MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from electronic originals (may include minor formatting differences from printed original)



126th MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2013

Legislative Document

No. 1540

S.P. 585

In Senate, May 16, 2013

An Act To Fix and Improve the System Used To Evaluate or Rate Public Schools in Maine

(AFTER DEADLINE)

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 205.

Reference to the Committee on Education and Cultural Affairs suggested and ordered printed.

DAREK M. GRANT Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator MILLETT of Cumberland.
Cosponsored by Representative MacDONALD of Boothbay and
Senators: BOYLE of Cumberland, JOHNSON of Lincoln, WOODBURY of Cumberland,
Representatives: DAUGHTRY of Brunswick, HUBBELL of Bar Harbor, KORNFIELD of
Bangor, NELSON of Falmouth.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2	CONCEPT DRAFT
3	SUMMARY
4	This bill is a concept draft pursuant to Joint Rule 208.
5 6	This bill proposes to provide that if the Department of Education or any other state agency uses a system to evaluate or rate public schools:
7 8 9 10	1. The department or other state agency shall give notice to the public that such a system will be used, shall give an opportunity for the public and interested parties to provide input regarding the development of the system and shall convene a task force on the development and implementation of the system that includes but is not limited to representatives of the following stakeholder groups:
12	A. Teachers;
13	B. School boards;
14	C. Superintendents;
15	D. Parents; and
16	E. Students;
17	2. The system must include:
18	A. Accurate measures of student progress over at least 5 years;
19 20	B. Rates of postsecondary school attendance and enlistment in the United States Armed Forces over at least 5 years;
21 22 23	C. A peer group comparison that takes into account, but is not limited to, utilization of special education services, the number of students eligible for free or reduced-price meals, local and county unemployment data and median household income;
24	D. School attendance rates;
25	E. Graduation rates; and
26 27 28	F. Interviews with parents of students, members of governing boards of school administrative units, teachers and other education leaders about the overall school environment; and
29 30	3. The system may not use a bell curve and a school may not be penalized because of the failure of students to take certain standardized tests.