MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



122nd MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2005

Legislative Document

No. 12

S.P. 11

In Senate, January 6, 2005

An Act To Implement the School Finance and Tax Reform Act of 2003

Reference to the Committee on Taxation suggested and ordered printed.

JOY J. O'BRIEN Secretary of the Senate

Presented by Senator NUTTING of Androscoggin.
Cosponsored by Representative FLETCHER of Winslow and
Senators: BARTLETT of Cumberland, DAVIS of Piscataquis, MARTIN of Aroostook,
MITCHELL of Kennebec, TURNER of Cumberland, Representatives: MAZUREK of
Rockland, PARADIS of Frenchville, SCHATZ of Blue Hill.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

2

8

10

12

14

16

18

20

22

26

28

30

32

34

36

44

46

48

50

Sec. 1. 5 MRSA §1677, sub-§2, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 712, §1, is amended to read:

Determination of effectiveness of increased state support for public education in reducing property tax. Beginning in fiscal year 2006-07 and ending in fiscal year 2009-10, the committee shall meet annually on or about June 15th and, in coordination with the development of budget estimates pursuant to section 1665, determine whether the state share percentage of the total costs of essential programs and services described in Title 20-A, section 15671, -- subsection - 7 was met in the prior fiscal year and to determine whether this level of state funding resulted in a reduction of the related local property tax commitment required to fund the costs of essential programs and services described in Title 20-A, section 15671 in the prior fiscal year. The committee's determination must be based on consideration of the following information developed by the Department of Education regarding each municipality and statewide aggregate for the prior fiscal year:

A. The total state and municipal spending for public education services for kindergarten to grade 12;

B. The total costs of the essential programs and services described in Title 20-A, section 15671;

C. The total state appropriation for public education services for kindergarten to grade 12; and

- D. The total local property tax commitment to support the provision of public education services for kindergarten to grade 12, municipal services and the local share of the county budget apportioned pursuant to Title 30-A, section 706.
- Sec. 2. 20-A MRSA §15671, sub-§1, ¶A. as enacted by PL 2001, c. 660, §1, is repealed.
- Sec. 3. 20-A MRSA §15671, sub-§1, ¶B. as amended by PL 2003, c. 712, §9, is further amended to read:
 - B. By fiscal year 2009-10 2005-06 the state share of the total cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12, as described by essential programs and services, must be 55%. Beginning-in-fiscal-year-2005-06-and-in-each fiscal-year-until-fiscal-year-2009-10,-the-state-share-ef essential--programs--and--services--described--costs--must increase--toward--the--55%--level--required--in--fiscal-year 2009-10.

	Sec. 4. 20-A MRSA §15671, sub-§1-A is enacted to read:
	1-A. Essential Programs and Services Review Panel. To
	ensure the sustained integrity of the essential programs and
	services school funding model, the Governor shall appoint the following members to serve as the Essential Programs and Services
	Review Panel, which is charged with evaluating on an annual basis
	the degree to which the model accurately identifies the true and
	reasonable costs of providing educational services:
	A. The commissioner or the commissioner's designee;
	B. One representative of the state board;
	C. Two active school teachers recommended by the Main
	Education Association;
	D. Two active school superintendents recommended by
	statewide school superintendents' association;
	E. Two active school board members recommended by the Main
	School Boards Association;
	F. Two municipal officials recommended by the Main
	Municipal Association; and
	G. One active school principal recommended by a statewid
	school principals' association.
	SCHOOL PILITELPHIS ASSOCIACION.
Ţ	The Governor's appointments must be characterized by broa
	epresentation both geographically and with respect to the
	variously configured and sized school administrative units. N
	ater than December 1, 2005 and by December 1st every yea
	thereafter, the review panel must prepare a formal evaluation o
	the essential programs and services school funding model, alon
	with any recommendations to change the model in order to approv
	its accuracy and integrity, and provide that evaluation to the
1	state board, the Governor and the Legislature.
	Sac 5 20-4 MDS4 815671 sub 87
	Sec. 5. 20-A MRSA §15671, sub-§7, as amended by PL 2003, c 712, §10, is repealed.
	5
	Sec. 6. 20-A MRSA §15671-A, sub-§2, ¶B, as enacted by PL 2003
	c. 712, §11, is amended to read:
	B. For property tax years beginning on or after April 1
	2005, the commissioner shall calculate the full-value

