## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

| _   | L.D. 1937   |
|-----|---|
| 2   | (Filing No. H-984)  |
| 4   |   |
| 6   |   |
| 8   | STATE OF MAINE<br>HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES<br>114TH LEGISLATURE   |
| 10  | SECOND REGULAR SESSION  |
| 12  | $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$  |
| L & | COMMITTEE AMENDMENT " $\widehat{H}$ " to H.P. 1409, L.D. 1957, Bill, "A   |
| 14  | Act to Clarify the Laws Governing Prelitigation Screening Panels"   |
| 16  | Amend the bill by inserting after section 1 the following:  |
| 18  | 'Sec. 2. 24 MRSA §2853, sub-§7, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 804 §§12 and 22, is amended to read:                                      |
| 20  |   |
| 22  | 7. Extensions of time. All requests for extension of tim under this subchapter shall must be made to the panel ehairma              |
| 24  | chair. The ehairman chair may extend any time period under thi  |
| 44  | subchapter for good cause, except that the chair may not exten any time period that would result in the hearing being held mor      |
| 26  | than one year from the service of notice of claim upon the cler   |
|     | unless extraordinary cause is shown.'   |
| 28  | Further amend the bill by inserting after section 2 the   |
| 30  | following:  |
| 3 2 | 'Sec. 3. 24 MRSA §2859, as enacted by PL 1985, c. 804, §§1.   |
|     | and 22, is amended to read:   |
| 34  | \$2050 Chatthe of limitations   |
| 36  | §2859. Statute of limitations   |
|     | The applicable statute of limitations concerning actions for  |
| 8 8 | professional negligence shall-be is tolled from the date upon   |
| • ^ | which notice of claim is served or filed in Superior Court until  |
| 10  | 30 days following the day upon which the claimant receives notice of the findings of the panel pursuant-te-section-2856-or-175-daye |
| 12  | after-service-of-the-notice-of-claim-pursuant-to-section-2903   |
|     | whicheverfirstoccursAfterthepassageof175days,the  |
| 14  | elaimant-may-bring-suit-if-the-panel has-not-rendered-a-decision  |
| 16  | butaftertheclaimant'sfilingofa-complaintallfurther  |
| 16  | <pre>proceedings-in-the-case-shall-be-stayed-until-a-decision-of-the panel-is-made.'</pre>  |
| 18  | £ ==== ==   |
|     | Further amend the bill by renumbering the sections to read  |
| 50  | consecutively.  |

## STATEMENT OF FACT 2 4 This amendment adds 2 sections to the bill.

The current medical malpractice prelitigation screening system provides that the statute of limitations is tolled on the filing of a claim, but only for a maximum of 175 days. prelitigation screening is lengthy, the plaintiff's right to proceed can be unwittingly lost. This amendment extends the tolling period for the entire period used by the screening process until 30 days after notice of the panel's decision is received by the claimant.

14

16

18

20

22

6

8

10

12

The Maine Revised Statutes, Title 24, section 2859 is amended to clarify that filing in the Superior Court also tolls the statute of limitations. This gives a plaintiff 2 options for stopping the statute of limitations from running; either serving the notice of claim pursuant to the prelitigation screening panel process or filing the complaint in Superior Court. Either is sufficient to toll the statute of limitations for medical malpractice claims.

24 This amendment also provides that, although the screening panel chair may extend any time periods provided, the chair may 26 not extend a time period that would cause the hearing before the panel to be held more than one year after the notice of claim is 28 filed. An exception to this one-year rule is if extraordinary cause, a standard higher than good cause required for all other 30 extensions, is shown.

32

The purpose of these 2 additions to the bill is to ensure that medical malpractice cases proceed quickly but fairly through 34 the prelitigation screening process. A resolution at the panel level may eliminate the need or desire for litigation of the 36 claim.

Reported by the Committee on Judiciary Reproduced and distributed under the direction of the Clerk of the House (Filing No. H-984) 3/23/90