

# MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the  
**LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY**  
at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library  
<http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib>



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied  
(searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

LEGISLATIVE RECORD

OF THE

*One Hundred and Fourth  
Legislature*

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

**Volume III**

June 17, 1969 to July 2, 1969

Index

**1st Special Session**

January 6, 1970 to February 7, 1970

Index

KENNEBEC JOURNAL  
AUGUSTA, MAINE

**SENATE**

Friday, January 23, 1970

Senate called to order by the President.

Prayer by the Rev. Robert Canfield of Gardiner.

Reading of the Journal of yesterday.

**Joint Order**

Out of Order and Under Suspension of the Rules:

On motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox,

ORDERED, the House concurring, that when the House and Senate adjourn, they adjourn to Monday, January 26, at 11 o'clock in the morning. (S. P. 634)

Which was Read and Passed.

Sent down forthwith for concurrence.

**(Off Record Remarks)**

On motion by Mr. Katz of Kennebec, recessed pending the sound of the bell.

**(After Recess)**

Called to order by the President.

**Papers from the House  
Non-concurrent Matter**

Resolve, to Loan Funds from the Unappropriated Surplus for the Construction of an International Ferry Terminal. (H. P. 1310) (L. D. 1624)

In the Senate January 15, 1970, Passed to be Engrossed.

In the House January 19, 1970, Recommended to the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs, in non-concurrence.

In the Senate January 21, 1970, the Senate voted to Insist.

Comes from the House, Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by House Amendment "A" (H-653), in non-concurrence.

On motion by Mr. Katz of Kennebec, the Senate voted to Recede and Concur.

**Non-concurrent Matter**

Bill, "An Act Relating to Permits for Dredging and Erection of Causeways, Docks, etc. in Tributaries of Great Ponds." (H. P. 1414) (L. D. 1781)

In the Senate January 21, 1970, Passed to be Engrossed, in concurrence.

Comes from the House, Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by House Amendment "A" (H-652), in non-concurrence.

Thereupon, the Senate voted to Recede and Concur.

**Order**

Mr. Hanson of Kennebec presented the following Joint Resolution and moved its Adoption:

**STATE OF MAINE**

**IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD  
ONE THOUSAND NINE  
HUNDRED AND SEVENTY  
IN MEMORIAM**

WHEREAS, we pause in sorrow and sadness at the sudden passing and tragic loss of Mrs. Lois E. Beauchaine of Winthrop; and

WHEREAS her untimely passing came on January 22, 1970, after twenty years of able and untiring service as an officer in the State Senate; and

WHEREAS, she was a valued public servant who devoted her conscientious efforts to every given task with ready smile and capable helping hand; and

WHEREAS, in voicing our sorrow and profound sense of loss at the death of our loyal and treasured friend we reflect the sentiments of all who knew and admired her; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED: That the members of the 104th Legislature now assembled in Special Session inscribe this token of our enduring affection and esteem for her memory and tender our deepest sympathy to the bereaved family; and be it further

RESOLVED: That an engrossed copy of this Joint Resolution be transmitted forthwith by the Secretary of the Senate to Robert W. Beauchaine husband of the deceased. (S. P. 639)

The PRESIDENT: The Chair would ask the Senate to indicate the Adoption of this Memoriam by rising in a moment of silence.

At this point, the members of the Senate stood for a moment of silence, and the Joint Resolution was Adopted.

Sent down for concurrence.

The PRESIDENT: The Senate will be represented at the funeral

of Mrs. Beauchaine by Senators Greeley, Hanson and Minkowsky.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair at this time would ask the Sergeant-at-Arms to escort the Senator from York, Senator Logan, to the rostrum to act as President pro tem.

Thereupon, the Sergeant-at-Arms escorted Mr. Logan of York to the rostrum where he assumed the duties of President pro tem, and the President retired from the Senate Chambers.

**Committee Reports  
House  
Ought Not to Pass**

The Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill, "An Act Amending the Maine Police Academy Law." (H. P. 1385) (L. D. 1734)

Reported that the same Ought Not to Pass.

Comes from the House, the report Read and Accepted.

