoring Your creation and serving Your people, this Body may be an instrument of Your will on earth as it is in heaven. Amen.

Reading of the Journal of Yesterday.

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE
Non-concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Promote Harmony between Agriculture and Adjacent Development and to Protect the Public Health, Safety and General Welfare" (Emergency)

H.P. 1842 L.D. 2522
(H "A" H-488)

In Senate, March 18, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-488), in concurrence.

Comes from the House PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-488) AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-505), thereto in NON-CONCURRENCE.

The Senate RECEDED and CONCURRED.

Off Record Remarks

Non-concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Revise the Energy Building Standards Act"

S.P. 958 L.D. 2539

In Senate, March 17, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Comes from the House Bill and Accompanying Papers INDEFINITELY POSTPONED in NON-CONCURRENCE.

On motion by Senator CLARK of Cumberland, Tabled until Later in Today's Session, pending FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

Non-concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Correct Inequities in the Maine State Retirement System"

S.P. 960 L.D. 2548

In Senate, March 18, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Comes from the House PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-507) in NON-CONCURRENCE.

The Senate RECEDED and CONCURRED.

House Papers

Bill "An Act to Compensate Municipalities for Land Used for Transmission Lines"

H.P. 1865 L.D. 2550

Comes from the House referred to the Committee on UTILITIES and ORDERED PRINTED.

Which was referred to the Committee on UTILITIES and ORDERED PRINTED, in concurrence.

COMMUNICATIONS

The Following Communication:

STATE OF MAINE
OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT
AUGUSTA, MAINE 04333

March 18, 1988

Honorable Joy J. O'Brien
Secretary of the Senate
State House Station 3
Augusta, Maine 04333

Dear Madam Secretary:

I have appointed John Pelletier to serve as my Legal Counsel for the remainder of the 113th Legislature. Mr. Pelletier will replace Michael Carpenter, who has resigned this position.

John will begin his tenure with the President's office beginning on Monday, March 21, 1988.

Please let me know if you have any questions about this appointment.

Sincerely,

S/Charles P. Pray
President of the Senate

Which was READ and ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

The Following Communication:

STATE OF MAINE
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
AUGUSTA 04333

March 21, 1988

Honorable Joy J. O'Brien
Secretary of the Senate
113th Legislature
Augusta, Maine 04333

Dear Madam Secretary:

The Speaker appointed the following conferees to the Committee of Conference on the disagreeing action of the two branches of the Legislature on Bill "An Act to Establish the Strategic Training for Accelerated Reemployment Program" (Emergency) (S.P. 946) (L.D. 2494):

Representative MCHENRY of Madawaska
Representative RAND of Portland
Representative WILLEY of Hampden

Sincerely,
S/Edwin H. Pert
Clerk of the House

Which was READ and ORDERED PLACED ON FILE.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

House
Ought Not to Pass

The following Ought Not to Pass Reports shall be placed in the Legislative Files without further action pursuant to Rule 15 of the Joint Rules:

Bill "An Act Concerning Liability for the Cost of Out-of-State Education for Special Needs Students"

H.P. 1561 L.D. 2128

Resolve, Authorizing Barry B. Tweedie to Bring Suit Against the State and the Town of Durham

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY - SENATE, MARCH 22, 1988

H.P. 1715 L.D. 2354

Change of Reference

The Committee on STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT on Bill "An Act to Make Changes in the Administration of the Maine State Retirement System"

H.P. 1764 L.D. 2417

Reported that the same be REFERRED to the Committee on AGING, RETIREMENT AND VETERANS.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill REFERRED to the Committee on AGING, RETIREMENT AND VETERANS.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill REFERRED to the Committee on AGING, RETIREMENT AND VETERANS, in concurrence.

Off Record Remarks

The Committee on STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT on Bill "An Act to Establish a System of Corporate Governance to Protect Employees and the Public from Corporate Lawbreakers and to Improve Compliance with Existing Civil and Criminal Laws"

H.P. 1790 L.D. 2451

Reported that the same be REFERRED to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill REFERRED to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill REFERRED to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION, in concurrence.

Ought to Pass

The Committee on AGRICULTURE on Bill "An Act to Provide Funds for the Seed Potato Breeding Program"

H.P. 1605 L.D. 2196

Reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

The Bill LATER TODAY ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

The Committee on JUDICIARY on Bill "An Act to Waive Filing Fees for the State in Asset Forfeiture Proceedings" (Emergency)

H.P. 1760 L.D. 2409

Reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

The Bill LATER TODAY ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

Ought to Pass in New Draft

The Committee on JUDICIARY on Bill "An Act Concerning High Speed Chases"

H.P. 1450 L.D. 1961

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft under same title.

H.P. 1864 L.D. 2552

Comes from the House, with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill in NEW DRAFT PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT READ ONCE.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT LATER TODAY ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

Divided Report

The Majority of the Committee on MARINE RESOURCES on Bill "An Act Concerning the Volunteer Marine Patrol Program"

H.P. 1465 L.D. 1976

Reported that the same Ought Not to Pass.

