



122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND REGULAR SESSION-2006

Legislative Document	No. 1907
H.P. 1348	House of Representatives, January 4, 2006

An Act To Amend the Law Governing DNA Testing

Approved for introduction by a majority of the Legislative Council pursuant to Joint Rule 203.

Reference to the Committee on Judiciary suggested and ordered printed.

Millicent M. Mac Jailand

MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Presented by Representative PARADIS of Frenchville.

Cosponsored by Senator MARTIN of Aroostook and

Representatives: CAMPBELL of Newfield, CHURCHILL of Washburn, CUMMINGS of Portland, DAVIS of Augusta, DUPLESSIE of Westbrook, GERZOFSKY of Brunswick, GROSE of Woolwich, LUNDEEN of Mars Hill, Speaker RICHARDSON of Brunswick, SMITH of Van Buren, Senators: DOW of Lincoln, NUTTING of Androscoggin.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2 Sec. 1. 15 MRSA §2136, sub-§1-A is enacted to read: 4 1-A. Biological evidence. "Biological evidence" means: 6 A. The contents of a sexual assault examination kit; or 8 B. Any item that contains blood, semen, hair, saliva, skin 10 tissue or other identifiable biological material, whether that evidence is catalogued separately or is present on 12 other evidence, including, but not limited to, clothing, ligatures, bedding or other household materials, drinking 14 cups and cigarettes. Sec. 2. 15 MRSA §2136, sub-§6-A is enacted to read: 16 18 6-A. Petitioner. "Petitioner" means a person authorized pursuant to section 2137 to bring a postjudgment of conviction 20 motion to order DNA analysis. Sec. 3. 15 MRSA §2137, as enacted by PL 2001, c. 469, §1, is 22 amended to read: 24 §2137. Postjudgment of conviction motion for DNA analysis; new 26 trial based on analysis results 28 A person convicted of a crime under the laws of this State that-earries-the-potential-punishment-of-imprisonment-of-at-least 30 20- years - and - for - which - the - person - is - in - astual - execution - of - a sentence--of--imprisonment--or--is--subject--to--a--sontence--of 32 imprisonment-that-is-to-be-served-in-the-future because -another sentence-must-be-served-first may file, at any time, a written postjudgment of conviction motion in the underlying criminal 34 proceeding moving the court to order DNA analysis of evidence in 36 the -- control -or - possession -of -- the -State that is related to the underlying investigation or prosecution that led to the person's conviction and a new trial based on the results of that analysis 38 as authorized by this chapter. 40 Sec. 4. 15 MRSA §2138, as enacted by PL 2001, c. 469, §1, is 42 amended to read: §2138. Motion; process 44 Filing motion. A person authorized in section 2137 who 46 1. chooses to move for DNA analysis shall file the motion in the

chooses to move for DNA analysis shall file the motion in the underlying criminal proceeding. The motion must may be assigned to the-trial-judge-or-justice-who-imposed-the-sentence-unless that--judge-or--justice-is--unavailable,--in--which-case-the appropriate-chief-judge or chief-justice-shall assign the motion
 to-another any judge or justice. Filing and service must be made in accordance with Rule 49 of the Maine Rules of Criminal
 Procedure.

6 <u>1-A. Response: hearing. The State shall file a response to</u> the motion filed pursuant to subsection 1 within 30 days of 8 receipt of the motion. The court shall hear the motion no sooner than 30 days or later than 90 days after the motion is filed 10 pursuant to subsection 1.

If -- a-motion - is -- filed -under 12 2. Preservation of evidence. this-chapter,--the The court shall order the State to preserve during-the-pendency-of-the-proceeding all evidence in the State's 14 possession or control that could be subjected to DNA analysis for the period of time that a person remains incarcerated, on 16 probation, civilly committed or subject to registration as a sex offender under Title 34-A, chapter 15. The <u>When a motion is</u> 18 filed pursuant to this chapter, the State shall prepare an inventory of the evidence and submit a copy of the inventory to 20 If evidence is intentionally defense and the court. the destroyed after the court orders its preservation, the court may 22 impose appropriate sanctions on the responsible party.

