### MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

L.D. 179

ROF S

Date: 6/12/25 MIMORITY

(Filing No. H-685)

#### CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND PUBLIC SAFETY

Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House.

STATE OF MAINE

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

132ND LEGISLATURE

FIRST SPECIAL SESSION

COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 112, L.D. 179, "An Act to Amend the Maine Bail Code to Eliminate the Class E Crime of Violation of Condition of Release"

Amend the bill by striking out the title and substituting the following:

'Resolve, to Study the Feasibility of Repealing the Class E Crime of Violation of Condition of Release'

Amend the bill by striking out everything after the title and inserting the following:

'Preamble. Whereas, between 8,500 and 9,500 Class E violations of conditions of release have been charged across the State in each of the last 5 years; and

Whereas, the Legislature observes a need to maintain a strong coordinated community response to bail violations that impact victim safety; and

Whereas, eliminating the Class E crime of violation of condition of release and developing alternative mechanisms to maintain an equivalent ability to address bail violations in such cases will require input and collaboration from several stakeholders; now, therefore, be it

- Sec. 1. Administrative Office of Courts to convene working group. Resolved: That the Administrative Office of the Courts shall convene a working group of stakeholders to study the feasibility of removing the Class E crime of violation of condition of release and, if appropriate, study alternative mechanisms to replace the Class E crime of violation of condition of release that aligns with the purpose and intent of the Maine Bail Code.
- Sec. 2. Working group membership. Resolved: That the working group under section 1 must include, at a minimum, the following members:
- 1. The State Court Administrator of the Administrative Office of the Courts or the administrator's designee;
- 2. One or more representatives of the judicial branch with expertise in the Maine Bail Code and criminal court process and database management;

Page 1 - 132LR0586(02)

# ROFS

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

28

29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

## COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " to H.P. 112, L.D. 179

- 3. A representative of the Department of Public Safety, Bureau of State Police, State Bureau of Identification with expertise in state and federal law enforcement databases;
  - 4. A representative of a statewide association representing chiefs of police;
  - 5. A representative of a statewide association representing sheriffs;
  - 6. A representative of the Department of Public Safety, Bureau of State Police;
- 7. A representative of a statewide organization with expertise in the pretrial monitoring of individuals charged with crimes;
  - 8. A representative of a statewide association of prosecutors;
  - 9. A representative of a statewide association of criminal defense lawyers;
  - 10. A representative of the Maine Commission on Public Defense Services;
- 11. A representative of a statewide organization of domestic violence service providers;
- 12. A representative of a statewide organization of sexual assault victim support service providers; and
  - 13. A representative of a statewide organization advocating for civil liberties.

The working group may invite the participation of additional members as necessary to carry out the purposes of the study and may consult with any individual or organization considered appropriate by the working group.

- Sec. 3. Duties of working group. Resolved: That the working group under section 1 shall study the feasibility of repealing the Class E crime of violation of condition of release and shall consider and address, at a minimum, the following related matters:
- 1. How to maintain a response to violations of bail that implicate victim safety that is equivalent to the response under current law;
- 2. Whether there are case types for which violations of conditions of release should be addressed in a specialized way, including, but not limited to, cases involving domestic violence and sexual assault;
- 3. Whether protective orders may be used as an alternative mechanism for crimes between family members;
- 4. How to ensure judicial officers can still access and consider information about prior compliance with conditions of release in making determinations about current conditions of release:
- 5. How to address a motion to revoke bail when the violation of a condition of release occurred in a different county than the county in which the underlying case is charged;
- 6. How to address a violation of conditions of release that occurs prior to the State's having filed the necessary complaint with the court;
- 7. How to address the current use of violations of conditions of release as sanctions for those participating in Maine's treatment courts;
- 8. Whether there are any other modifications to the Maine Bail Code needed to implement the repeal of the Class E crime of violation of condition of release;

Page 2 - 132LR0586(02)