Signed:

Senator:

ESTES of York

Representatives:

HOLT of Bath

MITCHELL of Freeport

SCARPINO of St. George

CROWLEY of Stockton Springs

KETOVER of Portland

COLES of Harpswell

RUHLIN of Brewer

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Signed:

Senators:

TUTTLE of York

CAHILL of Sagadahoc

Representatives:

LOOK of Jonesboro

RICE of Stonington

SALSBURY of Bar Harbor

Comes from the House the Majority OUGHT NOT TO PASS Report READ and ACCEPTED.

Which Reports were READ.

Senator TUTTLE of York moved to ACCEPT the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Senator DILLENBACK of Cumberland requested a Division.

THE PRESIDENT: The pending question before the Senate is the motion of Senator TUTTLE of York to ACCEPT the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report.

A Division has been requested.

Will all those Senators in favor of the motion of Senator TUTTLE of York to ACCEPT the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report, please rise in their places and remain standing until counted.

Will all those opposed please rise in their places and remain standing until counted.

22 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 10 Senators having voted in the negative, the motion by Senator TUTTLE of York to ACCEPT the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report in NON-CONCURRENCE, PREVAILED.

Under suspension of the Rules, the Bill READ TWICE and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Under suspension of the Rules, ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

Divided Report

The Majority of the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION on Bill "An Act Concerning the Display of Dealer Markup Stickers by New Car Dealers"

H.P. 1708 L.D. 2345

Reported that the same Ought Not to Pass.

Signed:

Senators:

BALDACCI of Penobscot

WHITMORE of Androscoggin

Representatives:

REED of Falmouth
TELOW of Lewiston
HILLOCK of Gorham
STEVENS of Sabattus
LEBOWITZ of Bangor
SHELTRA of Biddeford

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Signed:

Senator:

BRANNIGAN of Cumberland

Representatives:

ALIBERTI of Lewiston
ALLEN of Washington
RACINE of Biddeford

Comes from the House the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Reports were READ.

Senator BALDACCI of Penobscot moved to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT NOT TO PASS Report in NON-CONCURRENCE.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Brannigan.

Senator BRANNIGAN: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I would hope you would reject the motion of accepting the Majority Ought Not to Pass Report. This Bill should pass. It is a very minor bill and maybe we do not want to deal with minor issues, however, we do deal with them all the time. The issue here this morning deals with the stickers you see on new cars. We are all familiar and have been for many, many years with the sticker, the large sticker that tells you everything about the car; what comes on it and the transportation costs and the bottom line cost. Now we have stickers in this state that are added when options are added and people have to make a decision about what those options are. It is my understanding that some dealers add a mark up on that sticker which is fine if they wish to do that. What that sticker is there to do is to tell you what is on the car, what has been added to the car that you are going to be paying for in addition to the big sticker. Now in the big sticker there is a dealer mark up. What the cost is is not what the cost was to the dealer. So there is where the dealer has his margin of giving you more on your car, or giving you a discount, or a thousand dollars off. That is fine. In this Bill nobody has asked what the dealer mark up is. That is up to the dealer.

If he is going to say that there is another mark up then I think and some of the members of the Committee feel that the additional mark up should be said additional so that people know that is not all that he is making, or all that he has to play with in talking with you in dickering. We like to dicker, no reason why we shouldn't dicker. We don't have to know all the facts, but we ought to know those facts that are additional and that is what this Bill is about. Thank you Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Baldacci.

Senator BALDACCI: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. We are not banning dickering and we are not banning stickers on automobiles for disclosure purposes to the consumer. What we are saying in the committee process is that there were no consumer complaints; the Attorney General's Office testified neither for nor against the Bill. There were no stories of noncompliance with the current law and no consumer complaints to the Committee. But the issue that was presented to the Committee was. I thought if we are going to be

protecting the consumers from themselves that we at least ought to have the Attorney General's Office work with the Dealers Association and they are working together on developing an advertising code of ethics. The Attorney General's Office is already engaged in that proceeding and the Committee has drafted a letter which if you would like I can read into the Record, but I will save the time. The letter is basically asking the Attorney General to work with the Dealers Association and report back to the Committee. We are not killing the issue, but we are continuing the process of working together in negotiations between different groups in the best interest of the consumer. I would hope that you would support the Majority Ought Not to Pass Report at this time. Thank you Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Androscoggin, Senator Whitmore.

Senator WHITMORE: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. In the hearing there was not a question of whether the additional mark up was listed on the small sticker - it is. The problem is that there are different terms that are being used. One dealer might put additional profit, another might put dealers margin and the term was not consistent. I wonder what we are doing to the free enterprise system when we talk about mandating that a standard term be used. I think that if it were any other item whether it be a piece of furniture or refrigerator or a house, regardless of what the item were, the dealer or the builder or whatever it might be can set his cost or price at whatever level he would like. If you don't want to pay that cost or if you don't want to pay that price, then by all means the free enterprise system says you move on down the road to the next dealer and you talk to that dealer and maybe they won't give you the amount you want for your vehicle as a trade in in the instance of a car, then if you are not happy with that, move on to the next dealer. That has been in existence since the first settlers came and began to trade with the Indians when they traded furs and bartered back and forth. That has been a process that has taken place in this country since the very beginning. I think to interrupt that is uncalled for. There has been no crying need for it, there were no consumer complaints, the Committee had their hearing and the majority of the Committee's feeling was that this Bill ought not to pass and therefore, Mr. President and ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, I hope you support that motion.