Which report was Read and Accepted in concurrence.

**Ought to Pass - As Amended**

The Committee on Business Legislation on Bill, "An Act to Clarify the Interest Cost for Local Industrial Recreational Bonds." (Emergency) (H. P. 1307) (L. D. 1621)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (H-651).

Comes from the House, the report Read and Accepted and the Bill Passed to be Engrossed as Amended by Committee Amendment "A".

Which report was Read and Accepted in concurrence and the Bill Read Once. Committee Amendment "A" was Read and Adopted in concurrence and the Bill, as Amended under suspension of the rules, given its Second Reading and Passed to be Engrossed in concurrence.

**Ought to Pass in New Draft**

The Committee on State Government on Bill, "An Act Exempting Doctors, Psychologists and Certain Classes of Social Workers from the State Personnel System." (H. P. 1304) (L. D. 1617)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft Under Same Title. (H. P. 1435) (L. D. 1808)

The Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Bill, "An Act to Provide for Black Fly Control." (Emergency) (H. P. 1318) (L. D. 1647)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft Under Same Title. (H. P. 1433) (L. D. 1806)

The Committee on Business Legislation on Bill, "An Act to Make Direct Billing of Property and Casualty Insurance Voluntary." (H. P. 1387) (L. D. 1736)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft Under New Title: "An Act Relating to Direct Billing of Property and Casualty Insurance." (H. P. 1434) (L. D. 1807)

Come from the House the reports Read and Accepted and the Bills, in New Draft, Passed to be Engrossed.

Which reports were Read and Accepted in concurrence and the Bills, in New Draft, Read Once.

Under suspension of the rules, the Bills, in New Draft, were given their Second Reading and Passed to be Engrossed in concurrence.

**Senate**

**Ought to Pass in New Draft**

Mr. Wyman for the Committee on State Government on Bill "An Act Relating to State Retirement Laws." (S. P. 593) (L. D. 1764)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass in New Draft Under New Title: "An Act Relating to Retirement Allowance for Widows of Governors." (S. P. 635) (L. D. 1810)

Which report was Read and Accepted and the Bill, in New Draft, Read Once. Under suspension of the rules, the Bill, in New Draft, was given its Second Reading and Passed to be Engrossed.

Thereupon, under further suspension of the rules, sent down forthwith for concurrence.

**Divided Report**

The Majority of the Committee on State Government on Bill, "An Act Relating to Powers and Duties of the Attorney General." (S. P. 588) (L. D. 1743)

Reported that the same Ought Not to Pass.

Signed:

Senators:

BELIVEAU of Oxford  
LETOURNEAU of York

Representatives:

STARBIRD of Kingman  
DONAGHY of Lubec  
D'ALFONSO of Portland  
GOODWIN of Bath

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter reported that the same Ought to Pass.

Signed:

Senator:

WYMAN of Washington

Representatives:

DENNETT of Kittery  
MARSTALLER

of Freeport

RIDEOUT of Manchester

Which reports were Read.

Mr. Wyman of Washington then moved Acceptance of the Minority Ought to Pass Report of the Committee.

On motion by Mr. Beliveau of Oxford, tabled and tomorrow assigned, pending the motion by Mr. Wyman of Washington to Accept the Minority Ought to Pass Report of the Committee.

At this point President MacLeod entered the chambers and resumed his position at the rostrum. The Sergeant-at-Arms then escorted Senator Logan to his seat on the floor of the Senate, amid the applause of the Members of the Senate.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair wishes to thank the Senator from York, Senator Logan, for a very competent job.

#### Second Readers

The Committee on Bills in the Second Reading reported the following:

#### Senate—As Amended

Bill, "An Act Relating to Subpoena Power for State Employees Appeals Board." (Emergency) (S. P. 570) (L. D. 1697)

Which was Read a Second Time.

The PRESIDENT: Is it now the pleasure of the Senate that this bill, as amended, be passed to be engrossed and under suspension of

the rules to be sent down forthwith for concurrence?

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Katz.