education mill rate that is required to raise the total of

the local cost share expectation. The full-value education 2 mill rate is calculated by dividing the applicable tax year percentage of the projected cost of funding public education from kindergarten to grade 12 by the certified total state valuation for the year prior to the most recently certified 6 valuation for all municipalities. full-value education mill rate must-decline-over-the-period 8 from-fiscal-year-2005-06-to-fiscal-year-2009-10-and-may-not exeeed-9-0-mills-in-fiscal-year-2005-06-and may not exceed 10 8+0 8.5 mills in fiscal year 2009-10 2005-06 and every fiscal year thereafter. The full-value education mill rate 12 must be applied according to section 15688, subsection 3, paragraph A to determine a municipality's local cost share 14 expectation. Full-value--education--mill--rates--must--be derived-according-to-the-following-schedule-16 (1)---For-the--2005--property--tax--year,--the--full-value 18 education-mill-rate-is-the-amount-necessary-to-result in-a-47.4%-lecal-share-in-fiscal-year-2005-06. 20 (2)---For-the--2006--property--tax--year,--the--full-value 22 education-mill-rate-is-the-amount-necessary-to-result in-a-47.4%-lecal-share-in-fiscal-year-2006-07. 24 (3) --- For -the--2007 - property -tax--year, -the--full-value 26 education-mill--rate-is-the-amount-necessary--to-result in-a-47-0%-lecal-share-in-fiscal-year-2007-08-28 (4)---For-the--2008-property-tax--year,--the--full-value 30 education-mill--rate-is-the-amount-necessary-to-result in-a-46-0%-lecal-share-in-fiscal-year-2008-09-

32

(5)---For-the--2009--property-tax--year,--the--full-value education-mill--rate-is--the--amount-necessary--to--result in-a-45.0%-local-share-in-fiscal-year-2009-10.

36

38

42

44

46

48

50

34

- Sec. 7. 20-A MRSA §15672, sub-§9, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 504, Pt. A, §6, is repealed.
- Sec. 8. 20-A MRSA §15680, sub-§4, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 504, Pt. A, §6, is amended to read:
 - 4. Review; approval. Beginning in fiscal year 2006-07, and at least every 2 years thereafter, the commissioner, using information provided by a statewide education policy research institute, shall review the essential programs and services components under this Act and shall submit, in consultation with the review panel established pursuant to section 15671, subsection 1-A, any recommended changes to the state board for approval.

- Sec. 9. 20-A MRSA §15681, sub-§5, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 504, Pt. A, §6, is amended to read:
- 5. Review; approval. Beginning in fiscal year 2006-07, and at least every 2 years thereafter, the commissioner, using information provided by a statewide education policy research institute, shall review the targeted amounts under this section and shall submit, in consultation with the review panel established pursuant to section 15671, subsection 1-A, any recommended changes to the state board for approval.
- Sec. 10. 20-A MRSA §15682, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 504, Pt. A, §6, is amended to read:

§15682. Regional adjustment

2

14

16

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

42

44

46

48

50

The commissioner shall make a regional adjustment in the total operating allocation for each school administrative unit 18 determined pursuant to section 15683. The regional adjustment must be based on the regional differences in teacher salary costs 20 within labor market areas in the State, as computed by a 22 statewide education policy research institute, and must be applied only to appropriate teacher salary and benefits costs as calculated under section 15678. 24 Beginning in fiscal 2006-07, and at least every 2 years thereafter, the commissioner, 26 using information provided by a statewide education policy

research institute, shall review the regional adjustment amounts under this section and shall submit, in consultation with the review panel established pursuant to section 15671, subsection 1-A, any recommended changes to the state board for approval.

