



121st MAINE LEGISLATURE

SECOND SPECIAL SESSION-2004

Legislative Document

No. 1844

H.P. 1370

House of Representatives, February 5, 2004

An Act To Amend the Maine Criminal Code and Motor Vehicle Laws as Recommended by the Criminal Law Advisory Commission

Reported by Representative BLANCHETTE of Bangor for the Criminal Law Advisory Commission pursuant to the Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, chapter 55.

Reference to the Committee on Criminal Justice and Public Safety suggested and ordered printed under Joint Rule 218.

Millicent M. Mac Jarland

MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 15 MRSA §3314, sub-§6, as corrected by RR 2001, c. 2, Pt. A, §24 and affected by §25, is amended to read:

4

2

6. Forfeiture of firearms. As part of every disposition in 6 every proceeding under this code, every firearm that constitutes 8 the basis for an adjudication for a juvenile crime that, if committed by an adult, would constitute a violation of section 393; Title 17-A, section 1105-A, subsection 1, paragraph C-1; 10 Title 17-A, section 1105-B, subsection 1, paragraph C; Title 12 17-A, section 1105-C, subsection 1, paragraph C-1; or Title 17-A, section 1105-D, subsection 1, paragraph B-1 and every firearm 14 used by the juvenile or any accomplice during the course of conduct for which the juvenile has been adjudicated to have 16 committed a juvenile crime that would have been forfeited pursuant to Title 17-A, section 1158 1158-A if the criminal 18 conduct had been committed by an adult must be forfeited to the State and the juvenile court shall so order unless another person 20 satisfies the court prior to the dispositional hearing and by a preponderance of the evidence that the other person had a right 22 to possess the firearm, to the exclusion of the juvenile, at the time of the conduct that constitutes the juvenile crime. Rules adopted by the Attorney General that govern the disposition of 24 firearms forfeited pursuant to Title 17-A, section 1158 1158-A 26 govern forfeitures under this subsection.

- 28
- 30

32

34

36

38

A law enforcement officer who has probable cause to 1. believe a crime has been or is being committed by a person may issue or have delivered a written summons to that person directing that person to appear in the District Court to answer the allegation that the person has committed the crime. The summons must include the signature of the officer, a brief description of the alleged crime, the time and place of the alleged crime and the time, place and date the person is to appear in court. The form used must be the Uniform Summons and 40 Complaint, - except - that, - if - the - agency - by - whom - the - officer - is employed-has-on-May-1,--1991-current--stocks-of-forms-that--the 42 agency-is-authorized-to-use-the-agency-may-permit-officers-to

1991, c. 459, §4, are further amended to read:

Sec. 2. 17-A MRSA §15-A, sub-§§1. 2 and 3. as amended by PL

use-those-forms-until-those-stocks-are-depleted. A person to 44 whom a summons is issued or delivered must give a written promise to appear. If the person refuses to sign the summons after 46 having been ordered to do so by a law enforcement officer, the person commits a Class E crime. As soon as practicable after service of the summons, the officer shall cause a copy of the 48 summons to be filed with the court.

50

Any person who a law enforcement officer has probable 2. cause to believe has committed or is committing a crime other 2 than one listed under section 15, subsection 1, paragraph A, and to whom a law enforcement officer is authorized to deliver a 4 summons pursuant to subsection 1, who intentionally fails or refuses to provide to that officer reasonably credible evidence б of that person's correct name and, address or date of birth commits a Class E crime, provided-that if the person persists in 8 the failure or refusal after having been informed by the officer of the provisions of this subsection. If that person furnishes 10 the officer evidence of the person's correct name and, address and date of birth and the evidence does not appear to be 12 reasonably credible, the officer shall attempt to verify the evidence as quickly as is reasonably possible. During the period 14 the verification is being attempted, the officer may require the person to remain in the officer's presence for a period not to 16 exceed 2 hours. During this period, if the officer reasonably believes that the officer's safety or the safety of others 18 present requires, the officer may search for any dangerous weapon by an external patting of that person's outer clothing. If in 20 the course of the search the officer feels an object that the officer reasonably believes to be a dangerous weapon, the officer 22 may take such action as is necessary to examine the object, but may take permanent possession of the object only if it is subject 24 to forfeiture. The requirement that the person remain in the presence of the officer does not constitute an arrest. 26 After informing that person of the provisions of this subsection, the 28 officer may arrest the person either if the person intentionally refuses to furnish any evidence of that person's correct name, and address or date of birth or if, after attempting to verify 30 the evidence as provided for in this subsection, the officer has 32 probable cause to believe that the person has intentionally failed to provide reasonably credible evidence of the person's 34 correct name and, address or date of birth.