On motion by Senator BALDACCI of Penobscot, the Majority OUGHT NOT TO PASS Report was ACCEPTED in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Sent down for concurrence.

SECOND READERS

The Committee on Bills in the Second Reading reported the following:

House
Bill "An Act to Amend the Maine Business Corporation Act to Define the Liability of Directors and to Modernize Indemnification Provisions"

H.P. 1863 L.D. 2549

Which was READ A SECOND TIME.

On motion by Senator USHER of Cumberland, Senate Amendment "A" (S-348) READ and ADOPTED.

Which was PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Sent down for concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Clarify Reporting Requirements under the Campaign Finance Laws"

H.P. 1856 L.D. 2541

Which was READ A SECOND TIME.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster.

Senator WEBSTER: Thank you Mr. President. Could I have a member of the Committee dealing with this issue explain the reasoning behind this legislation. I have read it and I don't see any need for it. I would like to have someone explain to me why this Bill is currently before us. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster has posed a question through the Chair to any Senator who may care to respond.

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster.

Senator WEBSTER: Thank you Mr. President. Evidentially I have taken somebody by surprise on this issue. Let me just briefly explain. I read the legislation that is before us here today. It pertains to contributions received that are going to be sold at a public auction. I am mainly interested to know if there is a tremendous need for this Bill. It seems unnecessary to me and I would like to have someone address whether a problem exists which we are trying to deal with. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Kany.

Senator KANY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. The Secretary of State's Office had required that any item which was donated to an auction and used to raise money for a campaign had to be valued at its valuation - it would have to be appraised.

It seemed more logical and easier and yet, the purpose would be served if the price that it raised at the auction would be used instead of an appraised value which, as we all know from attending auctions, that can be far different from the appraised value. So to simplify matters, we decided to pass this measure in this form.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Hancock, Senator Perkins.

Senator PERKINS: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I had a very passing interest in this piece of legislation until the good Senator explained it. I guess my concern would be that if you were using the selling price received at the auction as the price given then if I as a business make a donation to an auction for a political cause and I know the value of it and I have given it at that value and for some strange reason it becomes a spirited item of bidding at that auction and there are two or three people there who place a higher value on it than even its retail value, and I have seen this happen at auctions too, then I am penalized on my limitation of donations towards the end of the year. I wonder if we are not limiting our potential of receipts of donations by placing this assessment at the end result instead of at the start where it is now.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Kany.

Senator KANY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. It is my understanding that the new tax laws really do not allow you to deduct for your campaign contributions anyway.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster.

Senator WEBSTER: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. It is my understanding under current law that you can donate a

maximum of say a thousand dollars to a candidate. In other words, if someone decides to support me and wants to donate to me a bureau which they feel is worth five hundred dollars, they can do that. If it goes to an auction and is sold for fifteen hundred dollars because it is some cherished heirloom which nobody knows about, it seems to me that this person would be in a situation where they are donating more than is legally acceptable. That was my reason for questioning this Bill as to whether it was just something we were trying to fix that may not be broken. My question is and I would like to have this addressed, if there is a limitation on what a candidate can receive and I donate something that I bought for eight hundred fifty dollars, some kind of a video cassette machine and it goes to an auction and for some reason is sold at more than a thousand dollars, then I have broken the law. I would like to have this addressed. It seems to me a situation could arise and I think we ought to look at that before we pass a bill that may or may not be needed. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Kany.

Senator KANY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. Actually some excellent questions have been asked and if the Senate would like to have this Bill amended to make it clear that a valuation could be used instead, then that would be perfectly all right with me. I do believe that we probably will see more auctions in the future and certainly this Bill will help us all out. It really would be a waste of time, money and effort for each item to have to be appraised before we had campaign auctions.

On motion by Senator CLARK of Cumberland, Tabled until Later in Today's Session, pending PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED, in concurrence.

House As Amended

Bill "An Act to Provide a Sales Tax Exemption for Charitable Suppliers of Medical Equipment"

H.P. 1451 L.D. 1962

(C "A" H-495)

Bill "An Act to Replace the Sales Tax with an Excise Tax on Jet Fuel Used by Turbine-Powered Aircraft Providing Commercial Air Service in Maine"

H.P. 1470 L.D. 1981

(C "A" H-496)

Which were READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended, in concurrence.

Senate

Bill "An Act to Reform the Pharmacy Laws" (Emergency)

S.P. 963 L.D. 2555

Which was READ A SECOND TIME.

On motion by Senator USHER of Cumberland, Senate Amendment "A" (S-349) READ and ADOPTED.

Which was PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended. Sent down for concurrence.

Senate As Amended

Bill "An Act to Clarify the Experience Requirement for Licensed Dietitians" (Emergency)

S.P. 809 L.D. 2118

(C "A" S-346)

Which was READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended.

Sent down for concurrence.