Sec. 11. 20-A MRSA §15683, last \P , as enacted by IB 2003, c. 2, $\S1$, is amended to read:

For the purposes of the mandatory appropriation required by this section and in accordance with the essential programs and services school funding allocation system established in this chapter, the commissioner shall identify and provide in the commissioner's recommendation pursuant to section 15605 the total costs to the individual school administrative units associated with providing all special education services mandated under federal or state law, rule or regulation for the school year eeneluding -- en-- the -- previous -- June -- 30th associated with the commissioner's recommendation. In addition to any appropriations required by section 15607, the Legislature shall appropriate and ensure the accurate distribution of the total amount identified by the commissioner, adjusted by the aetual-state-and federal reimbursements for the costs of special education services mandated by federal or state law, rule or regulation previously that will be provided to the individual school administrative units for that same school year.

2	Sec. 12. 20-A MRSA §15686, as amended by PL 2003, c. 712, §16, is repealed.
4	Sec. 13. 20-A MRSA §15688, sub-§1, ¶A, as enacted by PL 2003,
6	c. 712, §17, is amended to read:
8	A. The school administrative unit's total cost of funding essential programs and services subjecttothetransities
10	percentages described in section 156717 subsection 7, paragraph - A;
12	Sec. 14. 20-A MRSA §15689, as enacted by PL 2003, c. 712,
14	§17, is repealed.
16	Sec. 15. 20-A MRSA c. 608 is enacted to read:
18	CHAPTER 608
20	FUND FOR THE EFFICIENT DELIVERY OF EDUCATIONAL SERVICES
22	§15801. Fund for Efficient Delivery of Educational Services
24	1. Establishment. The Fund for the Efficient Delivery of
26	Educational Services, referred to in this chapter as "the fund,"
28	is established as a dedicated, special revenue account to provide a financial incentive for voluntary collaboration among school
30	administrative units or among one or more municipalities and one or more school administrative units to reduce the costs of
32	delivering educational services.
34	2. Fund source; nonlapsing. The fund consists of revenues provided pursuant to section 15684 and any funds received as contributions from private and public sources. Eligible
36	investment earnings credited to the assets of the fund become part of the assets of the fund. Any balance remaining in the
38	fund at the end of any fiscal year must be carried forward to the next fiscal year, except that the maximum fund balance, less any
40	encumbrances, at the end of any fiscal year must not exceed 150% of the revenue accrued to the fund during that fiscal year.
42	Revenue in the fund in excess of the maximum fund balance, less any encumbrances, must be used to supplement, and not supplant,
44	the distribution of general school subsidy during the subsequent fiscal year.
46	3. Administration. The fund, to be accounted within the
48	department, must be held separate and apart from all other money, funds and accounts. The department shall administer the fund
50	according to the grant application review and decision process established in subsection 5.