36 3. If, at any time subsequent to an arrest made pursuant to subsection 2, it appears that the evidence of the person's
38 correct name and, address and date of birth was accurate, the person must be released from custody and any record of that
40 custody must show that the person was released for that reason. If, upon trial for violating subsection 2, a person is acquitted
42 on the ground that the evidence of the person's correct name and, address and date of birth was accurate, the record of acquittal
44 must show that that was the ground.

46 Sec. 3. 17-A MRSA §17, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1995, c. 65,
 Pt. A, §56 and affected by §153 and Pt. C, §15, is further
 48 amended to read:

Page 2-LR2693(1)

A law enforcement officer who has probable cause to 1. 2 believe that a civil violation has been committed by a person must issue or have delivered a written summons to that person 4 directing the person to appear in the District Court to answer the allegation that the person has committed the violation. The 6 summons must include the signature of the officer, a brief description of the alleged violation, the time and place of the 8 alleged violation and the time, place and date the person is to appear in court. The form used must be the Violation Summons and 10 Complaint, as prescribed in Title 29-A, section 2601, for traffic infractions and the Uniform Summons and Complaint for other civil 12 violations,-except--that,-if--the--agency-by-whom-the-officer--is employed-has-on-May-1,--1991-current--stocks-of--forms--that--the 14 agency-is-authorized-to-use,-the-agency-may-permit-officers-to use-those-forms-in-place-of-the-Uniform-Summons-and-Complaint 16 until-those-stocks are depleted. A person to whom a summons is issued or delivered must give a written promise to appear. If the person refuses to sign the summons after having been ordered 18 to do so by a law enforcement officer, the person commits a Class The law enforcement officer may not order a person to 20 E crime. sign the summons for a civil violation unless the civil violation is an offense defined in Title 12; Title 23, section 1980; Title 22 28-A, section 2052; or Title 29-A.

24

Every law enforcement officer issuing a Violation Summons and 26 Complaint charging the commission of a traffic infraction shall file the original of the Violation Summons and Complaint with the 28 violations bureau within 5 days of the issuance of that Violation Summons and Complaint. Every law enforcement officer issuing a 30 Uniform Summons and Complaint that charges the commission of an offense shall file the original of the Uniform Summons and Complaint with the District Court having jurisdiction over the 32 offense or in such other location as instructed by the Chief 34 Judge of the District Court without undue delay and, in any event, within 5 days after the issuance of the Uniform Summons 36 and Complaint.

38 40 Sec. 4. 17-A MRSA §17, sub-§§2 and 3, as amended by PL 1991, c. 459, §5, are further amended to read:

Any person to whom a law enforcement officer is 2. authorized to issue or deliver a summons pursuant to subsection 1 42 intentionally fails or refuses to provide the officer who 44 reasonably credible evidence of the person's correct name and, address or date of birth commits a Class E crime, provided-that if the person persists in that failure or refusal after having 46 been informed by the officer of the provisions of this 48 subsection. If the person furnishes the officer evidence of that person's correct name and, address and date of birth and the 50 evidence does not appear to be reasonably credible, the officer

shall attempt to verify the evidence as quickly as is reasonably 2 possible. During the period that verification is being attempted, the officer may require the person to remain in the officer's presence for a period not to exceed 2 hours. During 4 this period, if the officer reasonably believes that the officer's safety or the safety of others present requires, the 6 officer may search for any dangerous weapon by an external patting of the person's outer clothing. If in the course of the 8 search the officer feels an object that the officer reasonably believes to be a dangerous weapon, the officer may take such 10 action as is necessary to examine the object, but may take permanent possession of the object only if it is subject to 12 The requirement that the person remain in the forfeiture. presence of the officer does not constitute an arrest. 14

16 After informing the person of the provisions of this subsection, the officer may arrest the person either if the person 18 intentionally refuses to furnish any evidence of that person's <u>correct</u> name and, address <u>or date of birth</u> or if, after 20 attempting to verify the evidence as provided for in this subsection, the officer has probable cause to believe that the 22 person has intentionally failed to provide reasonably credible evidence of the person's <u>correct</u> name and, address <u>or date of</u> <u>birth</u>.

