MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)



121st MAINE LEGISLATURE

FIRST REGULAR SESSION-2003

Legislative Document

No. 632

H.P. 462

House of Representatives, February 11, 2003

An Act to Establish a Process to Classify Water Bodies as Impaired

Reference to the Committee on Natural Resources suggested and ordered printed.

Millicent M. Macfarland MILLICENT M. MacFARLAND Clerk

Presented by Representative McLAUGHLIN of Cape Elizabeth.

Cosponsored by Representatives: BARSTOW of Gorham, DAIGLE of Arundel, KETTERER of Madison, STONE of Berwick, SUSLOVIC of Portland, Senator: HALL of Lincoln.

Be it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:

Sec. 1. 38 MRSA §464, sub-§2-C is enacted to read:

2-C. Procedure for redesignation as impaired water body. A water body may be classified as impaired or nonattainment by the board by rule after a hearing. All affected property owners must receive notice of the hearing, which, if requested by the property owners, must also serve as a hearing for a use-attainability analysis consistent with 40 Code of Federal Regulations, Part 131. The board may designate a water body as impaired or nonattainment if the preponderance of evidence demonstrates that the water body is not meeting the classification criteria and that the designation will not contribute to sprawling patterns of development and will not have an unreasonable impact on the local economy. Rules adopted pursuant to this subsection are major substantive rules as defined in Title 5, chapter 375, subchapter 2-A.

SUMMARY

This bill establishes a procedure to classify a body of water as impaired or nonattainment. Under the bill, the Board of Environmental Protection within the Department of Environmental Protection may so designate a water body if the preponderance of the evidence demonstrates that the water body is not meeting classification criteria, that the designation will not contribute to sprawl and that the designation will not have an unreasonable impact on the local economy.