24

2-A. Discovery. Following the filing of a motion pursuant to this chapter, the court may:

- 28 A. Order the State to locate and provide the petitioner filing the motion with any documents, notes, logs or reports 30 relating to items of physical evidence collected in connection with the petitioner's case and assist the 32 petitioner in locating items of biological evidence that the State contends may have been lost or destroyed. The court 34 may order the State to take reasonable measures to locate biological evidence that may be in the State's custody or to assist the petitioner in locating evidence that may be in 36 the custody of a public or private hospital, laboratory or 38 other facility;
- B. Order the production of laboratory reports, including the underlying data and notes, that have been prepared in connection with evidence that has previously been subjected to DNA analysis; or
- C. Require that, if any DNA analysis or biological evidence
 testing was previously conducted by either the State or the petitioner without the knowledge of the other party, such
 analysis or testing be revealed in the motion for analysis or the response.
- 50

Counsel; fees. the court finds that the person
 filing-a motion-under-section-2137 petitioner is indigent, the court may appoint counsel for the person petitioner at any time
 during the proceedings under this chapter. If the petitioner has retained private pro bono counsel, including, but not limited to,
 counsel from a nonprofit organization that represents indigent persons, the court may award reasonable attorney's fees and costs at the conclusion of the litigation.

- 10 4. Proof required. The court shall order DNA analysis if a person-authorized-under-section-2137 petitioner presents prima
 12 facie evidence that:
- 14 A. The evidence-sought-to-be-analyzed-is-material-to-the issue-of-the-person's-identity-as-the-perpetrator-of-or
 16 accomplice-to-the-erime-that-resulted-in-the-conviction petitioner would not have been convicted, or would have
 18 received a lesser sentence, if favorable results had been obtained through DNA analysis at the time of the original prosecution;
- 22 B. A sample of the evidence is available for DNA analysis;
- C. The evidence to be tested has been subject to a chain of custody sufficient to establish that it has not been substituted, tampered with, replaced or altered in a material way;
- D. The evidence was not previously subjected to DNA analysis or, if previously analyzed, will be subject to DNA analysis technology that was not available when the person <u>petitioner</u> was convicted; and
- 34 E. The identity of the person <u>petitioner</u> as the perpetrator of the crime that resulted in the conviction was at issue
 36 during the person's <u>petitioner's</u> trial, and
- F. The application for testing is being made for the purpose of demonstrating innocence or the appropriateness of
 a lesser sentence and not to delay unreasonably the execution of sentence or administration of justice.
- 42

28

5. Court finding; analysis ordered. The court shall state
its findings of fact on the record or shall make written findings of fact supporting its decision to grant or deny a motion to
order DNA analysis. If--the--court--grants-a-motion--fer--DNA analysis--under-this--section,--the-crime--lab--shall-perform--DNA
analysis-on-the-identified evidence-and-on-a-DNA-sample-obtained from-the-person.

50

If the court orders DNA analysis:

2	
4	A. The analysis must be conducted by a facility mutually acceptable to the petitioner and the State and approved by
6	the court. If the parties are unable to agree, the court shall designate the analysis facility and provide the parties with reasonable opportunity to be heard on the issue
8	of the choice of the laboratory;
10	<u>B. The court shall impose reasonable conditions on the analysis to protect the parties' interests in the integrity</u>
12	of the evidence and the analysis process; and
14	<u>C. The court may make other orders it considers</u> appropriate, including, but not limited to, requiring the
16	collection and DNA analysis of biological samples from a 3rd party. The court may also:
18	(1) Specify the type of DNA analysis to be used;
20	(2) Specify the testing procedure to be followed;
22	(3) Require the preservation of some portion of the
24	sample for the purpose of repeating the DNA analysis; or
26	(4) Require additional DNA analysis if the results of the initial DNA analysis are inconclusive or otherwise
28	merit additional scientific analysis.
30	Notwithstanding any law to the contrary, a sample taken pursuant to this paragraph from a 3rd party is exempt from
32	any law requiring the disclosure of information to the public.
34	6. Appeal from court decision to grant or deny motion to
36	order DNA analysis. An-aggrieved-person <u>A petitioner</u> may not appeal as a matter of right from the denial of a motion to order
38	DNA analysis. The time, and manner and specific-conditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the
40	Law Court, are as the Supreme Judicial Court provides by rule. The State may not appeal from a court order to grant a motion to
42	order DNA analysis.
44	7. Payment. If the person-authorized-in-section - 2137-is
46	able,-the-person-shall-pay-for-the-cost-of-the-DNA-analysis,If the-court-finds-that-the-person-is-indigent,-the-crime-lab-shall
	SHO SOME LIND CHUC CHU DELDON-ID-INGIGUNUT-UNC-UTANC-IGA-AAAA