3. If, at any time subsequent to an arrest made pursuant to subsection 2, it appears that the evidence of the person's
correct name and, address and date of birth was accurate, the person must be released from custody and any record of that
custody must show that the person was released for that reason. If, upon trial for violating subsection 2, a person is acquitted
on the ground that the evidence of the person's correct name and, address and date of birth was accurate, the record of acquittal
must show that that was the ground.

36 Sec. 5. 17-A MRSA §751, sub-§1, as amended by PL 1997, c. 351, §2, is further amended to read:

 A person is guilty of obstructing government administration if the person uses <u>intentionally interferes by</u> force, violence or intimidation or engages-in-any-criminal-aet
 with-the-intent-to-interfere by any physical act with a public servant performing or purporting to perform an official function.

44

38

Sec. 6. 17-A MRSA §1158, as amended by PL 2003, c. 143, §7, 46 is repealed.

48 Sec. 7. 17-A MRSA §1158-A is enacted to read:

50 **§1158-A.** Forfeiture of firearms

2	1. As part of every sentence imposed, except as provided in subsection 2, a court shall order that a firearm must be
4	forfeited to the State if:
6	A. That firearm constitutes the basis for conviction under:
8	(1) Title 15, section 393;
10	(2) Section 1105-A, subsection 1, paragraph C-1;
12	(3) Section 1105-B, subsection 1, paragraph C;
14	(4) Section 1105-C, subsection 1, paragraph C-1; or
16	(5) Section 1105-D, subsection 1, paragraph B-1; or
18	B. The State pleads and proves that the firearm is used by the defendant or an accomplice during the commission of any
20	murder or Class A, Class B or Class C crime or any Class D crime defined in chapter 9, 11 or 13.
22	2. A court may not order the forfeiture of a firearm
24	otherwise qualifying for forfeiture under subsection 1 if another person can satisfy the court prior to the imposition of the
26	defendant's sentence and by a preponderance of the evidence that:
28	A. Other than in the context of either subsection 1, paragraph A, subparagraph (1) or subsection 1, paragraph B
30	relative to murder or any other unlawful homicide crime in which the firearm used is a handgun, the other person, at
32	the time of the commission of the crime, had a right to possess the firearm to the exclusion of the defendant;
34	
36	B. In the context of subsection 1, paragraph A, subparagraph (1), the other person, at the time of the commission of the crime, had a right to possess the firearm
38	to the exclusion of the defendant and the other person either did not know or should not have known that the
40	<u>defendant was a prohibited person under Title 15, section</u> 393 or, even if the other person did know or should have
42	known, nonetheless did not intentionally, knowingly or recklessly allow the defendant to possess or have under the
44	defendant's control the firearm; or
46	<u>C. In the context of paragraph B relating to murder or any other unlawful homicide crime in which the firearm used is a</u>
48	handgun, the other person, at the time of the commission of the crime, was the rightful owner from whom the handgun had

2	been stolen and the other person was not a principal or accomplice in the commission of the crime.
4	3. The Attorney General shall adopt rules governing the disposition to state, county and municipal agencies of firearms
6	forfeited under this section. A handgun not excepted under subsection 2, paragraph C must be destroyed by the State.
8	
10	4. As used in this section, "handgun" means a firearm, including a pistol or revolver, that has a short stock and is designed to be held and fired by the use of a single hand.
12	Sec. 8. 17-A MRSA §1202, sub-§1-B, as amended by PL 2003, c.
14	154, §1, is repealed and the following enacted in its place:
16	1-B. Notwithstanding subsection 1, if the State pleads and
18	proves that the enumerated Class D or Class E crime was committed by the person against a family or household member, and if the court orders the person to complete a certified batterers'
20	intervention program as defined in Title 19-A, section 4014, the
22	person may be placed on probation for a period not to exceed 2 years, except that the term of probation must be terminated by the court when the probationer has served at least one year of
24	probation, has completed the certified batterers' intervention program and has met all other conditions of probation.
26	
28	A. As used in this subsection, the following definitions apply.
30	<u>(1) "Enumerated Class D or Class E crime" means any</u> Class D crime in chapter 9, any Class D or Class E
32	<u>crime in chapter 11, the Class D crimes described in</u> section 506-B and the Class D crimes described in
34	sections 554, 555 and 758.
36	(2) "Family or household member" has the same meaning as in Title 19-A, section 4002, subsection 4.
38	
40	<u>B. Termination under this subsection requires a judicial</u> <u>finding that the probationer has served at least one year of</u> <u>probation, has successfully completed a certified batterers'</u>
42	intervention program and has met all other conditions of probation.
44	Sec. 9. 17-A MRSA §1205-C, sub-§6, as enacted by PL 1999, c.
46	246, §3, is amended to read:
48	6. Failure to comply with the time limits set forth in this section is not grounds for dismissal of a motion for probation

revocation but is <u>may be</u> grounds for the probationer's release on personal recognizance pending further proceedings.