2	4. Fund eligibility. The fund is available solely to fund
	proposed efficiency projects jointly presented in a collaborative
4	application pursuant to subsections 6 to 10 by one or more school
6	administrative units. School administrative units may contract with nongovernmental organizations and individuals for the
U	purpose of carrying out projects supported by the fund.
8	purpose of carrying out projects supported by the runar
•	5. Establishment of review panel; review and decision on
10	grant applications. The Governor shall appoint the following
	members to serve as the review panel, which, in accordance with
12	rules developed by the department pursuant to subsection 11,
	shall review, prioritize among and award applications for
14	competitive grants made pursuant to subsections 6 to 9:
16	A. One representative of the department;
18	B. One representative of the state board;
10	b. One representative or the state board,
20	C. One representative of a private financial institution
	with expertise in the areas of business finance, commercial
22	loans and return on investment;
24	D. One representative recommended by the Maine Education
	Association who formerly served as a public school teacher
26	in Maine; and
28	E The paragonatives resommended by the Maine Cabool
20	E. Two representatives recommended by the Maine School Management Association, one of whom formerly served as a
30	school superintendent in Maine and one of whom formerly
5.0	served as an elected school board member or school district
3 2	representative in Maine.
34	The Governor's appointments must be characterized by broad
	geographic representation.
36	
2.0	6. Competitive grants: standard of qualification. In seeking
38	competitive awards from the fund, each application for a grant to fund or partially fund an efficiency project must contain
40	sufficient information so that the review panel established in
10	subsection 5 can identify:
42	
	A. The nature, scope and timing of the entire efficiency
14	project, including all proposed programmatic and governance
	changes, school administrative unit or school district
1 6	reconfigurations, system restructurings, employment
	reconfigurations and capital or infrastructure investments;
18	
- 0	B. The total cost of the efficiency project, including the
50	dollar value of the grant request and all other funds and
52	in-kind services contributed by the applicants and other
1/	Samuel CONT

2	cine annual cost of providing educational services
	throughout the jurisdiction represented by the applicant or
4	applicants for each of the 10 years before the
	implementation of the efficiency project;
6	
•	D. The projected costs of providing educational services
0	The state of the s
8	throughout the jurisdiction represented by the applicant or
	applicants for each of the 10 years after the implementation
10	of the efficiency project;
12	E. The type of commitments, contracts or agreements made,
	entered into or executed by the applicant or applicants that
14	serve to substantiate, verify or support the projections
T.#	
	required by this subsection; and
16	
	F. The annual return on investment with respect to the
18	total cost of projects supported in whole or in part with
	grants from the fund.
20	Stand Live Live Land
20	
	7. Competitive grants; qualifying actions. Except as
22	otherwise provided in subsection 10, applicants for grant
	assistance must demonstrate significant and sustainable savings
24	in the cost of delivering educational services through proposals
	involving one or more of the following qualifying actions:
26	
-0	A. Consolidation of school administrative units;
2.0	A: CONSOLIDACION OF SCHOOL Administrative units,
28	
	B. Enhancement of the regional delivery of educational
30	services;
32	C. Consolidation of administrative services or functions
	directly related to delivery of educational services;
34	
J I	D. Creation of broad-based alliances for the purchase of
36	goods and services directly related to delivery of
	educational services;
38	
	E. Creation of collaborative school-municipal or
40	school-county service delivery or service support systems;
10	and
4.5	and
42	
	F. Changes in the school administrative unit's governance
44	system, administrative structure or adopted policies that
	provide for one or more qualifying actions provided for in
46	paragraphs A to E.
4.0	The qualifying actions in this subsection are not listed in order
48	
	of priority. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 10,
50	applicants for a grant under this chapter must specify the

	pertinent qualifying actions for which assistance is sought, how
2	implementation of those actions will result in cost savings and a
	projection of the cost savings reasonably attributable to
4	implementation of its proposal, in addition to and in the manner
	provided in rules adopted by the department pursuant to
6	subsection 11.
_	
8	8. Competitive grants; additional review criteria. In
1.0	addition to the standard of qualification information identified
10	in subsection 6 and the qualifying actions identified in
1.2	subsection 7, the review panel shall also consider the following
12	review criteria:
14	A. Chance of success, including the extent and quality of
	historical cooperation among the various school or municipal
16	applicants;
18	B. Estimate and likelihood of property tax savings,
	including the strength and enforceability of all agreements,
20	contracts and commitments supporting the estimated
	investment returns;
22	
	C. Ability to replicate the efficiency project in other
24	areas of the State; and
26	D. Innovation, creativity and likelihood of stimulating
- 0	similarly structured projects.
28	
20	9. Relationship to school funding distribution. Except as
30	provided in this subsection, grants that are authorized pursuant to this chapter must be provided to the successful applicants
32	separately and distinctly from the distribution of school subsidy
J L	as targeted grants provided for the purposes of establishing more
34	efficient educational service delivery systems and achieving
3 1	defined cost reductions.
36	<u> </u>
	A. School administrative units experiencing or projected to
38	experience significant and sustained reductions in total
	allocation primarily attributable to low student populations
40	or sustained declining school enrollments may be awarded
	grants under this chapter that are effectively distributed
42	in whole or in part as supplements to the school subsidy
	that would otherwise be distributed pursuant to chapter
44	606-B, if all of the following requirements are met:
4.6	
46	(1) Supplemental school subsidy distribution may not
48	be provided for more than a 5-year period;
40	(2) The successful applicant must submit a school
50	system reconfiguration plan, approved by the
J U	by com recontrigulation plans approved by the