46 the-court-finds-that-the-person-is-indigent,-the-crime-lab-shall
pay-for-the-cost-of-DNA-analysis-ordered-under-this-section DNA
48 analysis ordered by the court pursuant to subsection 5 is
conducted by the crime lab, the State shall bear the costs of the
50 DNA analysis. If the DNA analysis is performed at a private

laboratory, the court may order as the interests of justice require either the State or the petitioner, if the petitioner is able, to pay the costs of the DNA analysis.

8. Results. The crime lab <u>or private laboratory</u> shall
provide the results of the DNA analysis under this chapter to the court, the person-authorized-in-section-2137 petitioner and the
attorney for the State. Upon motion by the person petitioner or the attorney for the State, the court may shall order that copies
of the analysis protocols, laboratory procedures, laboratory notes and other relevant records compiled by the crime lab <u>or</u>
private laboratory be provided to the court and to all parties.

14 A. If the results of the DNA analysis are inconclusive or show that the person is the source of the evidence, the court shall deny any motion for a new trial. If the DNA analysis results show that the person is the source of the evidence, the defendant's DNA record must be added to the state DNA data base and state DNA data bank. The court also shall order the petitioner's probation officer to be notified of the results.

B. If the results of the DNA analysis show that the person
is not the source of the evidence and-the-person-does-net
have-counsel,--the-court-shall-appoint-counsel-if-the-court
finds-that-the-person-is-indigent.--The, the court shall
then hold a hearing at-which-the-person-must-establish-by
elear-and-convincing-evidence-that; pursuant to subsection
10.

30

32

34

50

22

4

(1)--Only-the-perpetrator-of-the-orime-or-crimes-for which-the-person-was-convicted-could-be-the-source-of the-evidence;

(2)--The-ovidence-was-collected,-handled-and-preserved36by-procedures-that-allow-the-court-to-find-that-the
evidence-is-not-contaminated-or-is-not-so-degraded-that38the-DNA-profile-of-the-analyzed-sample-of-the-evidence
ean-not-be-determined-to-be-identical-to-the-DNA-sample40initially-collected-during-the-investigation,-and

42 (3)--The-person's -purported-exclusion-as-the-source-of the-evidence,-balanced-against-the-other-evidence-in
44 the-case,-is-sufficient-to-justify-that-the-court-grant a-new-trial.

46
9. Request for reanalysis. Upon motion of the attorney for
48 the State, the court shall order reanalysis of the evidence and shall stay the person's motion for a new trial pending the

results of DNA analysis.