ENACTORS

The Committee on Engrossed Bills reported as truly and strictly engrossed the following:

An Act to Revise the Definition of Spouse Under the Maine State Retirement System

H.P. 1834 L.D. 2511

Which was PASSED TO BE ENACTED and having been signed by the President, was presented by the Secretary to the Governor for his approval.

ORDERS OF THE DAY
Unfinished Business

The following matters in the consideration of which the Senate was engaged at the time of Adjournment, have preference in the Orders of the Day and continue with such preference until disposed of as provided by Senate Rule 29.

The Chair laid before the Senate the Tabled and Later Assigned matter: (3/21/88)

SENATE REPORTS - from the Committee on UTILITIES on Bill "An Act Establishing the Maine-Canada Energy Cooperation Act"

S.P. 256 L.D. 729

Majority - Ought to Pass in New Draft under New Title Bill "An Act Establishing Maine Energy Policy"

S.P. 962 L.D. 2553

Minority - Ought Not to Pass
Tabled - March 21, 1988, by Senator CLARK of Cumberland.

Pending - ACCEPTANCE OF EITHER REPORT

(In Senate, March 21, 1988, Reports READ.)

Senator KERRY of York moved to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE Report.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Oxford, Senator Erwin.

Senator ERWIN: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. L.D. 729, An Act Establishing the Maine Energy Policy Act of 1988, has been before the Utilities Committee for about one year under the same number, but with a different title.

Of the Old established methods of generating electrical energy, Hydro is one of the best if not the best way to go. After you get beyond the construction stage and the project is ready for start up, you have a nonpolluting source that is replaced by nature that does not cause acid rain to add to the problems of our children and our children's children.

When the Utilities Committee was working on L.D. 729 last year, I expressed an opinion that I couldn't vote for such a bill until the P.U.C. and the D.E.P. had rendered their final reports and I had time to study them. Later I expressed the same opinion at numerous public meetings and perhaps was quoted in the news media. I have not changed my mind.

The Committee last year had a Joint Resolution drafted and it took more than one effort before the Committee accepted the Resolution and submitted it to the Legislature for approval.

There has been much discussion in the Committee concerning the P.U.C. There is an area that possibly will be covered by the D.E.P. that I consider of major importance and that is the question "is there a health hazard created by the magnetic fields associated with transmission power lines?" Central Maine Power Company has a very capable consultant who is nationally known and has attended many of the public meetings held in our towns to discuss this issue. I have heard this gentleman speak so many times that when he starts a sentence, I have a good idea what he is going to say.

In an effort to get information from sources other than Central Maine Power Company, I asked several people for their help and advice. The Law Library has been most helpful in that they have acquired a large amount of very useful reports, panel discussions and articles and news media articles that are available to the public. I spent a large amount of time last summer and fall reading the Minnesota, Montana, and New York reports and many other articles. The more material I studied, the more I became convinced that I must have the final D.E.P. report before I try to reach a decision in this area.

As to the P.U.C. final report, there are many concerns I hope will be answered. We hear much about cogeneration and the many jobs that it would provide. What is fact and what is fiction? The more I hear and read on this, the more important the final report of the P.U.C. becomes. Many important issues on the merits of the Hydro-Quebec contract should be in the final report of the P.U.C.

I feel strongly about the fact that I as a legislator should not do anything that may have the potential of interference with the P.U.C. and the D.E.P. proceedings.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster.

Senator WEBSTER: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I would ask this Body to oppose the current motion by the good Senator from York, Senator Kerry. I have three concerns with this issue. For those of you who know, both Senator Erwin and I neighbor in districts and the proposed power line would come through the center of our districts. We are concerned as he has indicated. My first concern is one of philosophy. I would think that with the oil embargo of the 1970's we should have learned that we should be very careful in tying ourselves and the needs of our energy to a foreign country. I have a real concern that although today those to the north of us in Canada are friends and allies, nothing is to say that five years from now they might not be. The second concern I have deals with as to whether these power lines are a hundred percent safe. There have been some reports that I have read that indicate they might not be. There are other concerns I have which I don't feel I need to express here today, but I would ask you to seriously consider the need for the Legislature to enact this Bill or any bill. We don't need to address this issue at this time, the P.U.C. is looking at it and we ought to wait until they make some kind of decision before we get involved, if we should get involved at all. We have appointed these people at the P.U.C. to do their job and they ought to do it and we shouldn't interfere. Thank you Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Kerry.

Senator KERRY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I would like to speak to this issue for several reasons. Number one having as I do a great deal of respect for the good Senator from Oxford, Senator Erwin, and also my deep respect for the good Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster, I would like to say that one of the first lessons I learned here when I came to the Senate was one instructed by the good Senator from Hancock, Senator Perkins, that I remember which county various Senators came from especially those Senators from Hancock, so I might give the same degree of encouragement to the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster, that York County is also on the coast.

First of all I would say that this is a very difficult issue, but one that we must examine very thoroughly and clearly. I have a deep and abiding respect for the opinions of the other two Senators on the Utilities Committee who have, I think, represented their constituents and their geographical region with reason and prudence. But there is an all abiding consideration here, a broader consideration to the people of the State of Maine for our long-term energy security. First, this legislation is in fact the second phase of a evolving process between the utility companies, various state agencies and the Legislature. The first stage was set last year when the utility companies, mainly Central Maine Power introduced legislation to require the State of Maine to endorse this project. There was vigorous opposition to this by the Committee because, as the good Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster pointed out and the good Senator from Oxford, Senator Erwin stated, they wanted and we wanted to see what the P.U.C. did after they had examined this issue.