Mr. KATZ of Kennebec: Mr. President, might I ask, through the Chair, some member of the committee as to the facts presented at the public hearing? I am unaware of any difficulties the Employees Industry Appeals Board has run into that would require the extension of the right to subpoena power, and I would pose this as a question.

The PRESIDENT: The Senator from Kennebec, Senator Katz, has posed a question through the Chair which any Senator may answer if he so desires.

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Penobscot, Senator Quinn.

Mr. QUINN of Penobscot: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: That is the reason for the bill. They have run into some difficulty, and they feel in order to have a proper hearing they should have subpoena power to bring before the committee for that hearing all the testimony and evidence that is available in order to make a proper decision.

The PRESIDENT: Is the Senate ready for the question?

Thereupon, the Bill, As Amended, was Passed to be Engrossed and, under suspension of the rules, sent down forthwith for concurrence.

#### Orders of the Day

The President laid before the Senate the first tabled and specially assigned matter:

Senate Reports — from the Committee on Education on Bill, "An Act Clarifying Laws relating to the University of Maine." (S. P. 559) (L. D. 1634) Majority Report, be Referred to the 105th Legislature; Minority Report, Ought to Pass in New Draft Under same Title (S. P. 632) (L. D. 1804)

Tabled—January 22, 1970 by Senator Anderson of Hancock.

Pending—Motion by Senator Katz of Kennebec to accept the Minority Report.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Hancock, Senator Anderson.

Mr. ANDERSON of Hancock: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: The reason why I tabled this bill is that I think this privilege of eminent domain has been grossly abused. In fact, every time I see the two printed words my blood boils. However, I have studied this bill thoroughly and there seems to be a fairly tight checkrein on it and, if I could be assured that there would be no indiscriminate landgrabbing, I would be happy to go along with the pending question. I was wondering if the Majority Floor Leader might comment on this.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Katz.

Mr. KATZ of Kennebec: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: There are many different organizations and political subdivisions which have the power of eminent domain, and I have been assured by those who have had experience in this field that the bill in its present form is far more restrictive on the University of Maine in the procedure for taking land than any other previous granting of eminent domain. I think this should be a real assurance to us.

Now, if there is ever any abuse of the eminent domain procedures, whether by the University of Maine or others, I am sure the Legislature would react, and react very sharply.

I would urge the Senate to accept the Minority Report for our purposes today, and I also would ask the Chair not to give this its second reading, with the understanding — I understand there is very, very substantial opposition to the repealer of the educational television control as expressed in existing law — and if the Senate will go along with my motion to accept the Ought to Pass in New Draft Report today, on Monday or Tuesday we will have for your consideration an amendment that would take the ETV repealer out of the bill, and let it perhaps go along its way without this restriction removed. I ask for a division.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Kellam.

Mr. KELLAM of Cumberland: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: I don't like to take too much of your time today because I explained yesterday, I believe as much as necessary, my opposition to this bill.

I am sure that the good Senator from Augusta, in receiving his assurance relative to eminent domain, didn't receive it from those people whose homes have been taken. But, be that as it may, I feel that it is very poor draftsmanship to have this eminent domain feature continued. I believe it is probably the only type of major legal document having to do with judicial functions which has come out of the Education Committee, and I have registered this objection over the time that we have been here since this bill was printed. The printing of it, I think, was about the second day we were in session. I know it wasn't available before the session started, and the bill was heard about the second day we were in session.

I just don't feel that it is the type of legislation that the Education Committee should take upon itself to put out to the public, and I have asked that the matter be referred to the next session in order that this particular feature could be reviewed by the Judiciary Committee, and possibly a bill come out that would have to do with eminent domain throughout the State of Maine. This would certainly leave a better taste in everybody's mouth, I think, and it would allow needed properties to be taken.

I don't think that we can in this day and age say that there should never be any taking against the will of a person who owns property because we know that there are times when there must be takings. But the method in which it is taken should be very carefully reviewed, and it should be a matter of a court procedure, an adversary procedure. It shouldn't be a matter of the administrators coming before the Governor and Council and asking about a piece of property which is off in Aroostook County someplace. It should be a procedure which is carried out in the area where the property is

located in order to give the people who own the property some chance of redress and an opportunity to testify. And certainly it should be in an area where the land is available to be seen. Many times a view of property will clear a person's mind considerably about the necessity of it.