	appropriate legislative body or bodies, that when fully
2	implemented would result in a total allocation
	according to the essential programs and services
4	funding model that is reasonably sufficient to operate
c	the reconfigured school system on a sustained basis
6	without the need for local spending beyond the local
8	share of the total allocation;
O	(2) The regenfiguration plan must be designed to
10	(3) The reconfiguration plan must be designed to provide for its full implementation within a 5-year
10	period; and
12	period, and
1.0	(4) No annual distribution of supplemental school
14	subsidy provided on a transitional basis under this
	section may be authorized unless the reconfiguration
16	plan is being implemented on schedule and the
	appropriate legislative body or bodies have annually
18	validated their original endorsement of the plan.
20	10. Planning grants. The review panel established pursuant
	to subsection 5 may award eligible applicants a planning grant,
22	in an amount not to exceed \$10,000, to support technical
	assistance and facilitation needed for development of a proposal
24	for one or more qualifying actions potentially eligible for
	funding under subsections 6 to 9. The review panel may award a
26	planning grant under this subsection prior to adoption of rules
	required under subsection 11.
28	11 Dulamahima Na laban bhan Iannann 1 2006 bha
30	11. Rulemaking. No later than January 1, 2006, the department shall adopt rules governing the schedule for
30	submission and action on competitive grant proposals submitted
32	pursuant to subsections 6 and 7, related submission and
J. 2	information requirements including, but not limited to,
34	information that an applicant must provide to demonstrate
	significant cost savings, the methodology for determining such
36	significant cost savings, the methodology for estimating
	anticipated return on investment of fund proceeds through
38	issuance of grants and criteria for scoring and prioritizing
	among grant proposals. The department may adopt any rules
40	necessary for the administration of the fund. Rules adopted
	pursuant to this subsection are routine technical rules as
42	defined in Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.
44	Sec. 16. 30-A MRSA c. 230 is enacted to read:
46	CHAPTER 230
48	FIND BUD THE PPRICIPANT DRITTEDV
. 7 .0	FUND FOR THE EFFICIENT DELIVERY OF LOCAL AND REGIONAL SERVICES
50	AT 1974UR BUD WROTONIU DOKATOR