The reason why the debate here in the Senate is so important is because if at any future date a court of law or even the P.U.C. is addressing a dispute between the utility companies and the P.U.C., or even this Legislature, the court will be able to glean from the intent of the legislators here presiding that this in fact is not a direct endorsement of Hydro-Quebec by the Utilities Committee or this Legislature. It is in fact a continuing examination of this issue. If we have said no to nuclear power in Maine, if we have said no to further development and utilization of oil in Maine, if we have said no to continued development of additional indigenous hydro processes here in Maine. We must at some point say yes to some long-term, secure low-cost form of energy. This is in effect a maybe. This Bill represents a compromise, a continued dialogue between Central Maine Power, the Governor's Office, the State Planning Office, the Public Utilities Commission, the Public Advocate and many other interested citizen groups as well as our Legislative Body and the Utilities Committee. The key issue here is first and foremost the utilities company originally introduced legislation that found various findings of fact. For example, that we would have a belief or finding of fact that Canadian energy was in fact desirable even with the continued use and development of cogeneration here in the State of Maine in conservation. I might add, Mr. President and ladies and gentlemen of the Senate, the other Body rejected last evening a major measure to promote conservation here in the State of Maine. If we are to be honest and straight forward in our deliberations here today, we must at least give the utility companies in this State an opportunity to negotiate with a firm, free and flexible hand with our canadian neighbors for over \$9 billion worth of energy, the largest single purchase of energy in our state's history. It will represent over nine hundred megawatts to our New England region. If you look at the future planning perspectives on energy in this region, we will be needing energy in the mid 1990's.

Secondly, I will make a point regarding the rate-making treatment and the development of cogeneration and conservation here in Maine. This Bill does represent a new standard for energy conservation here in the State of Maine. I will discuss this with you briefly. Essentially what the Bill does for the first time is provide for the Utilities Commission to place as a primary source of energy conservation and cogeneration here in the State of Maine. Secondly, it does allow for capacity costs to be passed through for canadian purchase

power. This has been a major request for and by the utilities companies. The Public Utilities Commission has had great concern with this because they are concerned about the limiting of the discretionary powers at a P.U.C. Hearing. The Utilities Committee agreed. We were concerned about limiting the discretionary powers of the P.U.C., but in fact this law does not or this Bill does not delimit the P.U.C.'s ability to make a reason decision.

My final point I will make here for the Record and for future deliberations is that the Utilities Committee has worked long and hard on this issue and we have supported, I think, the reasoned and prudent efforts of not only the state agencies and the P.U.C., we have supported our utilities companies efforts to forge a reasonable compromise on this issue. It is a very important issue and I hope that the Senate does go along with the Majority Ought to Pass Report. Thank you Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: The pending question before the Senate is the motion of Senator KERRY of York to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE Report.

The Chair will order a Division.

Will all those Senators in favor of the motion to of Senator KERRY of York, to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE Report, please rise in their places and remain standing until counted.

Will all those opposed please rise in their places and remain standing until counted.

28 Senators having voted in the affirmative and 3 Senators having voted in the negative, the motion by Senator KERRY of York to ACCEPT the Majority OUGHT TO PASS IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE Report, PREVAILED.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE, READ ONCE.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE, LATER TODAY ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

Senate at Ease

Senate called to order by the President.

Senator BLACK of Cumberland was granted unanimous consent to address the Senate off the Record.

Senator PERKINS of Hancock was granted unanimous consent to address the Senate off the Record.

Off Record Remarks

On motion by Senator ANDREWS of Cumberland, RECESSED until 5:00 this evening.

After Recess

Senate called to order by the President.

Under suspension of the Rules, all matters thus acted upon were ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE

Non-concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Expand and Clarify the Jurisdiction of the Maine State Pilotage Commission"

S.P. 821 L.D. 2143

(C "A" S-339)

In Senate, March 17, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (S-339).

Comes from the House with the Report READ and Bill and Accompanying Papers RECOMMENDED to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION in NON-CONCURRENCE. The Senate RECEDED and CONCURRED.

Non-concurrent Matter

SENATE REPORTS - from the Committee on AGRICULTURE on Resolve, to Study a Program to Promote the Purchase of State-Grown Produce by Needy Persons S.P. 933 L.D. 2453

Majority - Ought Not to Pass.
Minority - Ought to Pass.

In Senate, March 21, 1988, the Minority OUGHT TO PASS Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Comes from the House the Majority OUGHT NOT TO PASS Report READ and ACCEPTED in NON-CONCURRENCE. The Senate ADHERED in NON-CONCURRENCE. Sent down for concurrence.

Non-concurrent Matter

Bill "An Act to Develop a Plan to Minimize and Dispose of Household Hazardous Waste" (Emergency) H.P. 1850 L.D. 2532

In Senate, March 18, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, in concurrence.

Comes from the House PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-508) in NON-CONCURRENCE.