These are things that are just questions really, and there is no need of this particular section of the bill. I have been assured by the Chancellor of the State University that he can live without that particular section, but he would like to have the procedural matters attended to relative to changing the name, and so forth. These are things they want, but they can wait until next January to deal with this particular problem because it doesn't bother them right now. Obviously, the entire State of Maine is not at a standstill on this eminent domain question, and there is no reason why we should have to deal with the University separately from the rest of the State.

I would assure you that I am not trying to block the University of Maine in any way. If they need a piece of property, there are ways they can get it, and they are not in a particular bind right now. Unless we do something this session relative to the requests made by the University of Maine on money matters, I don't believe they are going to be in a bind for a long time to come because they aren't going to have any money to buy property by eminent domain or otherwise. So, I think we ought to direct ourselves to problems which actually exist. There are a few of those around.

So, I would ask that this matter not be passed today; that the bill be referred to the next session of the Legislature, and I would ask for a division when the vote is taken. In other words, I oppose the pending motion.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Aroostook, Senator Violette.

Mr. VIOLETTE of Aroostook: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: This bill is in new draft, but I was the sponsor of the

original bill that was heard before the committee.

Now, I understand that Senator Kellam has some reasons to raise objections to the eminent domain feature of this bill, and I also want to thank him for the confidence that he expresses in the Judiciary Committee for their ability to to handle such a bill. I say this because this committee has come under fire in the various stages of the legislative proceedings here, particularly in the last regular session. So, I thank him for his confidence.

Let me say, however, with regard to the eminent domain feature that this bill is properly drafted and provides for the people, whose property would possibly be taken by this procedure, ample protection under the law.

First of all, I think it is up to this body whether it wants to give the University of Maine the power of eminent domain. I think it is just as cut and dried as that. We give the power of eminent domain to municipalities, we give it to water districts, we give it to sewer districts, and we give to private utilities the right to take property by eminent domain for certain valid, reasonable purposes. The University being, for all intents and purposes, a sub-structure of the government of the State of Maine, in my judgment, has ample justification to ask for this power.

Actually, under this bill, the University of Maine is not given outright power to take by eminent domain. They make the determination that public exigency exists which requires the taking of property by eminent domain and, after the trustees have made this determination, they in turn have to submit it to the Governor and Council, who start the proceeding originally again and make their own determination. If they so find that this is a valid purpose to be exercised, then the Governor and Council will authorize the taking by eminent domain. But once the Governor and Council have done this, once they have done this, then the laws of Maine with regard to taking of property by eminent

domain apply. And they apply in the same way they would apply if the Van Buren Light and Power District, the Portland Water Department, or Central Maine Power were to take property by eminent domain, and it is by judicial proceeding. And this would be referred back to the county where the land is located, and the proceedings would be initiated in that county, so the property owners have ample protection under the law.

I am satisfied that the procedure here is proper and there is no denial of anybody's right to get just compensation for their properties taken by the University or by the State, and that the public is fully protected.

I think what this body has to determine is basically whether or not they wish to give this authority for taking of land and property for the University of Maine by eminent domain proceedings. I personally am satisfied that the procedures here in this bill are correct and, if it were to appear before me as a member of the Judiciary Committee, I would certainly be willing to support this bill and the provisions in it with regards to eminent domain proceedings. I urge you to support the motion of the Senator from K e n n e b e c , Senator Katz.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Kellam.

Mr. KELLAM of Cumberland: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: I would just make a couple of very brief observations. It appears to me that there would be no reason why this matter of eminent domain shouldn't be looked upon in its entirety, but the people who are in favor of this bill apparently are very strong in their desires to have this go through, regardless of other problems the State may have. It seems to me there is no particular immediate need for the bill. If there is, I wish someone would tell me what it is, because they haven't ever done so.