§6201. Fund for Efficient Delivery of Local and Regional Services

- 1. Establishment. The Fund for the Efficient Delivery of Local and Regional Services, referred to in this chapter as "the fund," is established as a dedicated, special revenue account to provide a financial incentive for voluntary collaboration among municipalities or among one or more municipalities and one or more counties to reduce the costs of delivering local and regional governmental services.
- 2. Fund source: nonlapsing. The fund consists of revenues transferred from the Local Government Fund pursuant to section 5681, subsection 5-B and Public Law 2003, chapter 20, Part W, section 2 and any funds received as contributions from private and public sources. Eligible investment earnings credited to the assets of the fund become part of the assets of the fund. Any balance remaining in the fund at the end of any fiscal year must be carried forward to the next fiscal year, except that the maximum fund balance, less any encumbrances, at the end of any fiscal year must not exceed 150% of the revenue accrued to the fund during that fiscal year. Revenue in the fund in excess of the maximum fund balance, less any encumbrances, must be returned to the Local Government Fund and distributed in addition to all other revenue otherwise accruing to the Local Government Fund the subsequent fiscal year.
- 3. Administration. The fund, to be accounted within the Department of Administrative and Financial Services, must be held separate and apart from all other money, funds and accounts. The State Planning Office shall administer the fund according to the grant application review and decision process established in subsection 5.
 - 4. Fund eligibility. The fund is available solely to fund proposed efficiency projects jointly presented in a collaborative application pursuant to subsections 6 to 9 by 2 or more municipalities or one or more municipalities and one or more regional service providers, including counties, regional planning commissions or councils of government established pursuant to chapter 119, or development districts established pursuant to chapter 206. Municipalities and regional service providers may contract with nongovernmental organizations and individuals for the purpose of carrying out projects supported by the fund.
- 5. Establishment of review panel; review and decision on grant applications. The Governor shall appoint the following members to serve as the review panel, which, in accordance with rules developed by the State Planning Office pursuant to

	subsection 10, will review, prioritize among and award
2	applications for competitive grants made pursuant to subsections
	6 to 9:
	0 60 9.
4	
	A. One representative of the State Planning Office;
6	
Ü	
	B. One representative of the Department of Economic and
8	Community Development;
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
7.0	C. One manufacture of the Maine Municipal Book Book
10	C. One representative of the Maine Municipal Bond Bank or a
	private financial institution with expertise in the areas of
12	business finance, commercial loans and return on investment;
3.4	
14	D. One representative representing providers of
	governmental services on a regional basis; and
16	
	E. Three representatives of municipal government
- 0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
18	recommended by the Maine Municipal Association who formerly
	served as a municipal officer or the chief administrative
20	official of a municipality, with one representing a rural
	community with a population of less than 4,000 inhabitants,
22	one representing a suburban community with a population of
	4,000 to 15,000 inhabitants and one representing a service
24	center community with a population greater than 15,000
	<u>inhabitants.</u>
26	
	The Governor's appointments must be characterized by broad
28	geographic representation.
	gogi upilo 109100 unu 109100 unu
30	6. Competitive grants; standard of qualification. In making
	competitive awards from the fund, and with respect to the
32	jurisdictions throughout the several local or regional
J =	
	governments that are submitting each application for a grant to
34	fund or partially fund an efficiency project, the review panel
	established in subsection 5 must identify:
36	•
30	
	A. The nature, scope and timing of the entire efficiency
38	project, including all proposed programmatic and governance
	changes, system restructurings, employment reconfigurations
40	and capital or infrastructure investments;
40	and capital of influente investments,
42	B. The total cost of the efficiency project, including the
	dollar value of the grant request and all other funds and
44	in-kind services contributed by the applicants and other
44	
	sources;
46	
	C. The annual cost of providing the targeted services
4.0	
48	throughout the jurisdictions represented by the several
	applicants for each of the 10 years before the
50	implementation of the efficiency project:

