MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2005

Legislative Document

No. 53

H.P. 49

House of Representatives, January 11, 2005

An Act To Criminalize Harming a Dog Used for Law Enforcement Purposes

Reference to the Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety suggested and ordered printed.

Millient M. Macfarland MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Presented by Representative FISCHER of Presque Isle.
Cosponsored by Senator DIAMOND of Cumberland and
Representatives: GERZOFSKY of Brunswick, SYKES of Harrison, Senator: CLUKEY of
Aroostook.

2	Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:		
	Sec. 1. 17-A MRSA §752-B, as amended by PL 2001, c. 383, §§	82	
4	and 83 and affected by §156, is further amended to read:		

§752-B. Unlawful interference with law enforcement dogs

- 1. A person is guilty of unlawful interference with a law enforcement dog if the person intentionally or knowingly:
- A. Kills, mutilates or permanently disables any dog that the person knows or reasonably should have known is eertified used for law enforcement use purposes. Violation of this paragraph is a Class C crime; or

B. Torments, beats, strikes, injures, temporarily disables or otherwise mistreats any dog that the person knows or reasonably should have known is eertified used for law enforcement use purposes. Violation of this paragraph is a Class D crime.

2.--Fer-the-purposes of this section, a dog-is-certified-fer law-enforcement-use-if-the-State-has-certified-that-the-dog-has satisfactorily-completed-requisite-training-for-one-or-more-law enforcement-purposes.

SUMMARY

This bill amends the law regarding interference with law enforcement dogs by applying the crime to any person who harms a dog that the person knows or reasonably should have known is used for law enforcement purposes. Currently, the prohibition applies only to a person who harms a dog that the person knows or reasonably should have known is certified by the State for law enforcement use.