The Senate ADHERED in NON-CONCURRENCE. (See Action Later Today)

Pursuant to the Statutes

Committee on AUDIT AND PROGRAM REVIEW

The Committee on AUDIT AND PROGRAM REVIEW, pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes Annotated, Title 3, Chapter 23, ask leave to submit its findings and to report that the accompanying Bill "An Act to Fund the Office of Child Welfare Services Ombudsman" (Emergency)

H.P. 1861 L.D. 2559

Be referred to the Joint Standing Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS for Public Hearing and printed pursuant to Joint Rule 18.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill referred to the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS and ORDERED PRINTED, pursuant to Joint Rule 18.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill referred to the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS and ORDERED PRINTED, pursuant to Joint Rule 18, in concurrence.

SENATE PAPERS

Resolve, to Appropriate Funds to the AIDS Lodging House, Inc.

S.P. 965

Presented by Senator GILL of Cumberland Cosponsored by: Representative DIAMOND of Bangor, Senator BRANNIGAN of Cumberland, Representative LISNIK of Presque Isle

Approved for Introduction by a Majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 27

Which was referred to the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS and ORDERED PRINTED.

Under suspension of the Rules, ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

COMMITTEE REPORTS

House

Leave to Withdraw

The following Leave to Withdraw Report shall be placed in the Legislative Files without further action pursuant to Rule 15 of the Joint Rules:

Bill "An Act to Revise the Procedures for Enforcing Money Judgments"

H.P. 1667 L.D. 2285

Ought to Pass

The Committee on EDUCATION on Bill "An Act to Clarify the Reporting Mechanism of the Student Assessment Program"

H.P. 1692 L.D. 2321

Reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Comes from the House with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

Under suspension of the Rules, READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, in concurrence.

Ought to Pass As Amended

The Committee on TAXATION on Bill "An Act to Provide a Sales Tax Exemption to Nonprofit Organizations which Fulfill the Last Wishes of Terminally Ill Children" (Emergency)

H.P. 1742' L.D. 2388

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-500).

Comes from the House, with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-500).

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-500) READ and ADOPTED, in concurrence.

Under suspension of the Rules, READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended, in concurrence.

The Committee on UTILITIES on Bill "An Act to Increase the Debt Limit for the South Berwick Sewer District"

H.P. 1774 L.D. 2427

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-501).

Comes from the House, with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" (H-501).

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill READ ONCE.

Committee Amendment "A" (H-501) READ and ADOPTED, in concurrence.

Under suspension of the Rules, READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended, in concurrence.

Ought to Pass in New Draft

The Committee on LABOR on Bill "An Act Relating to Employment of Minors"

H.P. 1697 L.D. 2330

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft under same title (Emergency).

H.P. 1868 L.D. 2557

Comes from the House, with the Report READ and ACCEPTED and the Bill in NEW DRAFT PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED, in concurrence.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT READ ONCE.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT TOMORROW ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

On motion by Senator CLARK of Cumberland, the Senate RECONSIDERED its action whereby it ADHERED on:

Bill "An Act to Develop a Plan to Minimize and Dispose of Household Hazardous Waste" (Emergency)

H.P. 1850 L.D. 2532

(In Senate, March 18, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, in concurrence.)

(In House, March 22, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED AS AMENDED BY HOUSE AMENDMENT "A" (H-508) in NON-CONCURRENCE.)

On motion by Senator USHER of Cumberland, the Senate RECEDED and CONCURRED.

Divided Report

The Majority of the Committee on TAXATION on Bill "An Act to Change the Sales Tax Status of Snow-Making Equipment used by Commercial Ski Areas"

H.P. 1691 L.D. 2320

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft under New Title Bill "An Act to Change the Sales Tax Status of Equipment, Fuel and Electricity Used in Snowmaking by Commercial Ski Areas"

H.P. 1867 L.D. 2554

Signed:

Senators:

TWITCHELL of Oxford
SEWALL of Lincoln

Representatives:

DUFFY of Bangor
NADEAU of Saco

MAYO of Thomaston
ZIRNKILTON of Mount
Desert
JACKSON of Harrison
WHITCOMB of Waldo

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject reported that the same Ought Not to Pass.

Signed:

Senator:

DOW of Kennebec

Representatives:

CASHMAN of Old Town
SWAZEY of Bucksport
DORE of Auburn
SEAVEY of Kennebunkport

Comes from the House the Minority OUGHT NOT TO PASS Report READ and ACCEPTED.

Which Reports were READ.

On motion by Senator TWITCHELL of Oxford, the Majority OUGHT TO PASS IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE Report was ACCEPTED in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Under suspension of the Rules, the Bill IN NEW DRAFT under NEW TITLE, READ TWICE and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED in NON-CONCURRENCE.

Under suspension of the Rules, ordered sent down forthwith for concurrence.

Senate
Leave to Withdraw

The following Leave to Withdraw Reports shall be placed in the Legislative Files without further action pursuant to Rule 15 of the Joint Rules:

Bill "An Act to Require Motor Vehicle Ignition Interlock Devices for Persons with Restricted Driving Privileges Involving Drugs or Alcohol"

S.P. 878 L.D. 2281

Bill "An Act to Develop a Program for Prenatal Detection of Handicapping Conditions"

S.P. 890 L.D. 2302

Bill "An Act to Amend the Juvenile Code"

S.P. 901 L.D. 2337

Ought to Pass As Amended

Senator GOULD for the Committee on STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT on Bill "An Act to Correct Inconsistencies in the Publication of Legal Notices"

S.P. 864 L.D. 2252

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-350).

