## MAINE STATE LEGISLATURE

The following document is provided by the

LAW AND LEGISLATIVE DIGITAL LIBRARY

at the Maine State Law and Legislative Reference Library

http://legislature.maine.gov/lawlib



Reproduced from scanned originals with text recognition applied (searchable text may contain some errors and/or omissions)

	SECOND REGULAR SESSION		
	ONE HUNDRED AND TENTH LEGISLATURE		
Leg	islative Document No. 1861		
18, 198	P. 1868 House of Representatives, January 20, 1982 Filed by the Joint Standing Committee on Labor under Joint Rule pursuant to H. P. 1629. Approved by the Legislative Council June 4, 81.  Reported by Representative Beaulieu from the Committee on or pursuant to H. P. 1629 and printed under Joint Rules No. 18. Sent for concurrence and ordered printed.  EDWIN H. PERT, Clerk		
	STATE OF MAINE		
	IN THE YEAR OF OUR LORD NINETEEN HUNDRED AND EIGHTY-TWO		
	AN ACT to Clarify the Provision of the Maine Human Rights Act Relating to Employment Discrimination Which is not Prohibited.		
Ве	it enacted by the People of the State of Maine as follows:		
c. par	Sec. 1. 5 MRSA §4573, sub-§4, as amended by PL 1975 770, §34, is further amended by adding at the end a new agraph to read:		
	is sufficient evidence of the employee's inability unde s section that:		
rea	Sec. 2. 5 MRSA §4573, sub-§4, ¶¶A and B are enacted tod:		
	A. The employee or applicant for employment suffer from a physical or mental handicap; and		

B. According to competent medical evidence, and given the nature of the employment, either:

- (1) The individual, due to his particular handicap, is unable to safely perform the duties of the employment; or
- (2) The existence of that handicap in any person and to any degree gives rise to a reasonable probability that the person will be unable to safely perform the duties of the employment.

## STATEMENT OF FACT

Presently, the Maine Human Rights Act, Title 5, chapter 337, provides that the refusal to hire or the discharge of a physically or mentally handicapped person is justifiable only if either:

- 1. The absence of the handicap is a "bona fide occupational qualification," Title 5, section 4572, subsection 1; or
- 2. The handicap renders the person "unable to perform his duties or perform those duties in a manner which would not endanger the health or safety of the employee or the health or safety of others," Title 5, section 4573, subsection 4.

In recent decisions, the Maine Human Rights Commission has decided that, in the absence of persuasive evidence that the particular individual is unable to safely perform the duties, general medical judgments about the appropriateness of certain handicaps to given jobs may be insufficient. Thus, the commission held that workers suffering from spondylolisthesis, a forward displacement of one vertebra over another, could not be excluded as a class from employment in a position demanding strenuous back exertion, even though the employee's physician noted that those workers would "potentially and probably experience excruciating pain and extreme motor damage" as a result of the work.

While employment of the handicapped is an important goal to pursue, the present law may prevent an employer from screening out classes of individuals whose handicaps render them particularly unsuitable for certain jobs. The result may be that workers suffering from some inchoate or latent

ı	disability are seriously and irrevocably injure	ed. This l	oss
2	to the worker, as well as to the employer who	may be lia	ble
3	for workers' compensation, ought to be avoided.		
1		4602011182	