2	10. Hearing on results of DNA analysis; relief. The <u>If the</u> results of the DNA analysis show that the petitioner is not the
4	<u>source of the evidence, the</u> court shall stateits-findings-of fact-on-the-record-or-make-written-findings-of-fact-supporting
6	its-decision-to-grant-or-deny-the-person-authorized-in-section 2137-a-new-trial-under-this-section hold a hearing to determine
8	the appropriate relief to be granted the petitioner. If the petitioner does not have counsel, the court shall appoint counsel
10	if the court finds that the person is indigent.
12	Based on the results of the DNA analysis and any other evidence or matter presented at the hearing, the court shall issue an
14	order:
16 18	A. Setting aside or vacating the petitioner's judgment of conviction, judgment of not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect or adjudication;
10	disease of defect of adjudication;
20	<u>B. Granting the petitioner a new trial or fact-finding</u> <u>hearing;</u>
22	C. Granting the petitioner a new sentencing hearing,
24	commitment hearing or dispositional hearing;
26	D. Discharging the petitioner from custody;
28	E. Specifying the disposition of any evidence that remains after the completion of the DNA analysis;
30	
32	F. Granting the petitioner additional discovery on matters related to the DNA analysis or the underlying conviction or sentence, including, but not limited to, documents
34	pertaining to the criminal investigation or the identities of other suspects; or
36	
38	<u>G. Directing the State to place any unidentified DNA profile obtained from postjudgment of conviction DNA analysis into the state DNA database and state DNA data bank.</u>
40	
42	The court shall state its findings of fact on the record or make written findings of fact supporting the order that is issued pursuant to this subsection.
44	
46	11. Appeal from a court decision. An-aggrieved-person A petitioner or the State may not appeal from the denial-of-a-new trial order of the court made pursuant to subsection 10,
48	paragraphs A to G as a matter of right. The time, and manner and speeifieconditions for taking that appeal to the Supreme
50	Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, are as the Supreme

۰

3

x

-

.

Judicial Court provides by rule. The-State-may-appeal-as-a matter-of-right-from-a-court-decision-to-grant-the-person-a-new trial-to-the-Supreme-Judicial-Court,-sitting-as-the-Law-Court, The-time,-manner-and-specific-conditions-for-taking-that-appeal to-the-Supreme-Judicial-Court,-sitting-as-the-Law-Court,-are-as the-Supreme-Judicial-Court,-sitting-as-the-Law-Court,-are-as

8 12. Exhaustion. A person petitioner who has taken a direct appeal from the judgment of conviction is not precluded from utilizing the remedy of this chapter while the appeal is pending, provided that the resolution of the motion is automatically
12 stayed pending final disposition of the direct appeal unless the Supreme Judicial Court, sitting as the Law Court, on motion
14 otherwise directs.

 A person <u>petitioner</u> who has initiated a collateral attack upon the judgment of conviction under chapter 305-A is not precluded
 from utilizing the remedy of this chapter while that post-conviction review proceeding is pending, provided that
 resolution of the motion is automatically stayed pending final disposition of the post-conviction review proceeding unless the
 assigned justice in the post-conviction review proceeding otherwise directs.

24

Victim notification. When practicable, the attorney 13. for the State shall make a good faith effort to give written 26 notice of a motion under this section to the victim of the person 28 deseribed-in-subsection-1 petitioner or to the victim's family if the victim is deceased. The notice must be by first-class mail to the victim's last known address. Upon the victim's request, 30 the attorney for the State shall give the victim notice of the 32 time and place of any hearing on the motion and shall inform the victim of the court's grant-or-denial-of-a-new-trial-to-the persen order made pursuant to subsection 10. 34

14. Preservation of biological evidence. Effective October
 15, 2001, the investigating law enforcement agency shall preserve
 any biological evidence identified during the investigation of a
 crime or crimes for which any person may file a postjudgment of
 conviction motion for DNA analysis under this section. The
 evidence must be preserved for the period of time that any person
 is incarcerated in connection with that case.

Effective October 1, 2006, the investigating law enforcement agency shall preserve any biological evidence identified during
 the investigation of a crime or crimes for which any person may file a postjudgment of conviction motion for DNA analysis under
 this section. The evidence must be preserved for the period of time that any person remains incarcerated, on probation, civilly

committed or subject to registration as a sex offender under 2 Title 34-A, chapter 15.

4 15. Report. Beginning January 2003 and annually thereafter, the Department of Public Safety shall report on post-conviction DNA analysis to the joint standing committee of 6 Legislature having jurisdiction over criminal the justice The report must include the number of postjudgment of 8 matters. conviction analyses completed, costs of the analyses and the 10 results. The report also may include recommendations to improve the postjudgment of conviction analysis process.

12

16. Successive motions. If the petitioner has filed a prior motion under this chapter, the petitioner may file another motion that must be heard by the court if the petitioner asserts new or different grounds for relief, including, but not limited to, factual, scientific or legal arguments not previously presented or the availability of more advanced DNA analysis technology.