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED.

The Bill READ ONCE.

Committee Amendment "A" (S-350) READ and ADOPTED.

Under suspension of the Rules, READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, as Amended.

Ought to Pass in New Draft

Senator TUTTLE for the Committee on STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT on Bill "An Act to Create a State Capitol Commission"

S.P. 826 L.D. 2148

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft under same title.

S.P. 966 L.D. 2563

Which Report was READ and ACCEPTED.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT READ ONCE.

The Bill in NEW DRAFT TOMORROW ASSIGNED FOR SECOND READING.

SECOND READERS

The Committee on Bills in the Second Reading reported the following:

House

Bill "An Act to Provide Funds for the Seed Potato Breeding Program"

H.P. 1605 L.D. 2196

Bill "An Act to Waive Filing Fees for the State in Asset Forfeiture Proceedings" (Emergency)

H.P. 1760 L.D. 2409

Bill "An Act Concerning High Speed Chases"

H.P. 1864 L.D. 2552

Which were READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED, in concurrence.

Senate

Bill "An Act Establishing Maine Energy Policy"

S.P. 962 L.D. 2553

Which was READ A SECOND TIME and PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.

Sent down for concurrence.

ENACTORS

The Committee on Engrossed Bills reported as truly and strictly engrossed the following:

An Act to Reform Provisions of the Civil Justice System

S.P. 952 L.D. 2520

An Act to Include Certain Prisoners Within the Provisions of the Workers' Compensation Act

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY - SENATE, MARCH 22, 1988

S.P. 953 L.D. 2525
An Act to Recodify the Laws on Municipalities and Counties

H.P. 1855 L.D. 2538
Which were PASSED TO BE ENACTED and having been signed by the President, were presented by the Secretary to the Governor for his approval.

An Act to Appropriate Funds for Structural Repairs to the Woodbury Pond Dam

S.P. 771 L.D. 2028
(C "A" S-337)
On motion by Senator PEARSON of Penobscot, placed on the SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS TABLE, pending ENACTMENT.

An Act to Provide Additional Appropriations to Continue the Dioxin Study

S.P. 818 L.D. 2138
On motion by Senator PEARSON of Penobscot, placed on the SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS TABLE, pending ENACTMENT.

An Act to Appropriate Funds to Conduct a Marine Pollution Monitoring Program

H.P. 1728 L.D. 2371
On motion by Senator PEARSON of Penobscot, placed on the SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS TABLE, pending ENACTMENT.

An Act to Ensure the Complete Payment of Health Insurance Premiums for Teachers over a Certain Age

H.P. 1852 L.D. 2535
On motion by Senator PEARSON of Penobscot, placed on the SPECIAL APPROPRIATIONS TABLE, pending ENACTMENT.

Emergency
An Act to Implement Uniform Federal Lien Registration

H.P. 1524 L.D. 2077
(C "A" H-482)
This being an Emergency Measure and having received the affirmative vote of 27 Members of the Senate, with No Senators having voted in negative, and 27 being more than two-thirds of the entire elected Membership of the Senate, was PASSED TO BE ENACTED and having been signed by the President, was presented by the Secretary to the Governor for his approval.

Emergency
An Act to Amend the Animal Control Laws

H.P. 1819 L.D. 2493
(H "A" H-490)
This being an Emergency Measure and having received the affirmative vote of 29 Members of the Senate, with No Senators having voted in negative, and 29 being more than two-thirds of the entire elected Membership of the Senate, was PASSED TO BE ENACTED and having been signed by the President, was presented by the Secretary to the Governor for his approval.

Emergency

An Act to Provide for Effective and Timely Public Notice of Hearings Conducted by State Boards and Agencies

H.P. 1854 L.D. 2537
This being an Emergency Measure and having received the affirmative vote of 29 Members of the Senate, with No Senators having voted in negative, and 29 being more than two-thirds of the entire elected Membership of the Senate, was PASSED TO BE ENACTED and having been signed by the President, was presented by the Secretary to the Governor for his approval.

Emergency Resolve
Resolve, to Change the Reporting Date of the Commission on Sport Fisheries

H.P. 1663 L.D. 2275
(H "A" H-486)
On motion by Senator ERWIN of Oxford, placed on the SPECIAL FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE TABLE, pending FINAL PASSAGE.

ORDERS OF THE DAY

The Chair laid before the Senate the Tabled and Later Today Assigned matter:

Bill "An Act to Revise the Energy Building Standards Act"

S.P. 958 L.D. 2539
Tabled - March 22, 1988, by Senator CLARK of Cumberland.

Pending - FURTHER CONSIDERATION
(In Senate, March 17, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.)

(In House, March 21, 1988, Bill and Accompanying Papers INDEFINITELY POSTPONED in NON-CONCURRENCE.)

Senate at Ease
Senate called to order by the President.