<u> </u>	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "\( \Lambda \)" to H.P. 112, L.D. 179						
2 S	9. How to address post-conviction bail violations;						
2	10. Estimated costs for implementation of the recommendations; and						
3	11. A reasonable time frame for implementation.						
4	Sec. 4. Report. Resolved: That, by January 1, 2026, the Administrative Office of						
5	the Courts shall submit a report containing the findings and recommendations of the						
6	working group under section 1, including proposed legislation, to the Joint Standing						
7	Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety. The committee may submit legislation						
8	based on the report to the Second Regular Session of the 132nd Legislature.						
9 10	Sec. 5. Appropriations and allocations. appropriations and allocations are made.	Resolved: That f	he following				
11	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT						
12	Courts - Supreme, Superior and District 0063						
13 14	Initiative: Establishes one Criminal Process Specialist position and provides funding for related All Other costs.						
15	GENERAL FUND	2025-26	2026-27				
16	POSITIONS - LEGISLATIVE COUNT	1.000	1.000				
17	Personal Services	\$129,599	\$136,283				
18	All Other	\$1,200	\$1,200				
19	OFNED AL FIRE TOTAL	Φ120 700	Φ107 400				
20	GENERAL FUND TOTAL	\$130,799	\$137,483				
21	Courts - Supreme, Superior and District 0063						
22 23	Initiative: Provides one-time funding for an active retired judge to participate in the working group.						
24	GENERAL FUND	2025-26	2026-27				
25	All Other	\$4,200	\$0				
26	OFNED AT FIRE TOTAL	Ф1 000	Φ0				
27	GENERAL FUND TOTAL	\$4,200	\$0				
28							
29	JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT						
30	DEPARTMENT TOTALS	2025-26	2026-27				
31	CENTED AT EXIMO	M12.4.000	0125 402				
32 33	GENERAL FUND	\$134,999	\$137,483				
34	DEPARTMENT TOTAL - ALL FUNDS	\$134,999	\$137,483				
35	1	4 1,	4,,,				
36	Amend the bill by relettering or renumbering any nonconsecutive Part letter or section						
37	number to read consecutively.						
38	SUMMARY						
39	This amendment, which is the minority report of the committee, changes the title and						
40	replaces the bill with a resolve. The amendment directs the judicial branch to convene a						

Page 3 - 132LR0586(02)

OFS	COMMITTEE AMENDMENT "A" to H.P. 112, L.D. 179
1 2 3 4	working group to study the feasibility of repealing the Class E crime of violation of condition of release and finding suitable alternatives to maintain public safety that align with the intent and purposes of the Maine Bail Code. In accomplishing this goal, the working group must consider the following:
5 6	1. How to maintain a response to violations of bail that implicate victim safety that is equivalent to the response under current law;
7 8 9	<ol> <li>Whether there are case types for which violations of conditions of release should be addressed in a specialized way, including, but not limited to, cases involving domestic violence and sexual assault;</li> </ol>
10 11	3. Whether protective orders may be used as an alternative mechanism for crimes between family members;
12 13 14	4. How to ensure judicial officers can still access and consider information about prior compliance with conditions of release in making determinations about current conditions of release;
15 16	5. How to address a motion to revoke bail when the violation of a condition of release occurred in a different county than the county in which the underlying case is charged;
17 18	6. How to address a violation of conditions of release that occurs prior to the State's having filed the necessary complaint with the court;
19 20	7. How to address the current use of violations of conditions of release as sanctions for those participating in Maine's treatment courts;
21 22	8. Whether there are any other modifications to the Maine Bail Code needed to implement the repeal of the Class E crime of violation of condition of release;
23	9. How to address post-conviction bail violations;
24	10. Estimated costs for implementation of the recommendations; and
25	11. A reasonable time frame for implementation.
26 27 28	The judicial branch must submit a report to the Joint Standing Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety by January 1, 2026, and the committee may report out legislation based on the report to the Second Regular Session of the 132nd Legislature.
29	FISCAL NOTE REQUIRED
30	(See attached)



### 132nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

LD 179

LR 586(02)

An Act to Amend the Maine Bail Code to Eliminate the Class E Crime of Violation of Condition of Release

Fiscal Note for Bill as Amended by Committee Amendment 'A'' (H - 685)

Committee: Criminal Justice and Public Safety

Fiscal Note Required: Yes

### **Fiscal Note**

	FY 2025-26	FY 2026-27	Projections FY 2027-28	Projections FY 2028-29
Net Cost (Savings) General Fund	\$134,999	\$137,483	\$142,798	\$148,320
Appropriations/Allocations  General Fund	\$134,999	\$137,483	\$142,798	\$148,320

#### Fiscal Detail and Notes

The bill includes ongoing General Fund appropriations to the Judicial Branch of \$130,799 in fiscal year 2025-26 and \$137,483 in fiscal year 2026-27 to establish one criminal process specialist position to assist the working group. This position is also included in Part A of the Governor's proposed biennial budget. If funded through the biennial budget bill, it would not require separate funding in this bill. It also includes one-time General Fund appropriation of \$4,200 in fiscal year 2025-26 for an active retired judge to participate in the working group.

Any additional costs to the Department of Public Safety and the Maine Commission on Public Defense Services from the provisions of this bill are expected to be minor and can be absorbed within existing budgeted resources.