20

28

30

42

17. Mutual consent to DNA analysis. This section may not be construed to prohibit a convicted person and the State from consenting to and conducting postjudgment of conviction DNA analysis without filing a motion pursuant to this chapter. The results of DNA analysis conducted pursuant to this subsection are governed by the provisions of subsections 8 and 10.

SUMMARY

This bill, modeled on the Innocence Project's model statute 32 for obtaining postconviction DNA testing, amends the laws regarding postjudgment conviction motions for DNA analysis in the 34 following ways:

It allows a motion to be brought at any time by any convicted person, regardless of whether the person is incarcerated and the length of the sentence of incarceration;

40 2. It allows the motion to be brought before any judge or justice, not just the judge or justice who imposed the sentence;

3. It provides a time limit for the State to respond to the 44 motion and for the court to hear the motion;

46 4. It requires the State or law enforcement agency to preserve all evidence in the State's or law enforcement agency's
48 possession or control for the period of time that a person remains incarcerated, on probation, civilly committed or subject
50 to registration as a sex offender;

5. It allows the court, if the petitioner has retained private counsel, including a nonprofit organization that
represents indigent persons, to award reasonable attorney's fees and costs to that private counsel;

6

44

6. It allows a petition to be brought if the petitioner is
able to show that the person would not have been convicted or
would have received a lesser sentence if favorable results had
been obtained through DNA analysis at the time of the original prosecution;

7. It requires the petitioner and the State to agree on a
14 laboratory to perform the DNA analysis or, if agreement is not possible, requires the court to choose the laboratory with input
16 from the petitioner and the State;

18 8. It requires the State to bear the costs of DNA analysis if it is performed by the Maine State Police Crime Laboratory
 20 located in Augusta;

9. It allows the court, if it orders DNA analysis, to make other orders including specifying the type of DNA analysis and testing procedures to be used and requiring the collection and analysis of biological samples from persons other than the petitioner;

10. If the results of the DNA analysis are inconclusive or show that the petitioner is the source of the DNA, the court is
required to notify the petitioner's probation officer;

32 11. If the results of the DNA analysis are favorable to the petitioner, it requires the court to hold a hearing on the 34 results. Based on the DNA analysis and any other evidence or matter raised at the hearing, the court is required to issue an 36 order:

- A. Setting aside or vacating the petitioner's judgment of conviction, judgment of not guilty by reason of mental
 disease or defect or adjudication;
- 42 B. Granting the petitioner a new trial or fact-finding hearing;
- C. Granting the petitioner a new sentencing hearing, 46 commitment hearing or dispositional hearing;
- 48 D. Discharging the petitioner from custody;

Page 9-LR2904(1)

E. Specifying the disposition of any evidence that remains 2 after the completion of the DNA analysis;

- F. Granting the petitioner additional discovery on matters related to the DNA analysis or the underlying conviction or
 sentence, including, but not limited to, documents pertaining to the criminal investigation or the identities
 of other suspects; or
- G. Directing the State to place any unidentified DNA profile obtained from postjudgment of conviction DNA analysis into the state DNA database and state DNA data bank;

12. It eliminates the requirement that the petitioner prove that only the perpetrator of the crime or crimes for which the
 petitioner was convicted could be the source of the DNA evidence;

18 13. It allows the petitioner to appeal, as a matter of right, the court's denial of the motion for DNA analysis;

20

14. It allows the petitioner or the State, as a matter of right, to appeal an order of the court made after the hearing conducted due to DNA analysis results favorable to the petitioner; 24

It allows successive motions for DNA analysis to be
 brought if the petitioner asserts new or different grounds for
 relief, including, but not limited to, factual, scientific or
 legal arguments not previously presented or the availability of
 more advanced DNA analysis technology; and

30

16. It allows a convicted person and the State to consent 32 to and conduct postjudgment of conviction DNA analysis without filing a motion before the court. The process following the 34 completion of DNA analysis is the same as if the DNA analysis had been ordered by the court.