4

6

8

10

12

14

2

Sec. 10. 17-A MRSA §1252, sub-§2, ¶A, as amended by PL 1995, c. 473, §1, is further amended to read:

A. In the case of a Class A crime, the court shall set a definite period not to exceed 40 <u>30</u> years. -- The -court - may consider -- a - serious -- eriminal - history - of -- the - defendant -- and impose -- a - maximum - period - of -- incarceration -- in - excess -- of -- 20 years -- based -- on -- either -- the -- nature -- and -- seriousness -- of -- the erime -- alone -or -on -- the -- nature -- and -- seriousness -- of -- the -erime coupled with -- the -- criminal -- history -- of -- the -- defendant;

Sec. 11. 25 MRSA §3503-A, as amended by PL 1999, c. 47, §1, is further amended to read:

18 §3503-A. Disposal of firearms and ammunition

Notwithstanding any other provision of this chapter, a police department or other law enforcement agency retaining
firearms and ammunition covered by this chapter, Title 15, section 3314 or chapter 517, or Title 17-A, section 1158 1158-A
may auction the firearms to federally licensed firearms dealers or the public, use the firearms and ammunition for training purposes or destroy the firearms and ammunition.

Sec. 12. 29-A MRSA §105, sub-§4, as amended by PL 1997, c. 653, §5, is further amended to read:

30

28

4. Violation. A person is guilty of a Class E crime if a
 law enforcement officer has probable cause to believe the person violated or is violating this Title and the person <u>intentionally</u>
 fails or refuses upon request to give the person's correct name, address or date of birth to a law enforcement officer.

SUMMARY

40

36

38

This bill does the following.

The bill adds "date of birth" to the information that must 42 be provided to a law enforcement officer upon request by the person to whom a summons is issued or delivered under either the 44 Maine Revised Statutes, Title 17-A, section 15-A or 17. Currently, the information required of the person is limited to 46 name and address. Date of birth is an important aid in properly 48 identifying the person being summonsed and is currently required in Title 29-A, section 105, subsection 4. The bill also adds the 50 1 word "correct" relative to the information to be supplied by the person. The bill also strikes an exception relative to use of 2 nonconforming forms that no longer is relevant.

4 The bill addresses a defect in the statute prohibiting obstruction of government administration revealed by the recent case of <u>State v. Matson</u>, 2003 ME 34, 818 A.2d 213. 6 In Matson, the defendant had been convicted under the statute for physically 8 interfering with the arrest of another person. Because the physical interference, intentionally standing in the way and refusing to move, was held to constitute something less than 10 "force, violence or intimidation," the conviction was reversed. 12

The focus of the crime is intentional physical interference 14 with an official function, not "intimidation" of an officer. Harassing speech alone is not sufficient, but when it is 16 accompanied by a physical act that actually interferes with an official function, the further requirement of "intimidation" is 18 unnecessary.

20 The bill repeals Title 17-A, section 1158 and replaces it with section 1158-A, which differs in the following ways.

22 24

26

28

30

32

34

36

38

40

1. It makes technical drafting changes to clarify the law.

2. It clarifies that forfeiture of a firearm under certain circumstances is conditioned on the State's both alleging that the firearm was used by the defendant or an accomplice during the commission of the crime in the indictment or information and proving that allegation to the fact finder beyond a reasonable doubt.

3. It clarifies when a court may not order as part of the sentence the forfeiture of a firearm otherwise qualifying for forfeiture. Access to the exception is available only to a person other than the defendant. The exception must be established by the other person at a point in time prior to the actual imposition of the defendant's sentence, and the burden imposed on the other person is to satisfy the court of the exception by a preponderance of the evidence.