I wish to point out to the members of the Senate that, if you look at the second page of the bill, at the top of the page, the language

is: "The trustees of the University of Maine shall have the right to determine in each case that public exigency requires the taking of a particular property or properties or interest therein, provided that no real property can be taken from a public utility corporation without consent of the Public Utilities Commission." I paraphrased that latter phrase. Apparently there is a great concern about not injuring the public utility corporations of the State, but not so much so for others.

Now, it is quite obvious to all that the University trustees shall determine in each case that a particular property must be taken. Now, that is the crux of the bill. The rest of the bill, having to do with procedures and so forth, I believe, almost come down to perfunctory performance on the part of the Governor and Council. The determination has been made that this particular property is necessary. It just seems to me that these things should be analyzed very, very carefully, and we should not have to come to a decision within the brief period of time that Senator Violette and I have had to look at this bill.

I just don't think the decision should be made now to carry on this particular activity and to let out of the Legislature this tremendous power. If there is a need for a particular piece of property to be taken, say, today, possibly we could take care of that matter and try to draft a bill to protect everybody involved.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Berry.

Mr. BERRY of Cumberland: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: At the risk of trying the patience of the members of this body, Senator Kellam has made one or two statements that the record should certainly show cleared up.

He indicated in his first talk that the University has exercised eminent domain powers, and I almost had the inference that this had caused some problems. To the knowledge of anybody familiar with it, the University of Maine has not exercised eminent domain for a long time. It has never exercised eminent domain in Sena-



tor Kellam's area, in Portland. The only indication we have that it ever might have used eminent domain in the entire history of the institution is that at Farmington it might have possibly been used.

As far as Senator Kellam's concern as to the need for the legislation, may I cite a situation which may or may not be hypothetical, and I think perhaps it isn't: the University of Maine in Portland is engaged in constructing an educational plant in conformance with the directions of this body and its predecessors. It has been given many millions of dollars. By negotiation it has acquired property at reasonable prices, and by this I mean not very much above assessed valuation and not very much above, and probably right at, the going value of the properties.

Now, there are on the boards at the University plans right at this minute for the construction of buildings in the Portland area. The non - passage of this legislation would very directly and immediately result in the property owners who are affected saying to the University: "You can't take us by eminent domain, as you could in the past. Our price is now X thousands of dollars more than it was before." Now, this is taxpayers' money that we are talking about, and I think Senator Kellam might be — and I am sure he is, knowing he is a friend of the University — sensitive to the fact that the few dollars we do scrape together up here for education should be stretched just about as far as they can be. I can't think of a better way to do it than to give the University trustees the powers they need, the powers that they used to have, incidentally, and give it to them right now at this session so that they can go ahead in an orderly manner and run the University.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Kellam.

Mr. KELLAM of Cumberland: Mr. President, I am not really sure but what I may have talked too many times now — I know I have, as far as the bill is concerned — but if I do need consent, I would appreciate receiving it in order to just clear up one little matter.

I don't believe that the University does have any difficulty in the City of Portland relative to its building program. I do know that the building that they have planned — the one building, I believe, that is in the planning stage or ready to be built — will sit upon land which is now vacant. There may be a need to acquire some land around the fringes for the sake of aesthetics, parking or something, and I am sure that can be arranged. I would be perfectly willing to see something done if there is an immediate need.

All of us know that the University of Maine is not reluctant to speak out when it needs something, and Dr. MacNeil is in the corridor, so if the good Senator from Cape Elizabeth would care to talk to him, I am sure he would tell him, as he has told me, that he would be willing to have this section of the law referred to the next session of the Legislature. So, I just relay that information for the benefit of all that are concerned here. Thank you.

The PRESIDENT: The pending question before the Senate is the motion of the Senator from Kennebec, Senator Katz, that the Senate accept the Minority Ought to Pass in New Draft Report of the Committee on Bill, "An Act Clarifying Laws Relating to the University of Maine." A division has been requested. As many Senators as are in favor of accepting the Minority Ought to Pass in New Draft Report of the Committee will rise and remain standing until counted. Those opposed will rise and remain standing until counted.

A division was had. Twenty - six Senators having voted in the affirmative, and four Senators having voted in the negative, the motion prevailed.

Thereupon, the Bill, in New Draft was Read Once and tomorrow assigned for Second Reading.