On motion by Senator CLARK of Cumberland, Tabled until Later in Today's Session, pending FURTHER CONSIDERATION.

The Chair laid before the Senate the Tabled and Later Today Assigned matter:

Bill "An Act to Clarify Reporting Requirements under the Campaign Finance Laws"

H.P. 1856 L.D. 2541
Tabled - March 22, 1988, by Senator CLARK of Cumberland.

Pending - PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED
(In Senate, March 22, 1988, READ A SECOND TIME.)
(In House, March 18, 1988, PASSED TO BE ENGROSSED.)

On motion by Senator WEBSTER of Franklin, Senate Amendment "A" (S-351) READ.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Clark.

Senator CLARK: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I recently reviewed the proposed amendment under filing number S-351, in yellow, which is my favorite color, and I have I have a question that I would pose through the Chair to any Senator who may care to respond. I understand the intent of the amendment based on the debate earlier today, or the questions tendered by the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster. But, might not this amendment be construed to circumvent the campaign finance law and the limitations that are

contained therein, should the following circumstance occur. For example, if the good wife of the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Black, made one of her famous carrot cakes, which is valued perhaps at twenty-five dollars, and she would place this item in an auction at a fund raising event, as we construed auctions earlier today for the purpose of generating campaign funds, and some individual anticipating the joy of consuming an entire carrot cake, paid ten thousand dollars for that carrot cake, would not that ten thousand dollars placed in the campaign coffers more than any individual is currently allowed to contribute to a campaign under the current statutes?

THE PRESIDENT: The Senator from Cumberland, Senator Clark, has posed a question through the Chair to any Senator who may care to respond.

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Franklin, Senator Webster.

Senator WEBSTER: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. That is a very good question and I could see how anyone would be concerned about that proposition in fact someone would be willing to pay I am sure at least five thousand dollars for a carrot cake made by the good Senator's wife. I have some concerns about that. I am pleased that the good Senator from Cumberland, Senator Clark, brought this to our attention. Earlier today, I expressed concern about this issue and that is why I didn't want this to be enacted until we had discussed it. I met with members of the Legal Affairs Committee and came up with this amendment, which obviously is full of loopholes. We don't want this to pass if it doesn't address our concern. My real concern is that I am not sure we need to do anything, I am not even sure we have to pass this law at all, but if we do I am concerned that if I go to an auction, I donate a chair that sells for five hundred dollars and I participate in the auction like everyone else and I buy a VCR and pay one hundred dollars for it, under current law, which is full of holes, I have donated thirteen hundred dollars. I have paid eight hundred dollars for something, and I have given the five hundred dollar item. If we are going to be passing laws, we ought to be addressing these kinds of concerns. If not, then we shouldn't be passing anything at all. It is an interesting thought that the good Senator from Cumberland has brought to us and perhaps we ought too either defeat the entire Bill, or I am willing to withdraw this amendment, but I am not willing to accept this Bill as written. So, I would be willing to withdraw the amendment if it does what the good Senator is concerned about, but I would like to see this Bill further worked on in Committee if we are going to enact it in this Body.

Senator WEBSTER of Franklin, requested and received Leave of the Senate to withdraw Senate Amendment "A" (S-351).

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from York, Senator Kerry.

Senator KERRY: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I appreciated the filing of Senate Amendment "A" (S-351) and I would just like to convey to the Senate and to the good Senator from Franklin or anyone else who may be concerned. No matter who buys that cake that is worth ten thousand dollars, I would just hope that they would save a little smidgen for me at the end of it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Dillenback.

Senator DILLENBACK: Thank you Mr. President. Mr. President, men and women of the Senate. I wonder if we could return this Bill to the Legal Affairs

Committee? I would ask that the Bill be Tabled for one day.

THE PRESIDENT: The Chair would advise to the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Dillenback, that his motion is out of order, having debated the issue.

On motion by Senator CLARK of Cumberland, Tabled 1 Legislative Day, pending PASSAGE TO BE ENGROSSED.

On motion by Senator PERKINS of Hancock, RECESSED until the sound of the bell.

After Recess

Senate called to order by the President.

Out of order and under suspension of the Rules, the Senate considered the following:

PAPERS FROM THE HOUSE

House Papers

Bill "An Act Making Additional Allocations for the Expenditure of Funds Received by the State as a Result of a Federal Court Order in the Stripper Well Overcharge Case" (Emergency)

H.P. 1872 L.D. 2564

Comes from the House referred to the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS and ORDERED PRINTED.

Which was referred to the Committee on APPROPRIATIONS AND FINANCIAL AFFAIRS and ORDERED PRINTED, in concurrence.

Bill "An Act to Clarify Reporting Requirements Regarding Competency of Health Care Practitioners"

H.P. 1873 L.D. 2565

Comes from the House referred to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION and ORDERED PRINTED.

Which was referred to the Committee on BUSINESS LEGISLATION and ORDERED PRINTED, in concurrence.

Senator BALDACCI of Penobscot was granted unanimous consent to address the Senate off the Record.

Off Record Remarks

On motion by Senator KERRY of York, ADJOURNED until Wednesday, March 23, 1988, at 9:00 in the morning.