The bill also addresses forfeiture of firearms other than in 42 the context of a conviction under possession of a firearm by a prohibited person or in the context of a handgun used by the 44 defendant or an accomplice during the commission of murder or any 45 other unlawful homicide crime. The other person's burden is 46 satisfied by proof by a preponderance of the evidence that at the 48 to possess the firearm to the exclusion of the defendant. This 49 burden is the same as under Title 17-A, section 1158.

50

The bill also addresses forfeiture of firearms in the 2 context of the conviction under Title 15, section 393. The other person's burden is satisfied by proof by a preponderance of the evidence that, at the time of the commission of the crime, the 4 person had a right to possess the firearm to the exclusion of the 6 defendant and the person either did not know or should not have known that the defendant was a prohibited person under Title 15, section 393 or, even if the other person did know or should have 8 known, nonetheless did not intentionally, knowingly or recklessly 10 allow the defendant to possess or have under the defendant's control the firearm. This burden imposed upon the other person is greater than under Title 17-A, section 1158. 12

14 The bill also addresses forfeiture of a handgun used by the defendant or an accomplice during the commission of murder or any 16 other unlawful homicide crime. The other person's burden is satisfied by proof by a preponderance of the evidence that, at 18 the time of the commission of the crime, the other person was the rightful owner from whom the handgun had been stolen and the 20 other person was not a principal or an accomplice in the commission of the crime. It also defines "handgun" for purposes 22 of Title 17-A, section 1158-A.

24 The bill replaces Title 17-A, section 1202, subsection 1-B in order to address the constitutional defect revealed in the recent case of <u>State v. Hodgkins</u>, 2003 ME 57, 822 A.2d 1187. 26 The bill also eliminates the necessity of the State's pleading and 28 the jury's having to find that the Class D or Class E crime involved "domestic violence" by specifically enumerating the 30 Class D or Class E crimes that automatically qualify and by having the State plead and the jury find that the qualifying 32 crime was committed by the person "against a family or household member," as defined in Title 19-A, section 4002, subsection 4. 34 The bill also makes clear that imposition of the extended period of probation is further conditioned upon the court's ordering the person to complete a certified batterers' intervention program as 36 defined in Title 19-A, section 4014. This precondition is 38 necessary because only one program currently exists for female defendants, and a program may not be reasonably available for The bill 40 certain male defendants. also clarifies that termination of the extended probation period requires a judicial 42 finding that the probationer has served at least one year of probation, has successfully completed a certified batterers' program and has met all other conditions of probation. 44

46 The bill is intended to make clear that in the event there is a failure by the State to comply with the time limits set
48 forth in Title 17-A, section 1205-C, a court may, but is not required to, issue an order that, pending initial appearance, the
50 probationer be released on personal recognizance.

2 In 1988 the Legislature doubled the maximum sentence of imprisonment for all Class A crimes from 20 years to 40 years. 4 In 1991 the Law Court examined the legislative history of the relevant act and determined that the legislative intent was to "make available two discrete ranges of sentences for Class A 6 crimes." See State v. Lewis, 590 A.2d 149, 151 (Me. 1991). Most Class A crime sentences were intended to remain in the original 8 0- to 20-year range, while the "expanded range" of 20- to 40-year sentences was reserved "only for the most heinous and violent 10 crimes committed against a person." The sentencing court was to apply this "heinousness" standard "in its discretion" as a 12 sentencing factor, subject to appellate review. 14

This 2-tier system has been placed under a constitutional cloud by the decision of the United States Supreme Court in <u>Apprendi v. New Jersey</u>, 530 U.S. 466 (2000), which held that sentencing factors increasing punishment beyond the maximum authorized must be treated as elements of crimes to be pleaded and proved beyond a reasonable doubt rather than as sentencing factors. Since the "heinousness" standard can be interpreted as increasing maximum punishment of up to 20 years to the "expanded range" of 20 to 40 years, it is potentially unconstitutional absent legislative correction.

26 The bill eliminates the constitutional cloud by replacing the 2-tier system with a single 0- to 30-year range. This change 28 anticipates that the Law Court, through the case-by-case sentence review process, will develop and apply criteria that will avoid 30 the imposition of excessively harsh sentences within the single range. In solving the <u>Apprendi</u> problem, this change will affect 32 few actual sentences.

34 The bill adds the culpable mental state of "intentionally" to Title 29-A, section 105, subsection 4, regarding the 36 enforcement of the motor vehicle laws, to conform it to Title 17-A, sections 15-A and 17.