The President laid before the Senate the second tabled and specially assigned matter:

JOINT ORDER — Relative to Proposed State Liquor Store in the Town of Lubec. (H. P. 1437)

Tabled—January 22, 1970 by Senator Wyman of Washington.

Pending—Motion by Senator Katz of Kennebec to Indefinitely Postpone Order.

Thereupon, on motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox, retabled and tomorrow assigned, pending the motion by Mr. Katz of Kennebec that the Joint Order be indefinitely Postponed.

The President laid before the Senate the third tabled and specially assigned matter:

HOUSE REPORT — Ought to Pass from the Committee on State Government on Bill, "An Act Increasing Salaries of Justices of the Supreme Judicial Court and the Superior Court and Judges of the District Court." (H. P. 1432) (L. D. 1805)

Tabled—January 22, 1970 by Senator Dunn of Oxford.

Pending—Acceptance of Report.

Thereupon, on motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox, retabled and tomorrow assigned, pending Acceptance of the Committee Report.

The President laid before the Senate the fourth tabled and specially assigned matter:

JOINT ORDER — Relative to the Natural Resources Committee reporting a Bill to the House re Maine Industrial Building Authority and Maine Recreation Authority Loans have Environmental Improvement Commission approval. (S. P. 636)

Tabled—January 22, 1970 by Senator Hoffses of Knox.

Pending—Passage.

Mr. Berry of Cumberland was then Granted Leave to Withdraw Joint Order (S. P. 637).

The same Senator then presented the following Joint Order:

**Joint Order**

WHEREAS, through the Maine Industrial Building Authority and the Maine Recreation Authority the State of Maine guarantees loans to industrial and recreational enterprises for the benefit of the State; and

WHEREAS, the State of Maine is concerned with the location and the effect of such enterprises upon the natural environment of the locality in which such enterprises

may become located; now, therefore, be it

ORDERED, the House concurring, that the Joint Standing Committee on Natural Resources report out a Bill to the House, requiring that prior to approving the guarantee of the State of Maine on loans for industrial or recreational projects, the Maine Industrial Building Authority, the Municipal Securities Approval Board and the Maine Recreation Authority shall consult with and receive the approval of the Environmental Improvement Commission with respect to the location, the nature and the effect of such projects on the natural environment of the locality in which such project is proposed to be located.

(S. P. 638)

Which was Read.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Berry.

Mr. BERRY of Cumberland: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: Senator Moore noticed yesterday, after I had presented this order, that a very important body had been left out, the Municipal Securities Approval Board, which is the former Municipal Industrial Revenue Financing Approval Board, which engages in the same type of financing, with the backing of government, as the other two, the Maine Industrial Building Authority and the Maine Recreational Authority. That is the only change in the order, and this has been reproduced.

I move passage of the order, Mr. President.

The PRESIDENT: Is it now the pleasure of the Senate that this order receive passage and be sent down for concurrence?

The Chair recognizes the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Reed.

Mr. REED of Sagadahoc: Mr. President, I was wondering — does this order report out a bill? Would the Secretary please read the order again?

The PRESIDENT: The Secretary will read the order.

Thereupon, the Joint Order was read again.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Reed.

Mr. REED of Sagadahoc: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: Since I already have sort of cut off all my bridges here in this session in regard to introducing bills by order, I would pose a question to the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Berry, if not the "Site Bill," which is presently before the Natural Resources Committee, in which the Environmental Improvement Commission would not have to pass judgment on any industry that was going to substantially change the area, or the environment, wouldn't cover this particular order; if possibly this order could not be covered by the so-called "Site" legislation which is before the committee?

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Cumberland, Senator Berry.

Mr. BERRY of Cumberland: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: The Site Location Bill is designed primarily and, I feel sure, will end up being oriented only at petroleum and heavy metal industries which are, what we might just say loosely, major polluters. The purpose of this order would be to cover legislation that would require the three bodies mentioned, who financially backed any industry or recreational operation, to clear their plans with the Environmental Commission before they planned to give State backing to projects.