2	D. The projected costs of providing the targeted services
4	throughout the jurisdictions represented by the several applicants for each of the 10 years after the implementation
	of the efficiency project;
6	
8	E. The type of commitments, contracts or agreements made, entered into or executed by the several applicants that
8	serve to substantiate, verify or support the projections
10	required by this subsection; and
-0	
12	F. The annual return on investment with respect to the
	total cost of projects supported in whole or in part with
14	grants from the fund.
16	7. Competitive grants; qualifying actions. Except as
1.0	otherwise provided in subsection 8, applicants for grant
18	assistance must demonstrate significant and sustainable savings
20	in the cost of delivering local and regional governmental
20	services through proposals involving one or more of the following qualifying actions:
22	qualifying accions.
<i>22</i>	A. Collaborative delivery of governmental services;
24	001100010010 00121011 01 90101 0011 001
	B. Enhancement of an existing or development of a new
26	regionalized system for delivery of governmental services;
28	C. Consolidation of administrative services or functions
	directly related to delivery of governmental services;
30	
	D. Creation of broad-based alliances for purchase of goods
32	and services directly related to delivery of governmental
2.4	services; or
34	E Execution and implementation of an interlocal armoment
36	E. Execution and implementation of an interlocal agreement pursuant to chapter 115 that provides for one or more
30	qualifying actions provided for in paragraphs A to D.
38	qualitying accions provided for in paragraphs a co bi
	The qualifying actions in this subsection are not listed in order
40	of priority. Except as otherwise provided in subsection 9,
	applicants for a grant under this chapter must specify the
42	pertinent qualifying actions for which assistance is sought, how
	implementation of those actions will result in cost savings and a
44	projection of the cost savings reasonably attributable to
4.0	implementation of its proposal, in addition to and in the manner
46	provided in rules adopted by the State Planning Office pursuant
4.0	to subsection 10.
48	8. Competitive grants; additional review criteria. In
50	addition to the standard of qualification information identified

in subsection 6 and the qualifying actions identified in
subsection 7, the review panel established in subsection 5 must
also consider the following review criteria:
A. Chance of success, including the extent and quality of
historical cooperation among local and regional governments
and nongovernment organizations;
B. Estimate and likelihood of property tax savings,
including the strength and enforceability of all agreements,
contracts and commitments supporting the estimated
investment returns;
C. Ability to replicate the efficiency project in other
areas of the State; and
·
D. Innovation, creativity and likelihood of stimulating
similarly structured projects.
9. Planning grants. The review panel established pursuant
to subsection 5 may award eligible applicants a planning grant,
in an amount not to exceed \$10,000, to support technical
assistance and facilitation needed for development of a proposal
for one or more qualifying actions potentially eligible for
funding under subsections 6 to 8. The review panel may award a
planning grant under this subsection prior to adoption of rules
required under subsection 10.
10 D Jamel's No July of the Town 1 2006 the Glade
10. Rulemaking. No later than January 1, 2006, the State
Planning Office shall adopt rules governing the schedule for submission and action on competitive grant proposals submitted
pursuant to subsections 6 and 7, related submission and
information requirements including, but not limited to,
information that an applicant must provide to demonstrate
significant cost savings, the methodology for determining such
significant cost savings, the methodology for estimating
anticipated return on investment of fund proceeds through
issuance of grants and criteria for scoring and prioritizing
among grant proposals. The Department of Administrative and
Financial Services may adopt any rules necessary for the
administration of the fund. Rules adopted pursuant to this
subsection are routine technical rules as defined in Title 5,
chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.
SUMMARY
The School Finance and Tax Reform Act of 2003, as adopted by
the voters on June 8, 2004, requires the State to provide 55% of
"the total allocation," a term that describes the total state and
local financial contribution to the energtion of the State's

kindergarten to grade 12 public school system. The current law 2. delays until 2010 the State's obligation to meet the 55% school funding level. This bill removes all transitional language in that law that would delay the full implementation of the State's 55% school funding requirement. This bill also creates an 6 essential programs and services review panel, made up largely of educational practitioners, that is charged with annually 8 evaluating the school funding allocation system with respect to the model's accuracy and integrity. In addition, the bill 10 redesigns the 100% special education funding requirement, established as a year-to-year reimbursement system according to the School Finance and Tax Reform Act of 2003, as a current-year 12 state funding requirement that operates through the essential 14 programs and services allocation system as it pertains to special This bill also establishes the system of managing 16 both the Fund for the Efficient Delivery of Educational Services and the Fund for the Efficient Delivery of Local and Regional Services, both of which were created by the School Finance and 18 Tax Reform Act of 2003. By the management systems created in the 20 bill, grants from both funds would be distributed to school administrative units and local or regional governmental units that compete for project financing. The bill establishes the 22 review panels charged with reviewing and awarding the efficiency 24 grants, as well as the funding qualifications and review criteria.