

LAWS

OF THE

STATE OF MAINE

AS PASSED BY THE

ONE HUNDRED AND SEVENTEENTH LEGISLATURE

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION November 28, 1995 to December 1, 1995

SECOND REGULAR SESSION January 3, 1996 to April 4, 1996

THE GENERAL EFFECTIVE DATE FOR FIRST REGULAR SESSION NON-EMERGENCY LAWS IS JULY 4, 1996

PUBLISHED BY THE REVISOR OF STATUTES IN ACCORDANCE WITH MAINE REVISED STATUTES ANNOTATED, TITLE 3, SECTION 163-A, SUBSECTION 4.

> J.S. McCarthy Company Augusta, Maine 1995

meeting. The committee shall notify the affected agency of the meeting on its proposed rules.

See title page for effective date.

CHAPTER 575

S.P. 730 - L.D. 1834

An Act to Amend the Laws Relating to Regulation of Wetlands

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §480-Q, sub-§17, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 460, §6, is amended to read:

17. Minor alterations in freshwater wetlands. Activities that alter less than 4,300 square feet of freshwater wetlands and that do not occur in, on or over another protected natural resource, except that any activity occurring within a shoreland zone regulated by a municipality pursuant to chapter 3, subchapter I, article 2 B is not exempt. An activity qualifies for exemption under this section only if the entire activity qualifies, including all phases of a multiphased project taken as a whole. Activities authorized or legally conducted prior to the effective date of this subsection are not considered in calculating the size of the alteration. as long as:

A. The activity does not occur in, on or over another protected natural resource;

B. A 25-foot setback from other protected natural resources is maintained and erosion control measures are used;

C. The activity is not located in a shoreland zone regulated by a municipality pursuant to chapter 3, subchapter I, article 2-B or in the wetland or water body protected by the shoreland zone;

D. The activity does not occur in a wetland normally consisting of or containing at least 20,000 square feet of open water, aquatic vegetation or emergent marsh vegetation, except for artificial ponds or impoundments;

E. The activity does not take place in a wetland containing or consisting of peat land dominated by shrubs, sedges and sphagnum moss; and

F. The entire activity constitutes a single, complete project.

An activity does not qualify for exemption under this subsection if that activity is part of a larger project, including a multiphase development, that does not qualify as a whole project. Activities authorized or legally conducted prior to September 29, 1995 may not be considered in calculating the size of the alteration.

Sec. 2. 38 MRSA §480-Q, sub-§20 is enacted to read:

20. Constructed ponds. Alteration of legally created constructed ponds that are not considered part of a great pond, coastal wetland, river, stream or brook, as long as the constructed pond is not expanded beyond its original size.

Sec. 3. 38 MRSA §480-X, sub-§5, as enacted by PL 1995, c. 460, §7 and affected by §12, is amended to read:

5. Additional projects not eligible for Tier 2 review. An activity in freshwater wetlands containing a natural community that is imperiled (S1) (S2) or critically imperiled (S2) (S1), as defined by the Natural Areas Program pursuant to Title 5, section 13076 is not eligible for Tier 2 review unless the department determines that the activity will not negatively affect the freshwater wetlands and other protected natural resources present.

See title page for effective date.

CHAPTER 576

H.P. 244 - L.D. 346

An Act to Change the Maine Rule of Evidence That Currently Allows the Admission of Subsequent Remedial Measures as Evidence of Negligence

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 14 MRSA §1403 is enacted to read:

§1403. Admission of evidence

Notwithstanding any court rule to the contrary, when, after an event, measures are taken that, if taken previously, would have made the event less likely to occur, evidence of the subsequent measures is not admissible to prove negligence or culpable conduct in connection with the event. This section does not require the exclusion of evidence of subsequent measures when offered for another purpose, such as proving ownership, control or feasibility of precautionary measures, if controverted, or impeachment.