The Site Location Bill would cover anybody, privately or publicly financed, under this, but this particular bill is only aimed at industries and projects which come to this State and say "We want your backing." This bill says "Okay, if you are going to get our backing, you clear your pollution problems with the Environmental Improvement Commission, and then come back to us and we will take up the matter of backing you with State credit." So, there is no overlapping in here.

These are two very important areas, the site bill and this one. As I mentioned yesterday, it seems incongruous that the State should on one hand be asked to back with its money an operation, and then on the other hand have to go out and have a big hassle with some

people to be sure they don't pollute our waters and our air.

The PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes the Senator from Sagadahoc, Senator Reed.

Mr. REED of Sagadahoc: Mr. President and Members of the Senate: I really again have no objection to this order, in a sense, being passed but I would like to reiterate, at least, my position somewhat on this, that you can pass anything but you need something to back it up.

In regard to the Vahlsing situation, which I assume is not necessarily being explicitly pointed to here, I think it is to stop future situations such as this, but the big problem at that time was that the MIBA told this particular firm they could not be loaned the money unless the stream classification was lowered. This is how the thing came before the legislature; the MIBA would not make the loan unless the stream classification was lowered.

Now, any industry coming into Maine, that is going to have a discharge of any kind that affects the water or the air, has to presently receive permission and a license from the Water and Air Environmental Improvement Commission. I don't think that they would ever — or I can't force the loan ever being made without this. Now, I suppose this is sort of a back-up in making the law, or enforcing possibly what we already have, but I again feel that the Site Bill, which we have, that this can be written into it. I would ask for a division on the order since I feel, again, that I made a stand and I felt that we passed the unanimous consent rule here and we should try to abide by it.

The PRESIDENT: Is the Senate ready for the question? The pending question before the Senate is the passage of Joint Order, Senate Paper 638. A division has been requested. As many Senators as are in favor of the order receiving passage will please rise and remain standing until counted. Those opposed will rise and remain standing until counted.

A division was had. Eighteen Senators having voted in the affirmative, and ten Senators hav-

ing voted in the negative, the Joint Order received Passage.

Sent down for concurrence.

The President laid before the Senate the fifth tabled and specially assigned matter:

**JOINT ORDER** — Relative to State Government Committee Reporting a Bill to the Senate re State Revenue Funds. (S. P. 637)

Tabled—January 22, 1970 by Senator Katz of Kennebec.

Pending—Passage.

On motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox, retabled and tomorrow assigned, pending Passage.

Under suspension of the rules, the Senate voted to take up the following Senate Papers:

**Ought to Pass - As Amended**

Mr. Dunn for the Committee on Appropriations and Financial Affairs on Bill, "An Act Repealing the Law Requiring Assessment of Municipalities in Aid to Dependent Children Grants." (S. P. 576) (L. D. 1703)

Reported that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-389).

Which report was Read and Accepted and the Bill Read Once. Committee Amendment "A" was Read and Adopted and, under suspension of the rules, the Bill, as Amended, given its Second Reading and Passed to be Engrossed.

Thereupon, under further suspension of the rules, sent down forthwith for concurrence.

**Committee Reports**

**Senate**

**Divided Report**

The Majority of the Committee on Legal Affairs on Bill, "An Act to Implement the Powers of Municipal Home Rule." (S. P. 555) (L. D. 1630)

Reports that the same Ought to Pass as Amended by Committee Amendment "A" (S-390).

(Signed)

Senator:

TANOUS of Penobscot

Representatives:

BAKER of Orrington

CUSHING of Bucksport

WHEELER of Portland

COX of Bangor

SHAW of Chelsea

NORRIS of Brewer

The Minority of the same Committee on the same subject matter reports that the same be referred to the 105th Legislature.

(Signed)

Senator:

KELLAM of Cumberland

Representative:

COTE of Lewiston

Which reports were Read.

On motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox, tabled and tomorrow assigned, pending Acceptance of Either Report.

The Adjournment Order having been returned from the House, Read and Passed in concurrence, on motion by Mr. Hoffses of Knox, adjourned until Monday, January 26, 1970 at 11 o'clock in the morning.